

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Report run on 11/23/2016

## Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

## Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

## Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 16,913 (statewide) compared to 16,789 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 124 subclass members.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$171,684,843 (statewide) compared to \$168,783,911 for the last reporting period. This is a 2% increase of \$2,900,932.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 21,656,797 (statewide) compared to 21,389,110 for the last reporting period. This is a 1% increase of 267,687 minutes.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 23,621,314 (statewide) compared to 23,242,182 for the last reporting period. This is a 2% increase of 379,132 minutes.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 11,702 (statewide) compared to 11,623 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 79 subclass members.

# Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Report run on 11/23/2016

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 8,845 (statewide) compared to 8,770 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 75 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 52.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 50.

## Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes\*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

\* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.

## Notes Updated: March 28, 2016

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2015. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2015, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at [MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov) or **916-650-6525** for any questions regarding this report.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 11/23/2016

## Supplemental Accessibility Tables

**Table Name:** Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
-	8,337	27,340	47,648	78,073	63,314	59,952	79,556	73,054	90,472	101,047	100,717

**Table Name:** Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
8,440	8,108	7,919	7,853	7,689	7,826	7,842	7,911	8,264	7,795	7,667	7,504

**Table Name:** Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
3,550,605	3,325,592	3,659,220	4,076,221	3,492,241	3,517,272	3,696,815	4,106,646	4,329,276	3,997,523	4,111,858	3,414,842

**Table Name:** Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>1</sup> Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
\$ 15,333,710	\$ 14,135,745	\$ 14,425,183	\$ 15,195,378	\$ 13,340,996	\$ 14,196,307	\$ 14,253,305	\$ 14,826,536	\$ 16,158,210	\$ 13,902,392	\$ 13,326,202	\$ 12,590,879

**Table Name:** ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	4,558	4,392	4,367	4,344	4,209	4,386	4,459	4,491	4,601	4,530	4,375	4,320
IHBS	3,822	3,674	3,693	3,684	3,469	3,523	3,589	3,534	3,672	3,617	3,494	3,468

**Table Name:** Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month<sup>2</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	378	369	399	421	406	389	403	410	426	405	429	358
IHBS	458	444	497	582	491	493	509	617	621	575	619	519

<sup>1</sup> All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>2</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

# SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)<sup>1</sup> by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And  
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"  
 Report Run on 11/23/2016

## Supplemental Accessibility Tables

**Table Name:** Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
-	254	509	1,339	2,115	1,797	1,527	2,240	2,190	2,599	3,324	2,614

**Table Name:** Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
212	204	227	235	249	229	240	241	256	238	222	218

**Table Name:** Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
80,263	71,293	80,651	104,748	78,602	71,477	72,843	86,250	91,199	81,831	74,189	69,640

**Table Name:** Total Approved Amount for All Services<sup>2</sup> Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
\$ 353,135	\$ 324,440	\$ 394,323	\$ 473,514	\$ 447,322	\$ 412,570	\$ 418,821	\$ 434,363	\$ 530,965	\$ 431,115	\$ 378,501	\$ 367,290

**Table Name:** ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	100	94	97	102	106	102	104	104	118	118	96	101
IHBS	61	64	68	68	68	59	61	64	75	76	68	60

**Table Name:** Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month<sup>3</sup>

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	246	368	394	478	354	383	348	462	392	375	443	317
IHBS	913	574	624	823	603	549	601	597	599	495	466	627

<sup>1</sup> Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

<sup>2</sup> All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

<sup>3</sup> Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

**Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service**

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 11/23/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,902,789	271,464	459,456	229,356	14,354	71,507	3,756,765	2,028	^	^	-	^	^	^	703
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	36	\$ 211,763	51,657	31,870	^	^	2,822	12,527	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	156	\$ 1,716,066	223,431	102,488	9,392	4,363	52,510	406,125	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	31	\$ 119,352	^	7,661	11,896	^	^	22,358	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 64,186	^	^	^	^	^	20,369	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	444	\$ 10,101,156	624,560	655,556	305,542	8,746	64,907	2,373,360	1,004	^	^	^	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	48	\$ 353,171	46,910	8,743	32,762	-	^	85,760	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	604	\$ 5,537,332	103,498	34,582	397,080	2,729	42,221	1,579,373	2,448	^	^	-	-	-	-	224
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 302,677	76,133	21,972	2,584	^	^	16,623	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	117	\$ 2,714,261	500,397	95,106	51,351	^	^	28,934	350,968	221	-	-	^	-	-	-
13	Imperial*	133	\$ 858,045	50,067	8,702	2,829	^	^	23,768	102,727	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	198	\$ 1,579,401	42,422	32,510	12,093	8,506	23,909	337,143	559	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	41	\$ 213,210	22,157	3,023	8,733	^	^	49,567	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	42	\$ 79,935	^	18,011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,739	\$ 49,132,381	11,368,289	12,350,693	22,701	25,579	103,243	1,568,386	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	168	\$ 390,322	-	12,950	55,008	^	^	1,700	113,823	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	54	\$ 971,854	51,684	78,713	39,068	^	^	5,535	90,100	^	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	21	\$ 92,512	^	^	^	^	^	11,576	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	93	\$ 408,709	111,903	90,896	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	150	\$ 1,180,298	33,823	52,404	17,442	^	^	2,250	177,976	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	332	\$ 2,234,057	334,408	575,592	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	41	\$ 601,862	31,577	43,745	5,330	^	^	48,868	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 367,750	11,369	18,071	26,141	^	^	92,106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,130	\$ 6,214,253	165,439	270,187	206,736	32,285	111,679	1,511,334	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-
31	Placer*	53	\$ 540,111	18,897	25,740	14,555	^	^	130,269	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,938	\$ 8,219,823	1,090,147	860,672	383,776	7,558	128,414	2,454,245	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
34	Sacramento*	574	\$ 3,330,338	518,038	796,129	1,193,257	4,855	370,970	2,239,053	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	974	\$ 8,477,222	1,138,541	948,263	207,542	10,839	81,335	2,142,111	^	^	^	-	-	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	986	\$ 10,776,170	224,509	776,756	21,614	5,909	177,658	1,180,650	1,038	187,348	^	-	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	299	\$ 13,395,355	2,837,210	1,043,509	104,921	^	^	747,216	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	355	\$ 2,236,661	132,883	155,114	81,968	11,547	39,168	453,621	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,182,012	736,574	197,290	20,132	5,714	34,347	378,281	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	114	\$ 1,648,753	101,478	44,771	24,204	^	^	197,288	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	192	\$ 2,069,805	123,415	194,727	39,529	10,302	47,414	461,149	-	-	-	^	-	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	611	\$ 6,174,465	966,917	726,022	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	111	\$ 1,365,665	258,857	68,708	18,181	^	^	141,383	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	78	\$ 1,177,292	30,937	109,278	36,433	^	^	242,222	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	30	\$ 133,301	8,087	7,890	^	-	^	18,570	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	152	\$ 2,696,158	205,159	123,950	32,427	^	^	433,066	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	182	\$ 525,578	150,423	127,833	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	161	\$ 2,195,394	145,437	88,648	61,262	5,289	14,380	488,297	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	61	\$ 20,134	-	10,890	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	33	\$ 58,904	-	-	^	-	^	24,924	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	141	\$ 1,024,044	91,248	70,808	60,776	5,259	21,884	201,197	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	13	\$ 68,109	^	^	^	-	^	7,102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	299	\$ 4,213,691	469,663	182,759	159,270	^	^	881,534	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	45	\$ 173,183	119,133	102,853	^	-	^	163,786	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 324,153	-	^	20,078	^	^	45,105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>16,913</b>	<b>\$ 171,684,843</b>	<b>23,621,314</b>	<b>21,656,797</b>	<b>3,930,625</b>	<b>180,983</b>	<b>1,609,237</b>	<b>25,785,909</b>	<b>8,238</b>	<b>197,645</b>	<b>36,138</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>1,326</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

**Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service**

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 11/23/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,902,789	\$ 589,543	\$ 791,756	\$ 426,756	\$ 48,037	\$ 300,729	\$ 8,643,211	\$ 203,174	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 560,919
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	36	\$ 211,763	\$ 103,055	\$ 63,043	^	^	\$ 13,601	\$ 30,630	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Butte*	156	\$ 1,716,066	\$ 406,116	\$ 180,823	\$ 16,063	\$ 14,212	\$ 230,787	\$ 830,762	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	Calaveras*	31	\$ 119,352	^	\$ 18,200	\$ 28,794	^	^	\$ 56,580	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 64,186	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 53,162	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	Contra Costa*	444	\$ 10,101,156	\$ 1,674,078	\$ 1,197,831	\$ 577,571	\$ 41,484	\$ 319,244	\$ 5,938,777	\$ 107,713	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte*^																
9	El Dorado*	48	\$ 353,171	\$ 98,605	\$ 17,175	\$ 64,886	\$ -	^	\$ 168,216	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	604	\$ 5,537,332	\$ 257,025	\$ 47,597	\$ 802,989	\$ 11,428	\$ 240,057	\$ 3,793,703	\$ 205,462	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 133,745
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 302,677	\$ 200,731	\$ 45,702	\$ 5,375	^	^	\$ 43,944	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	117	\$ 2,714,261	\$ 1,253,249	\$ 205,254	\$ 110,385	^	\$ 117,033	\$ 957,014	\$ 23,833	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -
13	Imperial*	133	\$ 858,045	\$ 205,578	\$ 26,854	\$ 8,886	^	\$ 186,030	\$ 420,848	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo*^																
15	Kern*	198	\$ 1,579,401	\$ 126,369	\$ 84,528	\$ 32,486	\$ 40,097	\$ 147,127	\$ 1,050,679	\$ 45,000	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
16	Kings*	41	\$ 213,210	\$ 49,672	\$ 5,501	\$ 15,497	^	\$ 22,116	\$ 115,780	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake	42	\$ 79,935	^	\$ 36,148	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen*^																
19	Los Angeles*	4,739	\$ 49,132,381	\$ 25,128,823	\$ 20,368,856	\$ 41,475	\$ 15,654	\$ 417,663	\$ 3,159,910	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	168	\$ 390,322	\$ -	\$ 23,702	\$ 104,849	^	\$ 6,953	\$ 252,798	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	54	\$ 971,854	\$ 131,541	\$ 265,645	\$ 97,528	^	\$ 58,742	\$ 396,799	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa*	21	\$ 92,512	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 44,302	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	93	\$ 408,709	\$ 237,355	\$ 171,354	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	150	\$ 1,180,298	\$ 140,339	\$ 195,712	\$ 64,361	^	\$ 12,697	\$ 749,297	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc*^																
26	Mono*^																
27	Monterey	332	\$ 2,234,057	\$ 1,014,382	\$ 1,219,675	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	41	\$ 601,862	\$ 98,395	\$ 212,230	\$ 28,353	^	\$ 39,360	\$ 210,245	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 367,750	\$ 29,162	\$ 36,504	\$ 51,234	^	\$ 19,077	\$ 230,538	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	1,130	\$ 6,214,253	\$ 303,154	\$ 517,225	\$ 491,570	\$ 168,193	\$ 526,765	\$ 4,176,208	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31	Placer*	53	\$ 540,111	\$ 54,596	\$ 76,351	\$ 35,038	^	\$ 19,798	\$ 352,255	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas*^																
33	Riverside*	1,938	\$ 8,219,823	\$ 1,550,528	\$ 1,103,272	\$ 836,461	\$ 24,583	\$ 613,087	\$ 3,966,737	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
34	Sacramento*	574	\$ 3,330,338	\$ 420,457	\$ 468,235	\$ 582,991	\$ 2,900	\$ 249,093	\$ 1,606,662	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito*^																
36	San Bernardino*	974	\$ 8,477,222	\$ 2,199,984	\$ 1,050,707	\$ 303,670	\$ 45,685	\$ 329,625	\$ 4,324,568	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	^
37	San Diego*	986	\$ 10,776,170	\$ 598,977	\$ 1,614,048	\$ 39,431	\$ 24,237	\$ 873,025	\$ 3,030,320	\$ 58,356	\$ 4,284,988	^	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	^
38	San Francisco*	299	\$ 13,395,355	\$ 8,754,910	\$ 2,369,275	\$ 196,624	^	\$ 89,174	\$ 1,985,208	\$ 6,624	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	355	\$ 2,236,661	\$ 315,518	\$ 282,078	\$ 164,128	\$ 31,887	\$ 217,525	\$ 1,116,090	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,182,012	\$ 1,465,804	\$ 458,701	\$ 67,581	\$ 13,257	\$ 159,058	\$ 969,104	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
41	San Mateo*	114	\$ 1,648,753	\$ 247,931	\$ 109,728	\$ 72,323	^	\$ 131,085	\$ 668,641	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara*	192	\$ 2,069,805	\$ 269,395	\$ 388,529	\$ 69,250	\$ 32,925	\$ 220,449	\$ 1,082,695	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*	611	\$ 6,174,465	\$ 3,936,268	\$ 2,238,197	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	111	\$ 1,365,665	\$ 737,195	\$ 201,746	\$ 50,890	^	^	\$ 333,579	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	78	\$ 1,177,292	\$ 98,149	\$ 291,513	\$ 93,812	^	\$ 72,957	\$ 608,031	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	30	\$ 133,301	\$ 31,455	\$ 22,727	^	^	^	\$ 71,520	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	152	\$ 2,696,158	\$ 515,568	\$ 343,689	\$ 93,848	^	\$ 74,456	\$ 1,524,106	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
49	Sonoma	182	\$ 525,578	\$ 308,147	\$ 217,431	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	161	\$ 2,195,394	\$ 335,605	\$ 180,417	\$ 168,579	\$ 21,419	\$ 81,107	\$ 1,229,871	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	61	\$ 20,134	\$ -	\$ 20,134	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	33	\$ 58,904	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 55,848	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	141	\$ 1,024,044	\$ 218,669	\$ 128,345	\$ 110,152	\$ 17,749	\$ 90,369	\$ 458,760	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolumne*	13	\$ 68,109	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 18,536	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Yentura*	299	\$ 4,213,691	\$ 1,152,418	\$ 355,912	\$ 291,575	^	\$ 186,301	\$ 2,225,577	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	45	\$ 173,183	\$ 36,948	\$ 21,872	^	\$ -	\$ 14,230	\$ 91,265	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 324,153	\$ -	^	\$ 58,307	^	\$ 72,054	\$ 170,958	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>16,913</b>	<b>\$ 171,684,843</b>	<b>\$ 55,615,555</b>	<b>\$ 37,729,852</b>	<b>\$ 6,227,435</b>	<b>\$ 639,083</b>	<b>\$ 6,196,181</b>	<b>\$ 57,289,799</b>	<b>\$ 736,709</b>	<b>\$ 4,490,191</b>	<b>\$ 1,482,497</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\$ 228,220</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>\$ 963,474</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

### Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 11/23/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	593	\$ 11,902,789	109	268	296	47	164	508	51	^	^	-	^	^	^	22
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	36	\$ 211,763	26	32	^	^	16	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	156	\$ 1,716,066	68	100	50	20	54	121	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	31	\$ 119,352	^	19	17	^	^	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 64,186	^	^	^	^	^	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	444	\$ 10,101,156	128	385	271	34	140	376	38	^	^	^	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	48	\$ 353,171	25	35	43	-	^	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	604	\$ 5,537,332	144	112	437	19	235	511	66	^	^	-	-	-	-	21
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 302,677	29	32	17	^	^	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	117	\$ 2,714,261	34	80	63	^	67	111	13	-	^	-	^	-	-	-
13	Imperial*	133	\$ 858,045	115	41	27	^	96	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	198	\$ 1,579,401	82	122	71	25	102	184	17	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	41	\$ 213,210	19	18	34	^	14	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	42	\$ 79,935	^	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,739	\$ 49,132,381	4,350	4,214	123	50	186	523	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	168	\$ 390,322	-	14	124	^	12	163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	54	\$ 971,854	16	37	47	^	14	49	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	21	\$ 92,512	^	^	^	^	^	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	93	\$ 408,709	65	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	150	\$ 1,180,298	21	50	58	^	12	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	332	\$ 2,234,057	207	257	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	41	\$ 601,862	14	20	23	^	18	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	48	\$ 367,750	17	33	43	^	16	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,130	\$ 6,214,253	121	282	489	117	258	1,089	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	53	\$ 540,111	24	42	37	^	15	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,938	\$ 8,219,823	495	1,190	498	44	568	1,511	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
34	Sacramento*	574	\$ 3,330,338	248	400	466	12	259	481	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	974	\$ 8,477,222	507	730	219	42	317	843	^	^	^	-	-	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	986	\$ 10,776,170	259	658	97	42	446	752	65	272	^	-	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	299	\$ 13,395,355	246	248	72	^	27	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	355	\$ 2,236,661	110	185	233	37	124	285	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	166	\$ 3,182,012	120	148	71	18	63	139	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	114	\$ 1,648,753	44	84	57	^	40	82	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	192	\$ 2,069,805	50	146	103	27	89	154	-	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	611	\$ 6,174,465	532	596	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	111	\$ 1,365,665	99	82	41	^	^	64	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	78	\$ 1,177,292	30	67	59	^	46	72	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	30	\$ 133,301	15	18	^	-	^	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	152	\$ 2,696,158	53	104	101	^	37	140	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	182	\$ 525,578	105	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	161	\$ 2,195,394	68	83	91	19	84	150	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	61	\$ 20,134	-	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	33	\$ 58,904	-	-	^	-	^	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	141	\$ 1,024,044	57	120	103	19	49	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*	13	\$ 68,109	^	^	^	-	^	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	299	\$ 4,213,691	129	216	181	^	63	231	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	45	\$ 173,183	19	23	^	-	15	21	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 324,153	-	^	26	^	21	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Statewide^^</b>	<b>16,913</b>	<b>\$ 171,684,843</b>	<b>8,845</b>	<b>11,702</b>	<b>4,744</b>	<b>654</b>	<b>3,712</b>	<b>9,521</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>^</b>	<b>65</b>

\* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

\*\* Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

\*\*\* Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

## Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

### Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

### Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

### Query Methodology:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
3. While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
4. Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
  - These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
  - The claiming policy has been updated as follows:  
*"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."*
5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals