

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Report run on 7/22/2016

Overview

The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement – in place since December 2011 - outlines a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the second week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 15,414 (statewide) compared to 14,222 for the last reporting period. This is a 8% increase of 1,192 subclass members.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$140,905,819 (statewide) compared to \$22,060,981 for the last reporting period. This is a 19% increase of \$22,060,981.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 17,510,131 (statewide) compared to 15,103,942 for the last reporting period. This is a 16% increase of 2,406,189 minutes.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 18,768,308 (statewide) compared to 16,029,390 for the last reporting period. This is a 17% increase of 2,738,918 minutes.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 10,453 (statewide) compared to 9,414 for the last reporting period. This is a 11% increase of 1,039 subclass members.

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Report run on 7/22/2016

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 7,795 (statewide) compared to 7,157 for the last reporting period. This is a 9% increase of 638 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 52.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 50.

Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.





Notes Updated: March 28, 2016

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.
- 5) As of 3/1/2016 the query methodology was updated to search for "HK" modifiers (which indicate ICC and IHBS services) in 837 claim file primary, secondary and tertiary modifier positions. Previously, only the primary modifier position was queried for "HK" modifiers.
- 6) The "**Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members** Count of service lines by Month of Submission" (page 3) are zero in July 2015. This submission rate is due to instructions that were provided to the counties and providers to delay submission of claims until new rate tables were approved. In July 2015, counties and providers did not submit claims from July 1st to July 16th. The delay in claim submissions has no impact on services provided to clients.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 7/22/2016




¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.


SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 7/22/2016

ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members By Service Month²



Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member By Service Month²



¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 7/22/2016

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
-	8,341	27,356	47,673	78,141	63,468	60,806	80,340	73,320	90,745	101,283	100,916

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
8,421	8,082	7,894	7,766	7,377	7,490	7,356	7,299	7,310	6,286	3,266	949

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
3,524,961	3,308,080	3,628,758	4,022,163	3,448,109	3,511,234	3,641,279	3,852,521	3,791,313	2,493,675	946,901	109,446

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services¹ Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
\$ 15,307,880	\$ 14,068,184	\$ 14,314,721	\$ 14,967,588	\$ 12,653,866	\$ 13,091,048	\$ 13,000,284	\$ 13,312,557	\$ 14,009,654	\$ 9,566,751	\$ 5,394,822	\$ 1,218,463

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	4,541	4,366	4,322	4,284	4,123	4,285	4,348	4,258	4,184	3,465	1,444	305
IHBS	3,806	3,651	3,664	3,629	3,366	3,422	3,503	3,343	3,304	2,654	938	164

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month²



	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	378	370	400	422	410	397	404	404	411	344	-	-
IHBS	458	444	497	582	499	508	517	613	603	468	547	316

¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 7/22/2016



¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.


³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

[^] Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.


SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 7/22/2016

ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members By Service Month³



Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member By Service Month³



¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
Report Run on 7/22/2016

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
-	254	509	1,339	2,117	1,800	1,540	2,240	2,193	2,607	3,327	2,616

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
212	203	227	230	246	224	232	230	228	181	102	42

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
66,580	70,386	79,703	102,374	78,040	70,365	72,362	80,998	80,288	60,072	22,588	6,176

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services² Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
\$ 346,662	\$ 319,482	\$ 391,824	\$ 459,869	\$ 431,661	\$ 398,077	\$ 403,619	\$ 398,116	\$ 469,516	\$ 298,376	\$ 171,664	\$ 55,526

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	99	90	94	99	104	99	103	95	102	83	37	16
IHBS	60	64	65	66	65	56	61	60	64	54	20	^

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month³

	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
ICC	245	374	402	483	360	387	350	466	423	437	383	150
IHBS	705	574	645	827	624	572	596	613	580	441	421	419

¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 7/22/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation - Full Day (Hours)	Day Treatment Intensive - Full Day (Hours)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	579	\$ 11,006,141	252,320	425,863	452,585	13,447	65,657	3,650,461	1,660	^	^	-	^	^	^	686
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	26	\$ 114,411	30,009	16,178	5,758	^	^	5,753	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	154	\$ 1,517,364	187,944	87,484	7,786	3,332	43,214	330,289	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	29	\$ 95,396	^	6,978	12,567	^	^	14,635	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 63,543	^	^	^	^	^	20,362	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	425	\$ 9,065,406	568,947	601,713	559,640	7,950	58,672	2,423,485	888	^	^	^	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	44	\$ 256,573	32,195	6,570	19,358	-	^	64,555	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	521	\$ 2,963,349	78,030	28,580	229,014	^	21,407	927,345	930	^	^	-	-	-	-	127
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 301,726	75,923	21,972	5,951	^	^	30,182	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	82	\$ 1,516,885	244,337	78,443	26,495	^	14,889	203,976	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
13	Imperial*	129	\$ 768,381	45,458	8,546	2,699	^	20,948	92,148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	184	\$ 1,401,672	37,095	29,518	9,436	6,448	21,450	306,649	508	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	41	\$ 196,971	21,160	3,023	8,794	^	5,192	43,830	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	40	\$ 74,563	^	16,781	4,515	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,146	\$ 39,269,028	8,784,431	9,584,089	5,916,140	9,875	55,910	5,313,737	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	163	\$ 346,012	-	11,590	49,487	^	^	100,987	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	52	\$ 820,161	44,238	66,366	51,473	^	4,639	99,361	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	17	\$ 85,767	^	^	^	^	^	11,001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	92	\$ 378,275	104,580	84,532	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	148	\$ 1,170,361	33,823	52,219	24,394	^	2,250	175,929	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	307	\$ 1,973,431	304,337	515,103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	33	\$ 400,494	^	29,730	9,710	^	3,065	35,708	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	46	\$ 325,449	8,969	14,807	24,380	^	3,668	82,154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,126	\$ 6,176,167	165,085	266,934	229,145	31,277	110,581	1,509,625	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	42	\$ 262,039	^	^	10,565	^	^	83,236	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,787	\$ 6,733,784	853,432	686,346	413,934	4,577	103,053	2,193,672	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	491	\$ 2,360,648	420,361	630,549	1,246,009	^	342,830	1,864,792	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	895	\$ 7,610,763	1,025,356	854,531	394,859	10,169	70,841	2,094,540	^	^	^	-	-	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	907	\$ 9,068,648	193,029	660,256	154,460	4,535	147,576	987,552	908	157,024	^	-	^	-	-	^
38	San Francisco*	275	\$ 10,124,739	2,094,154	787,247	571,367	^	11,250	1,968,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	302	\$ 1,768,555	105,440	116,817	107,786	10,765	33,027	392,968	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	146	\$ 2,785,090	639,194	170,746	48,999	5,172	29,404	362,544	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	114	\$ 1,593,170	99,404	44,401	23,864	^	16,807	181,547	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	182	\$ 1,959,318	117,377	180,300	90,291	9,294	44,738	526,287	-	-	-	^	-	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	568	\$ 4,593,380	903,512	658,622	472,547	-	-	467,871	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	99	\$ 1,160,606	189,999	52,947	33,544	^	^	149,270	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	76	\$ 883,556	25,108	96,975	30,504	^	15,973	127,962	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	29	\$ 121,363	7,188	7,191	4,279	-	^	17,124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	146	\$ 2,183,753	130,228	100,034	23,778	^	8,461	352,362	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	152	\$ 359,958	115,076	96,447	8,796	-	-	97,274	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	137	\$ 1,433,890	60,422	46,155	48,717	3,156	10,351	353,821	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	51	\$ 14,287	-	7,763	7,763	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	31	\$ 52,559	-	-	^	-	^	22,228	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	139	\$ 961,082	85,388	66,479	55,838	4,893	20,232	188,743	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	286	\$ 3,841,952	436,441	166,102	147,035	-	36,736	809,825	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	42	\$ 130,979	119,133	102,567	^	-	15,845	141,056	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 308,097	-	^	22,182	^	9,590	42,559	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Statewide**	15,414	\$ 140,905,819	18,768,308	17,510,131	11,580,425	144,558	1,362,813	28,903,018	5,906	165,210	30,876	^	^	^	^	1,171

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 7/22/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	579	\$ 11,006,141	\$ 539,960	\$ 724,257	\$ 809,435	\$ 45,114	\$ 277,451	\$ 8,333,073	\$ 163,012	^	^	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 548,713
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	26	\$ 114,411	\$ 59,758	\$ 31,829	\$ 11,327	^	^	\$ 13,627	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Butte*	154	\$ 1,517,364	\$ 372,842	\$ 166,463	\$ 14,649	\$ 10,854	\$ 189,790	\$ 735,367	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	Calaveras*	29	\$ 95,396	^	\$ 16,651	\$ 30,366	^	^	\$ 37,815	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 63,543	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 53,146	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	Contra Costa*	425	\$ 9,065,406	\$ 1,499,164	\$ 1,085,030	\$ 1,032,861	\$ 37,707	\$ 287,892	\$ 6,042,294	\$ 94,365	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte**^																
9	El Dorado*	44	\$ 256,573	\$ 68,838	\$ 13,128	\$ 40,288	-	^	\$ 131,933	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	521	\$ 2,963,349	\$ 190,997	\$ 35,473	\$ 442,145	^	\$ 126,281	\$ 2,201,070	\$ 86,468	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 75,169
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 301,726	\$ 200,175	\$ 45,702	\$ 12,379	^	^	\$ 79,877	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	82	\$ 1,516,885	\$ 637,712	\$ 170,219	\$ 56,761	^	\$ 62,330	\$ 564,214	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -
13	Imperial*	129	\$ 768,381	\$ 185,568	\$ 26,327	\$ 8,717	^	\$ 163,272	\$ 375,619	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo**^																
15	Kern*	184	\$ 1,401,672	\$ 113,673	\$ 75,364	\$ 24,967	\$ 27,707	\$ 130,241	\$ 937,633	\$ 38,974	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
16	Kings*	41	\$ 196,971	\$ 48,034	\$ 5,501	\$ 15,806	^	\$ 21,732	\$ 101,857	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake	40	\$ 74,563	^	\$ 33,668	\$ 9,060	-	\$ -	^	\$ 9,060	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen**^																
19	Los Angeles*	4,146	\$ 39,269,028	\$ 20,179,476	\$ 16,519,238	\$ 10,058,566	\$ 12,545	\$ 252,616	\$ 12,196,563	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	163	\$ 346,012	\$ -	\$ 21,050	\$ 94,424	^	^	\$ 224,503	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	52	\$ 820,161	\$ 112,853	\$ 216,113	\$ 137,953	^	\$ 48,608	\$ 394,885	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa*	17	\$ 85,767	^	^	^	^	^	\$ 42,031	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	92	\$ 378,275	\$ 219,881	\$ 158,395	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	148	\$ 1,170,361	\$ 140,339	\$ 195,022	\$ 92,111	^	\$ 12,697	\$ 743,317	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc**^																
26	Mono**^																
27	Monterey	307	\$ 1,973,431	\$ 894,556	\$ 1,078,875	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	33	\$ 400,494	^	\$ 147,512	\$ 52,996	^	\$ 20,870	\$ 141,665	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
29	Nevada*	46	\$ 325,449	\$ 23,410	\$ 29,909	\$ 48,109	^	\$ 17,679	\$ 211,343	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	1,126	\$ 6,176,167	\$ 309,019	\$ 514,749	\$ 531,748	\$ 163,966	\$ 521,911	\$ 4,169,829	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31	Placer*	42	\$ 262,039	^	^	\$ 26,147	^	^	\$ 220,693	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas**^																
33	Riverside*	1,787	\$ 6,733,784	\$ 1,196,322	\$ 869,564	\$ 823,055	\$ 14,631	\$ 482,915	\$ 3,464,360	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34	Sacramento*	491	\$ 2,360,648	\$ 307,805	\$ 333,232	\$ 539,464	^	\$ 185,346	\$ 1,145,258	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito**^																
36	San Bernardino*	895	\$ 7,610,763	\$ 2,019,926	\$ 932,248	\$ 502,889	\$ 42,672	\$ 299,640	\$ 4,246,884	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	^
37	San Diego*	907	\$ 9,068,648	\$ 522,552	\$ 1,381,252	\$ 320,086	\$ 18,603	\$ 726,510	\$ 2,558,298	\$ 51,041	\$ 3,591,147	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	^
38	San Francisco*	275	\$ 10,124,739	\$ 6,655,740	\$ 1,848,043	\$ 1,296,074	^	\$ 68,677	\$ 6,019,739	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	302	\$ 1,768,555	\$ 250,604	\$ 209,555	\$ 204,697	\$ 29,604	\$ 181,654	\$ 965,858	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
40	San Luis Obispo*	146	\$ 2,785,090	\$ 1,272,016	\$ 403,904	\$ 129,885	\$ 12,124	\$ 147,778	\$ 932,162	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
41	San Mateo*	114	\$ 1,593,170	\$ 243,656	\$ 109,342	\$ 71,116	^	\$ 127,958	\$ 623,834	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara*	182	\$ 1,959,318	\$ 254,050	\$ 359,187	\$ 172,633	\$ 31,625	\$ 207,207	\$ 1,214,429	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*	568	\$ 4,593,380	\$ 2,936,427	\$ 1,656,953	\$ 1,229,022	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,605,814	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	99	\$ 1,160,606	\$ 575,362	\$ 158,520	\$ 95,315	^	^	\$ 361,744	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	76	\$ 883,556	\$ 84,661	\$ 258,923	\$ 80,954	^	\$ 57,864	\$ 390,777	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	29	\$ 121,363	\$ 27,957	\$ 20,585	\$ 12,454	^	^	\$ 65,895	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano*	146	\$ 2,183,753	\$ 332,098	\$ 295,140	\$ 75,198	^	\$ 69,500	\$ 1,304,491	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
49	Sonoma	152	\$ 359,958	\$ 214,899	\$ 145,059	\$ 12,391	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 179,068	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	137	\$ 1,433,890	\$ 156,631	\$ 101,478	\$ 135,369	\$ 14,082	\$ 56,771	\$ 852,351	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	51	\$ 14,287	\$ -	\$ 14,287	\$ 14,287	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	31	\$ 52,559	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ 49,945	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	139	\$ 961,082	\$ 206,520	\$ 120,959	\$ 100,902	\$ 16,329	\$ 82,592	\$ 433,988	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolumne**^																
56	Ventura*	286	\$ 3,841,952	\$ 1,063,253	\$ 320,567	\$ 264,942	\$ -	\$ 174,354	\$ 2,018,836	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*	42	\$ 130,979	\$ 36,948	\$ 21,311	^	\$ -	\$ 6,752	\$ 57,913	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 308,097	\$ -	^	\$ 64,598	^	\$ 67,325	\$ 161,502	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Statewide^^	15,414	\$ 140,905,819	\$ 44,485,214	\$ 30,924,155	\$ 19,719,109	\$ 552,358	\$ 5,140,846	\$ 66,702,061	\$ 538,429	\$ 3,751,436	\$ 1,287,270	^	^	^	^	\$ 860,379

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2015 - June 2016

Report Run on 7/22/2016

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	579	\$ 11,006,141	102	256	394	46	162	522	51	^	^	-	^	^	^	22
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	26	\$ 114,411	19	23	18	^	^	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	154	\$ 1,517,364	65	96	48	15	52	119	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
5	Calaveras*	29	\$ 95,396	^	18	16	^	^	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	16	\$ 63,543	^	^	^	^	^	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	425	\$ 9,065,406	124	372	378	29	132	372	33	^	^	^	^	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	44	\$ 256,573	22	34	38	-	^	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	521	\$ 2,963,349	138	100	389	^	171	473	36	^	^	-	-	-	-	12
11	Glenn*	37	\$ 301,726	29	32	25	^	^	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	82	\$ 1,516,885	18	66	41	^	35	77	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
13	Imperial*	129	\$ 768,381	112	41	25	^	90	108	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	184	\$ 1,401,672	76	112	54	22	95	171	16	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
16	Kings*	41	\$ 196,971	19	18	34	^	13	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	40	\$ 74,563	^	40	23	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	4,146	\$ 39,269,028	3,765	3,666	2,950	33	127	3,477	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	163	\$ 346,012	-	13	120	^	^	158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	52	\$ 820,161	15	34	49	^	13	48	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*	17	\$ 85,767	^	^	^	^	^	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	92	\$ 378,275	63	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	148	\$ 1,170,361	21	50	62	^	12	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	307	\$ 1,973,431	188	241	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	33	\$ 400,494	^	17	22	^	12	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	46	\$ 325,449	17	31	41	^	16	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	1,126	\$ 6,176,167	120	278	537	115	258	1,089	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	42	\$ 262,039	^	^	29	^	^	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,787	\$ 6,733,784	424	1,038	628	30	517	1,444	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	491	\$ 2,360,648	197	325	436	^	230	421	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	895	\$ 7,610,763	479	678	576	40	297	797	^	^	^	-	-	^	-	^
37	San Diego*	907	\$ 9,068,648	230	595	418	33	422	684	58	258	^	-	^	-	-	^
38	San Francisco*	275	\$ 10,124,739	219	223	230	^	25	266	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	302	\$ 1,768,555	88	163	263	35	118	248	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	146	\$ 2,785,090	106	130	113	16	61	132	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	114	\$ 1,593,170	42	84	56	^	40	82	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	182	\$ 1,959,318	49	138	145	24	88	150	-	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	568	\$ 4,593,380	485	557	445	-	-	370	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	99	\$ 1,160,606	86	70	64	^	^	72	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	76	\$ 883,556	26	67	50	^	45	65	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	29	\$ 121,363	13	18	19	-	^	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano*	146	\$ 2,183,753	44	91	93	^	35	133	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	152	\$ 359,958	90	146	66	-	-	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	137	\$ 1,433,890	48	61	78	16	68	122	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	51	\$ 14,287	-	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	31	\$ 52,559	-	-	^	-	^	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	139	\$ 961,082	56	119	100	18	49	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	286	\$ 3,841,952	123	201	174	-	61	224	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*	42	\$ 130,979	19	22	^	-	14	18	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	34	\$ 308,097	-	^	26	^	21	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Statewide^^	15,414	\$ 140,905,819	7,795	10,453	9,365	554	7,795	12,591	239	274	56	^	^	^	^	51

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

Data Source:

Short Doyle Medi-Cal II (SD2), Copy of Production Database

Methodology:

1. The SD2 Copy of Production Database is queried for Payer Claim Control Numbers (ID numbers that are unique to each service line) found with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) value set to "KTA" and/or claimed with Intensive Care Coordination (T1017, HK) or Intensive Home Based Services (H2015, HK) services
2. The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims

Query Methodology:

1. This report defines the subclass as the total number of youth linked to claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services that were adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system
2. Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS
3. While this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members
4. Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims
 - These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community
 - The claiming policy has been updated as follows:
"ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require."
5. Claim lag: In the Short Doyle Medi-Cal II data system, there is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals