

# Composition of the California Children's Advisory Group

October 3, 2021

## Senate Bill 586 Requirement

The composition of the California Children's Services (CCS) Advisory Group is dictated by Senate Bill (SB) 586. The requirement are as follows:

- CCS providers
- County CCS Program administrators
- Health plans
- Family resource centers
- Regional centers
- Labor organizations
- CCS case managers
- CCS Medical Therapy Units
- · Representative from family advisory committee

### **Current Composition**

The current composition consist of the above requirements in addition to advocates and family representatives. There is a total of 32 members. The chart below displays SB 586 requirement and the number of representation for each requirement. There are instances where a member can meet two of SB 586 requirement, for example, representative from County CCS Program Administrator will also represent CCS Case Manager.

SB 586 Requirement		Total
1	Representatives of CCS Providers	9
2	County CCS Program Administrator	5
3	Health Plans	5
4	Family Resources Centers	2
5	Regional Centers	1
6	Labor Organizations	1
7	CCS Case Manager	4
8	CCS Medical Therapy Units	1
9	Representatives from Family Advisory Committee	4
Not Required for SB 586		
10	Family Representative	2
11	Other	3



#### Prior Reorganization of the CCS Advisory Group

The initial CCS redesign stakeholder process started in 2014 with the Redesign Stakeholder Advisory Board (RSAB) to discuss CCS Program improvement. CCS RSAB completed its process in July 2015. After July, DHCS reorganized CCS RSAB to the current CCS Advisory Group to discuss CCS program improvements and the Whole Child Model (WCM) initiative.

With completion of the WCM, continued discussion on CCS Program improvement, and the CalAIM initiative, through conversation with the CCS Advisory Group members, the Department would like to discuss composition of the CCS Advisory Group to decide if we should reorganize the advisory group to meet future needs of the CCS and WCM programs and the CalAIM initiative.

#### Questions:

- 1. Is the current advisory group diverse enough?
  - a. If not, how can we make it more diverse?
- 2. Is the current number of members enough?
  - a. Should DHCS open the CCS Advisory Group to more members?
  - b. What is the max number of members for the group?
  - c. How should we condense the number of members, if needed?