# Performance Outcomes Adult Specialty Mental Health Services Report Report Date September, 2016

### Background

This report measures the effectiveness of adult specialty mental health services. It models reports developed to measure Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) mental health services as mandated by Welfare and Institutions Code Section 14707.5. The intent of these reports is to improve outcomes at the individual, program, and system levels and inform fiscal decision-making related to the purchase of services.

Since 2012 DHCS has worked with several groups of stakeholders to create a structure for reporting, to develop a Performance Measurement Paradigm, and to design indicators and measures. The Performance Outcomes System will be used to evaluate the domains of access, engagement, service appropriateness to need, service effectiveness, linkages, cost effectiveness and satisfaction. Further information on the Performance Measures System implementation is available on the DHCS website. Documents posted include the relevant legislation, plans submitted to the Legislature, and handouts for meetings with the Stakeholder Advisory Committee back to the first meeting in 2012. To obtain this information go to: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/default.aspx.

#### Overview

Three reports will be provided: statewide aggregate data; population-based county groups; and county-specific data. These aggregate reports provide adult information on the initial indicators that were developed for the Performance Outcomes System. DHCS plans to move to annual reporting of these data for the Performance Outcomes System.

The first series of charts and tables focus on the demographics of adults 21\* and older who are receiving SMHS based on approved claims for Medi-Cal eligible beneficiaries. Specifically, this includes demographics tables of this population by age, gender, and race/ethnicity. Utilization of services reports are shown in terms of dollars, as well as by service in time increments. Two types of penetration information are provided; both penetration rate tables are also broken out by demographic characteristics. The snapshot table provides a point-in-time view of adults arriving, exiting, and continuing services over a two-year period. The time-to-step-down table provides a view over the past four years of the time to stepdown services following inpatient discharge.

Where possible, the reports provide trend information by displaying information for Fiscal Years (FY) 11/12, 12/13, 13/14, and 14/15.

## Definitions

**\*Population** - Beneficiaries with approved services adjudicated through the Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II claiming system that were:

- Age 22 or older during the approved date of service on the claim; or
- Age 21 during the approved date of the service on the claim and a birth date on or after July 1st of the Fiscal Year.

## Data Sources -

Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal II (SD/MC II) claims with dates of service in FY 11/12 through FY 14/15.
 Medi-Cal Eligibility Data System (MEDS) data from the Management Information System/Decision Support System (MIS/DSS) FY 11/12 through 14/15.

## Performance Outcomes Adult Specialty Mental Health Services Report Report Date September, 2016

### **Additional Information**

The **Measures Catalog** is the companion document for these reports and provides the methodology and definitions for the measures. Each measure is defined and the numerator and denominator used to develop the metrics are provided with relevant notes and additional references. The Measures Catalog may be found at: <a href="http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/MH/Documents/MedCCC/Library/POSMeasuresCatalog\_Sept15Reporting\_Final\_1.11.15.pdf">http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/MH/Documents/MedCCC/Library/POSMeasuresCatalog\_Sept15Reporting\_Final\_1.11.15.pdf</a>

### Note on Privacy:

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 42 rules protect most individually identifiable health information in any form or medium; whether electronic, on paper, or oral. DHCS has strict rules in place to protect the identification of individuals in public reports. A "Public Aggregate Reporting – DHCS Business Reports" process has been established to maintain confidentiality of client Personal Information. The Performance Outcomes System complies with Federal and State privacy laws. Thus, the POS must appropriately and accurately de-identify data for public reporting. Due to privacy concerns, some cells in this report may have been suppressed to comply with state and federal rules. When necessary, these data are represented as follows: 1) Data that are missing is indicated as "-" 2) Data that have been suppressed due to privacy concerns is indicated as "^".

## **Report Highlights**

\*County-specific findings may be interpreted alongside the POS statewide and population-based report findings.

\*The **penetration** rates reported here were calculated using a different methodology than that used by the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO). The differences in methodology makes comparison between the POS penetration rates and the EQRO penetration rates not appropriate nor useful. The POS methodology for calculating penetration rates was selected because it is easier to compute, more straightforward to interpret, and is in use by other states and counties. For the POS, the penetration rate is calculated by taking the total number of adults who received a number of SMHS (1 or 5 for POS) in a FY and dividing that by the total number of Medi-Cal eligible adults for that FY. This methodology results in lower penetration rates as compared to the EQRO rates, but it does so across the board so that all counties and the state will be similarly impacted.

\*The **snapshot** report provides a point-in-time look at adults' movement through the SMHS system. The report uses five general categories to classify if an adult is entering, exiting, continuing services, or a combination of these categories (e.g., arriving and exiting). As of now, this report only classifies adults and their service usage for FY 12/13 through FY14/15. Eventually the snapshot data will be used along with measures of service effectiveness to identify whether adults are improving as a result of receiving services from the time they first arrived in the system to when they exit the system. This methodology was adapted from the California Mental Health and Substance Use System Needs Assessment (2012). More information on the original methodology can be found here: <a href="http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/Performance-Outcomes-System-Reports-and-Measures-Catalog.aspx">http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/Performance-Outcomes-System-Reports-and-Measures-Catalog.aspx</a>


\*The psychiatric emergency services/hospital data measured in the **time to step-down services** report relies solely on claims data from Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II. Currently, the number of days is capped at 365 days (to mitigate the impact of extreme statistical anomalies) when calculating the mean and max for time between discharge and step down service. This methodology will be updated in the next reporting cycle. Additionally, county specific and population-based reports are based on the county of the hospital from which the patient is discharged and receives step-down services.

Please contact cmhpos@dhcs.ca.gov for any questions regarding this report.

# Demographics Report: Unique Count of Adults Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year

SFY	Unique Count Receiving SMHS*	Year-Over-Year Percentage Change	Unique Count of Medi-Cal Eligibles	Year-Over-Year Percentage Change
FY 11-12	900		16,381	
FY 12-13	956	6.2%	16,853	2.9%
FY 13-14	1,289	34.8%	24,223	43.7%
FY 14-15	1,663	29.0%	29,984	23.8%
Compound Annual Growth Rate SFY**		22.7%		22.3%

Madera County



\*SMHS = Specialty Mental Health Services. See Measures Catalog for more detailed information.

\*\*SFY = State Fiscal Year which is July 1 through June 30.

The Measures Catalog may be found at: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/MH/Documents/MedCCC/Library/POSMeasuresCatalog\_Sept15Reporting\_Final\_1.11.15.pdf

# Demographics Report: Unique Count of Adults Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year


Madera County

Fiscal Year	Alaskan Native or American Indian Count	Alaskan Native or American Indian %	Asian or Pacific Islander Count	Asian or Pacific Islander %	Black Count	Black %	Hispanic Count	Hispanic %	White Count	White %	Other Count	Other %	Unknown Count	Unknown %
FY 11-12	٨	٨	11	1.2%	52	5.8%	298	33.1%	464	51.6%	٨	^	62	6.9%
FY 12-13	14	1.5%	16	1.7%	52	5.4%	332	34.7%	472	49.4%	۸	٨	^	٨
FY 13-14	18	1.4%	19	1.5%	74	5.7%	432	33.5%	653	50.7%	٨	^	^	٨
FY 14-15	17	1.0%	27	1.6%	90	5.4%	587	35.3%	779	46.8%	۸	٨	^	٨
		Fiscal Y	⁄ear 11-12 R	ace Distrib	ution				Fis	cal Year 12	-13 Race Dis	stribution		
		^ 19	%	Ala	askan Native or A	merican			<u>ہ</u> 2	2% 2%		Alaskan Nat	ive or American	
		7% 6%	,		lian				^			Indian		
		7% 6%	0	As	ian or Pacific Islaı	nder				5%		Asian or Pa	cific Islander	
				Bla	ick							Black		
			33%	His	spanic					35%	%	Hispanic		
	52%	6		W	nite				49%			White		
				Ot	her							Other		
				Un	known							Unknown		
		Fiscal Y	CHAR ′ear 13-14 R	TS NC	DT PRC	DUC	ED DL	JE TO	SMAL Fis	L CEL	L SIZES	S. stribution		
		1% 2%		۵۱	askan Native or A	merican			1	<sub>L%</sub> 2%		Alaskan Nat	ive or American	
		Λ			lian	merican			۸			Indian	ive of American	
		^ 6%	6	Asi	ian or Pacific Islaı	nder			۸	5%		Asian or Pa	cific Islander	
				Bla	ick							Black		
*FY 13-14 c	laims are estima	ted to be 95% c	omplet෫ඁ49% of Jai	His nuary 1, 2015.	spanic					359	%	Hispanic		
	51%		-		nite				47%			White		
	51,			Ot	her				7770			Other		
					known							Unknown		

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.


# Demographics Report: Unique Count of Adults Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year

Madera County



# Demographics Report: Unique Count of Adults Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year Madera County

Fiscal Year	Female Count	Female %	Male Count	Male %
FY 11-12	558	62.0%	342	38.0%
FY 12-13	615	64.3%	341	35.7%
FY 13-14	814	63.1%	475	36.9%
FY 14-15	980	58.9%	683	41.1%



# Penetration Rates\* Report: Adults With At Least One SMHS Visit\*\*

Madera County


		FY 11-12			FY 12-13			FY 13-14			FY 14-15	
	Adults with 1 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults with 1 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults with 1 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults with 1 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate
11	900	16,381	5.5%	956	16,853	5.7%	1,289	24,223	5.3%	1,663	29,984	5.5
Adults 21-44	444	8,482	5.2%	483	8,852	5.5%	669	12,540	5.3%	861	16,079	5.4
dults 45-64	379	4,277	8.9%	401	4,371	9.2%	544	7,966	6.8%	715	9,955	7.
dults 65+	77	3,622	2.1%	72	3,630	2.0%	76	3,717	2.0%	87	3,950	2
laskan Native or American Indian	۸	170	٨	14	170	8.2%	18	215	8.4%	17	288	5.
sian or Pacific Islander	11	399	2.8%	16		4.0%		675	2.8%	27	856	3
lack	52	635	8.2%	52	619	8.4%	74	839	8.8%	90	942	9.
lispanic	298	8,631	3.5%	332	•	3.7%		12,596	3.4%	587	16,000	3.
Vhite	464	5,391	8.6%	472	•	8.7%	653	7,758	8.4%	779	9,027	8
Other	۸	129	۸	^	126	^	^	188	۸	^	228	۸
Jnknown	62	1,026	6.0%	^	1,126	^	۸	1,952	۸	۸	2,643	۸
emale	558	10,041	5.6%	615	10,438	5.9%	814	14,056	5.8%	980	16,834	5.
Лаle	342	6,340	5.4%	341	6,415	5.3%	475	10,167	4.7%	683	13,150	5.
			Д		netration Rate		scal Year					
18%			A				scal Year					
16%			Δ				scal Year					
16% 14% 12%			Δ	Adults With At	: Least One SMHS		scal Year					
16% 14% 12% 10%			A			5 Visit**, By Fis						
16%       14%       12%       10%       8%	5.5%			Adults With At	: Least One SMHS		scal Year 7.2% -					
16%         14%         12%         10%         8%         6%         5.2%	5.5% 5.3	3%	A 5.4%	Adults With At	: Least One SMHS	5 Visit**, By Fis						
16%       14%       12%       10%       8%       6%       5.2%	5.5% 5.3	3%		Adults With At	: Least One SMHS	5 Visit**, By Fis			1%	2.0%	2.0%	2.2%
16%         14%         12%         10%         8%         6% <b>5.2%</b>	5.5% 5.5	3%		Adults With At	: Least One SMHS	5 Visit**, By Fis		2	1%	2.0%	2.0%	2.2%
16%         14%         12%         10%         8%         6%         5.2%         4%         2%         0%			5.4%	Adults With At	9.2%	6.8% <sup></sup>	7.2% -					
16%       14%       12%       10%       8%       6%       5.2%       4%       2%       0%       FY 11-12       FY	5.5% 5.3 12-13 FY 1 8,852) (n= 12	3-14		Adults With At	: Least One SMHS	5 Visit**, By Fis	7.2% -	FY :	11-12	2.0%	2.0% FY 13-14 (n= 3,717)	2.2%

\*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. \*\*Adults that have received at least one SMHS that was claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least one (1) day in the Fiscal Year.

Penetration rates decreased because the number of Affordable Care Act SMHS eligible beneficiaries increased markedly beginning in SFY 2013-14 while claims increased more gradually.

# Penetration Rates\* Report: Adults With At Least One SMHS Visit\*\*

Madera County



\*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. \*\*Adults that have received at least one SMHS that was claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least one (1) day in the Fiscal Year.

Penetration rates decreased because the number of Affordable Care Act SMHS eligible beneficiaries increased markedly beginning in SFY 2013-14 while claims increased more gradually.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.


## Penetration Rates\* Report: Adults with Five or More SMHS Visits\*\* Madera County

		FY 11-12			FY 12-13		FY 13-14				FY 14-15	
	Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate	Adults with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Adults	Penetration Rate
All	663	16,381	4.0%	650	16,853	3.9%	829	24,223	3.4%	997	29,984	3.3%
Adults 21-44	314	8,482	3.7%	299	8,852	3.4%	407	12,540	3.2%	466	16,079	2.9%
Adults 45-64	298	4,277	7.0%	297	4,371	6.8%	372	7,966	4.7%	465	9,955	4.7%
Adults 65+	51	3,622	1.4%	54	3,630	1.5%	50	3,717	1.3%	66	3,950	1.7%
Alaskan Native or American Indian	٨	170	٨	٨	170	۸	14	215	6.5%	11	288	3.8%
Asian or Pacific Islander	^	399	۸	13	402	3.2%	16	675	2.4%	13	856	1.5%
Black	40	635	6.3%	37	619	6.0%	58	839	6.9%	63	942	6.7%
Hispanic	198	8,631	2.3%	214	8,994	2.4%	259	12,596	2.1%	329	16,000	2.19
White	360	5,391	6.7%	324		6.0%	415	7,758	5.3%	476	,	5.3%
Other	۸	129	۸	۸	126	^	^	188	^	^	228	۸
Unknown	45	1,026	4.4%	52	1,126	4.6%	^	1,952	^	^	2,643	۸
Female	407	10,041	4.1%	408	10,438	3.9%	519	14,056	3.7%	620	16,834	3.7%
Male	256	6,340	4.0%	242	6,415	3.8%	310	10,167	3.0%	377	13,150	2.9%
18%				P	enetration Ra ve or More SMF	tes by Age						
18% 16% 14%				P	enetration Ra	tes by Age						
16% 14% 12% 10%				Pe Adults With Fi	enetration Ra	tes by Age						
16% 14% 12%				P	enetration Ra	tes by Age						
16% 14% 12% 10%				Pe Adults With Fi	enetration Ra ve or More SMF	tes by Age						
16%       14%       12%       10%       8%	3.4%	3.2%		Pe Adults With Fi	enetration Ra ve or More SMF	tes by Age IS Visits**, By F	iscal Year					
16%         14%         12%         10%         8%         6%         4%		_ 3.2%	2.9%	Pe Adults With Fi	enetration Ra ve or More SMF	tes by Age IS Visits**, By F	iscal Year	%			1.20/	1.7%
16%         14%         12%         10%         8%         6%         4%         3.7%         2%				Pe Adults With Fi	enetration Ra ve or More SMF	tes by Age IS Visits**, By F	iscal Year	%	1.4%	_ 1.5%	1.3%	1.7%
16%         14%         12%         10%         8%         6%         4%         2%         0%         FY 11-12	_ 3.4% FY 12-13	- 3.2%		Pe Adults With Fi	enetration Ra ve or More SMF	tes by Age IS Visits**, By F	iscal Year 4.7	%1 1 1 1	11-12		1.3% FY 13-14 (n= 3,717)	1.7% FY 14-15 (n= 3,950)

\*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system. \*\*Adults that have received at least five SMHS that were claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least five (5) or more different days in the Fiscal Year.

Penetration rates decreased because the number of Affordable Care Act SMHS eligible beneficiaries increased markedly beginning in SFY 2013-14 while claims increased more gradually.

## Penetration Rates\* Report: Adults with Five or More SMHS Visits\*\* Madera County



\*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system.


\*\*Adults that have received at least five SMHS that were claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least five (5) or more different days in the Fiscal Year.

Penetration rates decreased because the number of Affordable Care Act SMHS eligible beneficiaries increased markedly beginning in SFY 2013-14 while claims increased more gradually.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

#### Utilization Report\*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Adults Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year\* Madera County

Fiscal Year	SDMC Total Approved	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)				Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Full Day Treatment Intensive (Hours)	Full Day Rehabilitation (Hours)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Fee for Service Inpatient (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
FY 11-12	2,51	8 1,086	552	232	221	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY 12-13	2,36	7 1,095	578	218	250	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY 13-14	1,95	4 698	487	140	256	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY 14-15	1,65	5 624	422	158	326	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MEAN	\$ 2,123.6	876	510	187	263	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



\*The graphs are color coded so that those reported in the same unit of analysis (e.g., minutes) are colored similarly.

Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of adults that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.

#### Utilization Report\*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Adults Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year\* Madera County


Full Day	y Treatment Inten Benefi By Service F		nique	Full Day Re	habilitation Hou By Service I	ırs Per Unique Be Fiscal Year	neficiary	Hospita	Il Inpatient Days By Service I	Per Unique Bene Fiscal Year	ficiary
0 FY 11-12	0 FY 12-13	0 FY 13-14	0 FY 14-15		0 FY 12-13	0 FY 13-14	0 FY 14-15	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 FY 12-13	0 FY 13-14	0 FY 14-1
(n = ) Hospital In	(n = ) patient Admin Da By Service F	(n = ) ays Per Unique Be iscal Year	(n = ) eneficiary	1 1 1 1 1	(n = ) vice Inpatient Da By Service I	(n = ) ays Per Unique Bo Fiscal Year	(n = ) eneficiary	(n = ) Crisis Resid	(n = ) lential Treatmen Benefi By Service I		(n = ) er Unique
0 FY 11-12	0 FY 12-13	0 FY 13-14	0 FY 14-15	1 0 0 0 FY 11-12	0 FY 12-13	0 FY 13-14	0 FY 14-15	1 0 0 0 0 	0.0 FY 12-13	0.0 FY 13-14	0.0 FY 14-1
<sup>(n = )</sup> Adult Resid	<sup>(n = )</sup> lential Treatment Benefic By Service F		(n = ) er Unique	1	(n = ) Health Facility D By Service I	(n = ) ays Per Unique B Fiscal Year	(n = ) eneficiary	(n = )	(n = )	(n = )	(n = )
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0				
FY 11-12	FY 12-13	FY 13-14	FY 14-15	FY 11-12	FY 12-13	FY 13-14	FY 14-15				


\*The graphs are color coded so that those reported in the same unit of analysis (e.g., minutes) are colored similarly. Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of adults that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.

# Snapshot Report: Unique Count of Adults Receiving SMHS Arriving, Exiting, and with Service Continuance by Fiscal Year Madera County


Category	Description (Please refer to the Measures Catalog for more detailed descriptions on all Performance Outcomes System measures.)
Arrivals	Adults that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months of their first date of service in the Fiscal Year.
Service Continuance	Adults receiving continuous services with no breaks in service greater than 90 days for a period of at least 2 years (>= 2 YR) or a period of 1 to 2 years (< 2 YR).
Exiting	Adults that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months after their last date of service in the Fiscal Year.
Arriving & Exiting	A distinct category in which adults met both the criteria for Arrivals and Exiting above for the fiscal year.
Service Continuance &	
Exiting	A distinct category in which adults had at least 2 years of Service Continuance going into the Fiscal Year and then Exited within the same Fiscal Year.

Service Fiscal Year	Arrivals Count	Arrivals %	Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) Count	Continuance	Service Continuance (<2 YR) Count	Service Continuance (< 2 YR) %	Exiting Count	Exiting %	Arriving & Exiting Count	Arriving & Exiting %		Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) and Exiting %		Total %
FY 12-13	167	17.5%	180	18.9%	133	14.0%	152	16.0%	304	31.9%	16	1.7%	952	100%
FY 13-14	390	30.1%	167	12.9%	144	11.1%	155	12.0%	427	33.0%	11	0.9%	1,294	100%
FY 14 - 15	290	17.4%	166	9.9%	259	15.5%	254	15.2%	683	40.9%	17	1.0%	1,669	100%






# Fiscal Year 13-14 Arrivals, Service Continuance, & Exits Distribution







# Time to Step Down Report: Adults Stepping Down in SMHS Services Post Inpatient Discharge Madera County

Service FY	Count of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge	Count of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 30 Days of Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 30 Days of Discharge	Count of Inpatient Discharges with a Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with a Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge	Count of Inpatient Discharges with No Step Down*	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with No Step Down*	Minimum Number of Days between Discharge and Step Down	Maximum Number of Days between Discharge and Step Down	Mean Time to Next Contact Post Inpatient Discharge (Days)	Median Time Next Contact I Inpatient Discharge (Days)
Y 11-12	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0	
Y 12-13	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0		-	0	0.0	
Y 13-14	0		-		-		0					
Y 14-15	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0	
10 9 8			rvice in Days		ΔΝ		ΉА	RT	Service in I	Days	-	
6 5												
6 5	ROC FY 10-11		CEC				S 0.0 FY 10-11				R D	0. <b>E</b> 13-14
6 5 0 100% 80%		ງມູດ	CEC	Py 12-13	FY 13-14		S 0.0 FY 10-11			TOF	R D	
		ງມູດ	CEC	Py 12-13	FY 13-14		S <sub>0.0</sub> FY 10-11			TOF	R D	
		ງມູດ	CEC	Py 12-13	FY 13-14		S <sub>0.0</sub> FY 10-11			TOF	R D	
		ງມູດ	CEC	Py 12-13	FY 13-14		S <sub>0.0</sub> FY 10-11			TOF	R D	
6 5 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	FY 10-11	ງມູດ	CEC	Py 12-13	FY 13-14		S <sub>0.0</sub> FY 10-11			TOF	R D	
100% 80% 60% 40% 20%	FY 10-11	FY 11-12	CEC	P o FC	FY 13-14		S <sub>0.0</sub> FY 10-11			TOF	R D FY	

were moved to a community-based program or beneficiaries that were incarcerated.