

Performance Outcomes System Foster Care Population-based Reports

Report run on August 3, 2016

Background

Two reports will be created during each new reporting period. The reports that will be produced are as follows: statewide aggregate data and population-based county groups. These reports help meet the intent of the Legislature, as stated in Welfare and Institutions Code Section 14707.5, to develop a performance outcomes system for Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) mental health services that will improve outcomes at the individual, program, and system levels and inform fiscal decision-making related to the purchase of services. This reporting effort is part of the implementation of a performance outcomes system for Medi-Cal Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) for children and youth.

Since 2012 DHCS has worked with several groups of stakeholders to create a structure for reporting, to develop the Performance Measurement Paradigm, and to develop indicators and measures. The Performance Outcomes System will be used to evaluate the domains of access, engagement, service appropriateness to need, service effectiveness, linkages, cost effectiveness and satisfaction. Further information on the Performance Measures System implementation is available on the DHCS website. Documents posted include the relevant legislation, plans submitted to the Legislature, and handouts for meetings with the Stakeholder Advisory Committee back to the first meeting in 2012. To obtain this information go to:

<http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/default.aspx>

Purpose and Overview

Population-based Foster Care county grouped data is presented in this report. County groups are organized into the following four groups based on county population: small-rural, small, medium, and large counties. The counties in each group are listed on page 3 of this report and also available in the Measures Catalog. DHCS plans to move to annual reporting of this data for the Performance Outcomes System.

The first series of charts and tables focus on the demographics of Foster Care children and youth under 21 who are receiving SMHS' based on approved claims for Medi-Cal eligible beneficiaries. Specifically, this includes demographics tables of this population by age, gender, and race/ethnicity. Two types of penetration information are provided. Both penetration rates tables are also broken out by demographic characteristics. Utilization of services data are shown in terms of dollars, as well as by service, in time increments. The snapshot table provides a point-in-time view of children/youth arriving, exiting, and continuing services over a two-year period. The time to step down table provides a view over the past four years of the time to step-down services following inpatient discharge.

Where possible, the reports provide trend information by displaying information for four Fiscal Years (FY). A FY is from July 1st to June 30th.

Utilization of services reports are shown in terms of dollars, as well as by service in time increments. The snapshot report provides a point-in-time view of children arriving, exiting, and continuing services over a two-year period. The final report provides a view over the past four years of the time to step-down services (i.e., time to next contact after an inpatient discharge). **Note:** *The time to step-down report has a change in methodology from the first report produced in February 2015. In the initial report only outpatient services provided at least one day after the inpatient discharge were included in the calculations. On subsequent reports, any outpatient service that occurs on or after the inpatient discharge is included in the analysis.*

Definitions

Population: Foster Care beneficiaries with approved services adjudicated through the Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II claiming system that were:

- Age 20 or younger during the approved date of service on the claim; or
- Age 21 during the approved date of the service on the claim and a birth date on or after January 1st of the Fiscal Year.

Data Sources:

- Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal II (SD/MC II) claims with dates of service in FY 11/12 through FY 14/15.
- Medi-Cal Eligibility Data System (MEDS) data from the Management Information System/Decision Support System (MIS/DSS) FY 11/12 through FY 14/15.
- Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS) data for children in FY 11/12 through FY 14/15.

Performance Outcomes System Foster Care Population-based Reports

Report run on August 3, 2016

Additional Information

The **Measures Catalog** is the companion document for these reports and provides the methodology and definitions for the measures. Each measure is defined and the numerator and denominator used to develop the metrics are provided with relevant notes and additional references. The Measures Catalog may be found at:

<http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/Performance-Outcomes-System-Reports-and-Measures-Catalog.aspx>

Note on Privacy:

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 42 rules protect most individually identifiable health information in any form or medium, whether electronic, on paper, or oral. DHCS has strict rules in place to protect the identification of individuals in public reports. A "Public Aggregate Reporting – DHCS Business Reports" process has been established to maintain confidentiality of client Personal Information. The Performance Outcomes System complies with Federal and State privacy laws. Thus, the POS must appropriately and accurately de-identify data for public reporting. Due to privacy concerns, some cells in this report may have been suppressed to comply with state and federal rules.

When necessary, this data is represented as follows: 1) Data that is missing is indicated as "-" 2) Data that has been suppressed due to privacy concerns is indicated as "^".

Report Interpretation

*Population-based report findings may be interpreted alongside the POS statewide report findings.

*The **penetration rates** reported here were calculated using a different methodology than that used by the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO). The differences in methodology make comparison between the POS penetration rates and the EQRO penetration rates not appropriate or useful. The POS methodology for calculating penetration rates was selected because it is easier to compute, more straightforward to interpret, and is in use by other states and counties. For the POS, the penetration rate is calculated by taking the total number of youth who received X number of SMHS (1 or 5 for POS) in a FY and dividing that by the total number of Medi-Cal eligible youth for that FY. This methodology results in lower penetration rates as compared to the EQRO rates, but it does so across the board so that all counties and the state will be similarly impacted.

*The **snapshot** report provides a point-in-time look at children and youth's movement through the SMHS system. The report uses five general categories to classify if a youth is entering, exiting, continuing services, or a combination of these categories (e.g., arriving and exiting). As of now, this report only classifies youth and their service usage for the two most recent fiscal years. Eventually the snapshot data will be used along with measures of service effectiveness to identify whether youth are improving as a result of receiving services from the time they first arrived in the system to when they exit the system. This methodology was adapted from the California Mental Health and Substance Use System Needs Assessment (2012). More information on the original methodology can be found here:

<http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/Performance-Outcomes-System-Reports-and-Measures-Catalog.aspx>

*The psychiatric emergency services/hospital data reported on in the **time to step-down services** report includes data from Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II claims data and fee-for-service data. In the future this report will incorporate other outpatient and inpatient Medi-Cal SMHS' billed through the Managed Care healthcare delivery systems. Currently, the number of days is capped at 365 days (to mitigate the impact of extreme statistical anomalies) when calculating the mean and max for time between discharge and step down service. This methodology will be updated in the next reporting cycle. Additionally, county specific and population-based reports are based off of the county of the hospital the patient is discharged from and whom has been attributed the time to next service in days used in the calculations for this indicator.

***Data Source Methodology:** Demographic & Penetration and Snapshot - based on MEDS data; Utilization - based on Claims Submission data; Time to step-down - based on Inpatient Hospital data.

***Open Child Welfare:** Children/youth who are provided child welfare services either while living in their home, or while living out-of-home in a foster care setting. Excludes children: placed in California under the jurisdiction of another state (incoming interstate Compact on the Placement of Children (ICPC)) and who are placed with non-dependent guardians.

***Foster Care Placement:** Children/youth who are removed from their home by a child placement agency, including county child welfare services and probation departments and placed in a foster care setting. Excludes children: placed in California under the jurisdiction of another state (incoming interstate Compact on the Placement of Children (ICPC)) and who are placed with non-dependent legal guardians.

Please contact cmhpos@dhcs.ca.gov for any questions regarding this report.

Performance Outcomes System Foster Care Population-based Reports

Report run on August 3, 2016

County Groupings

Counties are grouped by population, as follows:

Category:

Small Rural: Population is less than 50,000

Small: Population is 50,000-199,999

Medium: Population is 200,000-749,000

Large: Population is 750,000-3,999,999

Counties in each Category:

Small Rural: Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Colusa, Del Norte, Glenn, Inyo, Lassen, Mariposa, Modoc, Mono, Plumas, Sierra, Siskiyou, Trinity

Small: El Dorado, Humboldt, Imperial, Kings, Lake, Madera, Mendocino, Napa, Nevada, San Benito, Shasta, Sutter, Tehama, Tuolumne, Yuba

Medium: Butte, Marin, Merced, Monterey, Placer, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Cruz, Solano, Sonoma, Stanislaus, Tulare, Yolo

Large: Alameda, Contra Costa, Fresno, Kern, Orange, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Francisco, Santa Clara, Ventura

Population information is provided for each county (on pages 13 and 14) of the Measures Catalog.

<http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/pos/Pages/Performance-Outcomes-System-Reports-and-Measures-Catalog.aspx>


Please contact cmhpos@dhcs.ca.gov for any questions regarding this report.

Demographics Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth in Foster Care Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year

Medium Population Counties as of August 3, 2016

SFY	Unique Count Receiving SMHS*	Year-Over-Year Percentage Change	Unique Count of Youth Foster Care in Medi-Cal	Year-Over-Year Percentage Change
FY 11-12	4,483		10,135	
FY 12-13	4,879	8.8%	10,446	3.1%
FY 13-14	5,207	6.7%	11,225	7.5%
FY 14-15	5,241	0.7%	11,424	1.8%
Compound Annual Growth Rate SFY**		5.3%		4.1%

Unique Count of Children and Youth Receiving SMHS



*SMHS = Specialty Mental Health Services. See Measures Catalog for more detailed information.

**SFY = State Fiscal Year which is July 1 through June 30.


Demographics Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth in Foster Care Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year

Medium Population Counties as of August 3, 2016

Fiscal Year	Black Count	Black %	Hispanic Count	Hispanic %	White Count	White %	Other Count	Other %
FY 11-12	572	12.8%	1,632	36.4%	1,875	41.8%	404	9.0%
FY 12-13	583	11.9%	1,788	36.6%	2,025	41.5%	483	9.9%
FY 13-14	644	12.4%	1,877	36.0%	2,082	40.0%	604	11.6%
FY 14-15	677	12.9%	1,849	35.3%	2,055	39.2%	660	12.6%


Fiscal Year 11-12 Race Distribution

■ Black ■ Hispanic ■ White ■ Other




Fiscal Year 12-13 Race Distribution

■ Black ■ Hispanic ■ White ■ Other




Fiscal Year 13-14 Race Distribution

■ Black ■ Hispanic ■ White ■ Other



Fiscal Year 14-15 Race Distribution

■ Black ■ Hispanic ■ White ■ Other




Please note: This report uses Medi-Cal Eligibility Data System to obtain race/ethnicity data. CDSS uses Child Welfare Services/Case Management System to obtain race/ethnicity data. For more information, please refer to the Measures Catalog.


Demographics Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth in Foster Care Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year
 Medium Population Counties as of August 3, 2016

Fiscal Year	Children 0-5 Count	Children 0-5 %	Children 6-11 Count	Children 6-11 %	Children 12-17 Count	Children 12-17 %	Youth 18-20 Count	Youth 18-20 %
FY 11-12	1,164	26.0%	1,292	28.8%	1,906	42.5%	121	2.7%
FY 12-13	1,288	26.4%	1,428	29.3%	1,889	38.7%	274	5.6%
FY 13-14	1,407	27.0%	1,553	29.8%	1,908	36.6%	339	6.5%
FY 14-15	1,273	24.3%	1,678	32.0%	1,896	36.2%	394	7.5%


Fiscal Year 11-12 Age Group Distribution



Fiscal Year 12-13 Age Group Distribution




Fiscal Year 13-14 Age Group Distribution



*FY 13-14 claims are estimated to be 95% complete as of January 1, 2015.


Fiscal Year 14-15 Age Group Distribution




Demographics Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth in Foster Care Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year
 Medium Population Counties as of August 3, 2016

Fiscal Year	Female Count	Female %	Male Count	Male %
FY 11-12	2,153	48.0%	2,330	52.0%
FY 12-13	2,330	47.8%	2,549	52.2%
FY 13-14	2,554	49.0%	2,653	51.0%
FY 14-15	2,555	48.8%	2,686	51.2%


Fiscal Year 11-12 Gender Distribution




Fiscal Year 12-13 Gender Distribution



Fiscal Year 13-14 Gender Distribution



Fiscal Year 14-15 Gender Distribution




Penetration Rates* Report: Children and Youth in Foster Care With At Least One SMHS Visit**

Medium Population Counties as of August 3, 2016

	FY 11-12			FY 12-13			FY 13-14			FY 14-15		
	Foster Care Youth with 1 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Foster Care Youth	Penetration Rate	Foster Care Youth with 1 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Foster Care Youth	Penetration Rate	Foster Care Youth with 1 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Foster Care Youth	Penetration Rate	Foster Care Youth with 1 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Foster Care Youth	Penetration Rate
All	4,483	10,135	44.2%	4,879	10,446	46.7%	5,207	11,225	46.4%	5,241	11,424	45.9%
Children 0-5	1,164	3,783	30.8%	1,288	3,941	32.7%	1,407	4,156	33.9%	1,273	4,038	31.5%
Children 6-11	1,292	2,407	53.7%	1,428	2,462	58.0%	1,553	2,667	58.2%	1,678	2,719	61.7%
Children 12-17	1,906	3,432	55.5%	1,889	3,237	58.4%	1,908	3,166	60.3%	1,896	3,148	60.2%
Youth 18-20	121	513	23.6%	274	806	34.0%	339	1,236	27.4%	394	1,519	25.9%
Black	572	1,147	49.9%	583	1,145	50.9%	644	1,210	53.2%	677	1,273	53.2%
Hispanic	1,632	3,532	46.2%	1,788	3,721	48.1%	1,877	4,072	46.1%	1,849	4,154	44.5%
White	1,875	4,055	46.2%	2,025	4,022	50.3%	2,082	4,270	48.8%	2,055	4,258	48.3%
Other	404	1,401	28.8%	483	1,558	31.0%	604	1,673	36.1%	660	1,739	38.0%
Female	2,153	4,676	46.0%	2,330	4,895	47.6%	2,554	5,315	48.1%	2,555	5,352	47.7%
Male	2,330	5,459	42.7%	2,549	5,551	45.9%	2,653	5,910	44.9%	2,686	6,072	44.2%

Penetration Rates by Age
Foster Care Youth With At Least One SMHS Visit**, By Fiscal Year




*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in the Medi-Cal Managed Care system.

**Children and Youth in Foster Care that have received at least one SMHS in the Fiscal Year.


Penetration Rates* Report: Children and Youth in Foster Care Youth With At Least One SMHS Visit**

Medium Population Counties as of August 3, 2016

Penetration Rates by Race Foster Care Youth With At Least One SMHS Visit**, By Fiscal Year



Penetration Rates by Gender Foster Care Youth With At Least One SMHS Visit**, By Fiscal Year



*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in the Medi-Cal Managed Care system.


**Children and Youth in Foster Care that have received at least one SMHS in the Fiscal Year.

Penetration Rates* Report: Children and Youth in Foster Care With Five or More SMHS Visits**

Medium Population Counties as of August 3, 2016

	FY 11-12			FY 12-13			FY 13-14			FY 14-15		
	Foster Care Youth with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Foster Care Youth	Penetration Rate	Foster Care Youth with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Foster Care Youth	Penetration Rate	Foster Care Youth with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Foster Care Youth	Penetration Rate	Foster Care Youth with 5 or more SMHS Visits	Certified Eligible Foster Care Youth	Penetration Rate
All	3,548	10,135	35.0%	3,711	10,446	35.5%	3,924	11,225	35.0%	4,103	11,424	35.9%
Children 0-5	789	3,783	20.9%	802	3,941	20.4%	832	4,156	20.0%	898	4,038	22.2%
Children 6-11	1,078	2,407	44.8%	1,173	2,462	47.6%	1,282	2,667	48.1%	1,350	2,719	49.7%
Children 12-17	1,574	3,432	45.9%	1,516	3,237	46.8%	1,537	3,166	48.5%	1,547	3,148	49.1%
Youth 18-20	107	513	20.9%	220	806	27.3%	273	1,236	22.1%	308	1,519	20.3%
Black	443	1,147	38.6%	446	1,145	39.0%	478	1,210	39.5%	542	1,273	42.6%
Hispanic	1,305	3,532	36.9%	1,357	3,721	36.5%	1,405	4,072	34.5%	1,408	4,154	33.9%
White	1,482	4,055	36.5%	1,536	4,022	38.2%	1,608	4,270	37.7%	1,617	4,258	38.0%
Other	318	1,401	22.7%	372	1,558	23.9%	433	1,673	25.9%	536	1,739	30.8%
Female	1,702	4,676	36.4%	1,768	4,895	36.1%	1,909	5,315	35.9%	1,998	5,352	37.3%
Male	1,846	5,459	33.8%	1,943	5,551	35.0%	2,015	5,910	34.1%	2,105	6,072	34.7%

Penetration Rates by Age
Foster Care Youth With Five or More SMHS Visits**, By Fiscal Year




*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in the Medi-Cal Managed Care system.

**Children and Youth in Foster Care that have received at least five SMHS in the Fiscal Year.


Penetration Rates* Report: Children and Youth in Foster Care With Five or More SMHS Visits**

Medium Population Counties as of August 3, 2016

Penetration Rates by Race Foster Care Youth With Five or More SMHS Visits**, By Fiscal Year



Penetration Rates by Gender Foster Care Youth With Five or More SMHS Visits**, By Fiscal Year












*Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in the Medi-Cal Managed Care system.

**Children and Youth in Foster Care that have received at least five SMHS in the Fiscal Year.

**Utilization Report*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Children and Youth in Foster Care
Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year**

Medium Population Counties as of August 3, 2016









Fiscal Year	SMHS Total Approved	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/Brokerage (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Therapeutic Behavioral Services (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Full Day Treatment Intensive (Hours)	Full Day Rehabilitation (Hours)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Fee for Service Inpatient (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
FY 11-12	\$ 7,273.14	0	0	552	1,988	4,594	398	240	18	551	436	0	0	11	0	0	24
FY 12-13	\$ 7,296.77	0	0	538	1,866	4,778	393	228	22	618	487	4	0	12	0	25	25
FY 13-14	\$ 7,733.03	1,344	516	461	1,815	4,622	340	222	19	525	607	3	0	12	1	109	30
FY 14-15	\$ 8,091.74	3,001	1,090	425	1,857	4,471	357	242	18	541	549	0	0	11	22	134	13
MEAN	\$ 7,598.67	2,172	803	494	1,881	4,616	372	233	19	559	520	3	0	12	11	89	23



*The graphs are color coded so that those reported in the same unit of analysis (e.g., minutes) are colored similarly.

Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of children/youth that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.

Utilization Report*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Children and Youth in Foster Care
Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year
 Medium Population Counties as of August 3, 2016




*The graphs are color coded so that those reported in the same unit of analysis (e.g., minutes) are colored similarly.
 Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of children/youth that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.
 ^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Snapshot Report: Unique Count of Current Foster Care Youth Receiving SMHS Arriving, Exiting, and with Service Continuance by Fiscal Year Medium Population Counties as of August 3, 2016


Category	Description (Please refer to the Measures Catalog for more detailed descriptions on all Performance Outcomes System measures.)
Arrivals	Children/Youth that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months of their first date of service in the Fiscal Year.
Service Continuance	Children/Youth receiving continuous services with no breaks in service greater than 90 days for a period of at least 2 years (≥ 2 YR) or a period of 1 to 2 years (< 2 YR).
Exiting	Children/Youth that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months after their last date of service in the Fiscal Year.
Arriving & Exiting	A distinct category in which children/youth met both the criteria for Arrivals and Exiting above for the fiscal year.
Service Continuance & Exiting	A distinct category in which Children/Youth had at least 2 years of Service Continuance going into the Fiscal Year and then Exited within the same Fiscal Year.

Service Fiscal Year	Arrivals Count	Arrivals %	Service Continuance (≥ 2 YR) Count	Service Continuance (≥ 2 YR) %	Service Continuance (< 2 YR) Count	Service Continuance (< 2 YR) %	Exiting Count	Exiting %	Arriving & Exiting Count	Arriving & Exiting %	Service Continuance (≥ 2 YR) & Exiting Count	Service Continuance (≥ 2 YR) and Exiting %	Total Count	Total %
FY 12-13	1,290	26.5%	338	6.9%	464	9.5%	1,076	22.1%	1,547	31.8%	154	3.2%	4,869	100%
FY 13-14	1,394	26.8%	368	7.1%	471	9.0%	1,084	20.8%	1,726	33.1%	168	3.2%	5,211	100%
FY 14-15	1,414	27.0%	383	7.3%	504	9.6%	1,144	21.8%	1,594	30.4%	205	3.9%	5,244	100%


Fiscal Year 12-13 Arrivals, Service Continuance, & Exits Distribution



Fiscal Year 13-14 Arrivals, Service Continuance, & Exits Distribution




Fiscal Year 14-15 Arrivals, Service Continuance, & Exits Distribution




**Time to Step Down Report: Children and Youth in Foster Care Stepping Down in SMHS Services Post Inpatient Discharge
Medium Population Counties as of August 3, 2016**

Service FY	Count of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge	Count of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 30 Days of Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with Step Down within 30 Days of Discharge	Count of Inpatient Discharges with a Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with a Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge	Count of Inpatient Discharges with No Step Down*	Percentage of Inpatient Discharges with No Step Down*	Minimum Number of Days between Discharge and Step Down	Maximum Number of Days between Discharge and Step Down	Mean Time to Next Contact Post Inpatient Discharge (Days)	Median Time to Next Contact Post Inpatient Discharge (Days)
FY 11-12	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0	0
FY 12-13	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0	0
FY 13-14	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0	0
FY 14-15	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0	0

Median Time Between Inpatient Discharge and Step Down Service in Days



Mean Time Between Inpatient Discharge and Step Down Service in Days



TABLES AND CHARTS NOT PRODUCED FOR THIS INDICATOR DUE TO SMALL CELL SIZES.

Percentage of Discharges by Time Between Inpatient Discharge and Step Down Service



* **No Step Down** is defined as no Medi-Cal eligible service was claimed through Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal after a claimed inpatient service was billed with a discharge date. This category may include data currently unavailable to DHCS, such as beneficiaries that were moved to a community-based program or beneficiaries that were incarcerated.