### **Performance Outcomes System Initial Reports**

Report run on July 29, 2015

### Background

This is the first round of county-specific reporting produced for the Performance Outcomes System which reflects a refresh of the data on the indicators that were reported in February 2015 at the statewide aggregate level. These reports help meet the intent of the Legislature, as stated in Welfare and Institutions Code Section 14707.5, to develop a performance outcomes system for Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) mental health services that will improve outcomes at the individual, program, and system levels and inform fiscal decision-making related to the purchase of services. This reporting effort is part of the implementation of a performance outcomes system for Medical Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) for children and youth.

Since 2012 DHCS has worked with several groups of stakeholders to create a structure for reporting, develop the Performance Measurement Paradigm, and develop indicators and measures. The Performance Outcomes System will be used to evaluate the domains of access, engagement, service appropriateness to need, service effectiveness, linkages, cost effectiveness and satisfaction.

#### **Purpose and Overview**

Moving forward, three reports will be created during each new reporting period. The reports that will be produced are the following: statewide aggregate data; population-based county groups; and county-specific data. These are the first county-specific reports providing updated information on the initial indicators that were developed for the Performance Outcomes System and reported on at the statewide aggregate level in February 2015; they help establish a foundation for on-going reporting. DHCS plans to move to annual reporting of this data for the Performance Outcomes System.

Where possible, the reports provide trend information by displaying information for Fiscal Years (FY) 10/11, 11/12, 12/13, and 13/14.

The first reports focus on the demographic characteristics of the children and youth under 21 who are receiving Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS), based on approved claims for Medi-Cal eligible beneficiaries. The reports include data on the demographics of this population by age, gender, race/ethnicity. Two types of penetration information is provided for children/youth served and not served. Both penetration rate tables are also broken out by demographic characteristics.

Utilization of services reports are shown in terms of dollars, as well as by service in time increments. The snapshot report provides a point-in-time view of children arriving, exiting and continuing services over a two year period. The final report provides a view over the past four years of the time to step-down services (i.e., time to next contact after an inpatient discharge). **Note:** The time to step-down report has a change in methodology from the first report produced in February 2015. In the initial report only outpatient services provided at least one day after the inpatient discharge were included in the calculations. Starting with this report, any outpatient service that occurs on or after the inpatient discharge is included in the analysis.

#### Definitions

Population - Beneficiaries with approved services adjudicated through the Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II claiming system that were:

- Age 20 or younger during the approved date of service on the claim; or
- Age 21 during the approved date of the service on the claim and a birth date on or after January 1st of the Fiscal Year.

#### **Data Sources -**

- Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal II (SD/MC II) claims with dates of service in FY 10/11 through FY 13/14.
- Medi-Cal Eligibility Data System (MEDS) data from the Management Information System/Decision Support System (MIS/DSS) FY 10/11 through FY 13/14.

### **Performance Outcomes System Initial Reports**

Report run on July 29, 2015

#### Additional Information

The Measures Catalog is the companion document for these reports and provides the methodology and definitions for the measures. Each measure is defined and the numerator and denominator used to develop the metrics are provided with relevant notes and additional references. The Measures Catalog may be found at:

http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/individuals/Documents/POS%20Measures%20Catalog 2.17.15.pdo

The Measures Catalog is posted on the POS site under the heading "POS Reports and Measures Catalog."

**Background** information on the Performance Measures System implementation is available on the DHCS website. Documents posted include the relevant legislation, plans submitted to the Legislature, and handouts for meetings with the Stakeholder Advisory Committee back to the first meeting in 2012. To obtain this information go to: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/individuals/Pages/POSReports.aspx

#### Report Interpretation

\*County-specific findings may be interpreted alongside the POS statewide and population-based report findings.

\*The *penetration rates* reported here were calculated using a different methodology than that used by the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO). The differences in methodology make comparison between the POS penetration rates and the EQRO penetration rates not appropriate or useful. The POS methodology for calculating penetration rates was selected because it is easier to compute, more straightforward to interpret, and is in use by other states and counties. For the POS, the penetration rate is calculated by taking the total number of youth who received X number of Specialty Mental Health Services (1 or 5 for POS) in a FY and dividing that by the total number of Medi-Cal eligible youth for that FY. This methodology results in lower penetration rates as compared to the EQRO rates, but it does so across the board so that all counties and the state will be similarly impacted.


\*The *snapshot* report provides a point-in-time look at children and youth's movement through the SMHS system. The report uses five general categories to classify if a youth is entering, exiting, continuing services, or a combination of these categories (e.g., arriving and exiting). This methodology was adapted from the California Mental Health and Substance Use System Needs Assessment (2012). More information on the original methodology can be found here: http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/Documents/1115 Waiver Behavioral Health Services Needs Assessment 3 1 12.pdf.

\*The psychiatric emergency services/hospital data reported on in the *time to step-down services* report relies solely on claims data from Short Doyle/Medi-Cal II. In the future this report will incorporate other outpatient and inpatient Medi-Cal SMHS' billed through the Managed Care healthcare delivery systems.

Please contact cmhpos@dhcs.ca.gov for any questions regarding this report.

### **Demographics Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year**

SFY	Unique Count Receiving SMHS*	Year-Over-Year Percentage Change	Unique Count of Medi-Cal Eligibles	Year-Over-Year Percentage Change
FY 10-11	823		29,021	
FY 11-12	735	-10.7%	29,908	3.1%
FY 12-13	766	4.2%	33,729	12.8%
FY 13-14**	943	23.1%	36,243	7.5%
Compound Annual Growth Rate SFY**		4.6%		7.7%




<sup>\*</sup>SMHS = Specialty Mental Health Services. See Measures Catalog for more detailed information.

<sup>\*\*</sup>SFY = State Fiscal Year which is July 1 through June 30.


### Demographics Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year Madera County as of July 29, 2015

Fiscal Year	Alaskan Native or American Indian Count	Alaskan Native or American Indian %	Asian or Pacific Islander Count	Asian or Pacific Islander %	Black Count	Black %	Hispanic Count	Hispanic %	White Count	White %	Other Count	Other %	Unknown Count	Unknown %
FY 10-11	٨	۸	۸	۸	47	5.7%	491	59.7%	253	30.7%	۸	۸	۸	۸
FY 11-12	۸	۸	۸	^	29	3.9%	470	63.9%	195	26.5%	۸	۸	۸	۸
FY 12-13	٨	۸	٨	۸	32	4.2%	478	62.4%	206	26.9%	۸	۸	٨	٨
FY 13-14	۸	^	۸	^	45	4.8%	556	59.0%	256	27.1%	^	۸	۸	۸



### Demographics Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth Receiving SMHS by Fiscal Year Madera County as of July 29, 2015


Fiscal Year	Children 0-5 Count	Children 0-5 %	Children 6-11 Count	Children 6-11 %	Children 12-17 Count	Children 12-17 %	Youth 18-20 Count	Youth 18-20 %
FY 10-11	81	9.8%	243	29.5%	406	49.3%	93	11.3%
FY 11-12	77	10.5%	191	26.0%	361	49.1%	106	14.4%
FY 12-13	77	10.1%	205	26.8%	366	47.8%	118	15.4%
FY 13-14	91	9.7%	283	30.0%	422	44.8%	147	15.6%



<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

### **Demographics Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth by Fiscal Year**


Fiscal Year	Female Count	Female %	Male Count	Male %
FY 10-11	345	41.9%	478	58.1%
FY 11-12	308	41.9%	427	58.1%
FY 12-13	315	41.1%	451	58.9%
FY 13-14	407	43.2%	536	56.8%



<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

### Penetration Rates\* Report: Children and Youth With At Least One SMHS Visit\*\*

		FY 10-11			FY 11-12			FY 12-13			FY 13-14	
	Children and Youth with 1	Certified Eligible	Penetration	Children and Youth with 1	Certified Eligible	Penetration	Children and Youth with 1 or	Certified Eligible	Penetration	Children and Youth with 1 or	Certified Eligible	Penetration
	or more SMHS	Children and	Rate	or more	Children and	Rate	more SMHS	Children and	Rate	more SMHS	Children and	Rate
	Visits	Youth		SMHS Visits	Youth		Visits	Youth		Visits	Youth	
All	823	29,021	2.8%	735	29,908	2.5%	766	33,729	2.3%	943	36,243	2.6%
Children 0-5	81	11,464	0.7%	77	11,529	0.7%	77	11,825	0.7%	91	11,896	0.8%
Children 6-11	243	8,072	3.0%	191	8,383	2.3%	205	10,080	2.0%	283	10,913	2.6%
Children 12-17	406	6,355	6.4%	361	6,646	5.4%	366	8,085	4.5%	422	8,987	4.7%
Youth 18-20	93	3,130	3.0%	106	3,350	3.2%	118	3,739	3.2%	147	4,447	3.3%
Alaskan Native or American Indian	^	186	۸	۸	186	۸	۸	207 ^	<b>.</b>	۸	198	۸
Asian or Pacific Islander	٨	372	٨	۸	369	۸	٨	455 ^		۸	521	٨
Black	47	761	6.2%	29	749	3.9%	32	792	4.0%	45	768	5.9%
Hispanic	491	21,208	2.3%	470	21,865	2.1%	478	24,414	2.0%	556	25,778	2.2%
White	253	4,820	5.2%	195	4,850	4.0%	206	5,245	3.9%	256	5,536	4.6%
Other	٨	121	٨	۸	93 '	۸	۸	119 ^		۸	125	^
Unknown	۸	1,553	۸	٨	1,796 ^	1	۸	2,497 ^		۸	3,317	۸
Female	345	14,464	2.4%	308	14,845	2.1%	315	16,639	1.9%	407	17,929	2.3%
Male	478	14,557	3.3%	427	15,063	2.8%	451	17,090	2.6%	536	18,314	2.9%




<sup>\*</sup>Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Children and Youth that have received at least one SMHS that was claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least one (1) day in the Fiscal Year.

<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

### Penetration Rates\* Report: Children and Youth With At Least One SMHS Visit\*\*




<sup>\*</sup>Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Children and Youth that have received at least one SMHS that was claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least one (1) day in the Fiscal Year.

<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

### Penetration Rates\* Report: Children and Youth With Five or More SMHS Visits\*\*

		FY 10-11			FY 11-12			FY 12-13			FY 13-14	
	Children and	Certified		Children and	Certified		Children and	Certified		Children and	Certified	
	Youth with 5 or	Eligible	Penetration	Youth with 5 or	Eligible	Penetration	Youth with 5 or	Eligible	Penetration	Youth with 5 or	Eligible	Penetration
	more SMHS	Children and	Rate									
	Visits	Youth		Visits	Youth		Visits	Youth		Visits	Youth	
All	505	29,021	1.7%	457	29,908	1.5%	474	33,729	1.4%	599	36,243	1.7%
Children 0-5	38	11,464	0.3%	35	11,529	0.3%	50	11,825	0.4%	50	11,896	0.4%
Children 6-11	153	8,072	1.9%	108	8,383	1.3%	110	10,080	1.1%	196	10,913	1.8%
Children 12-17	263	6,355	4.1%	252	6,646	3.8%	239	8,085	3.0%	278	8,987	3.1%
Youth 18-20	51	3,130	1.6%	62	3,350	1.9%	75	3,739	2.0%	75	4,447	1.7%
Alaskan Native or American Indian	۸	186	^	۸	186	۸	۸	207	^	۸	198	^
Asian or Pacific Islander	٨	372	۸	-	369	0.0%	۸	455	^	٨	521	٨
Black	32	761	4.2%	18	749	2.4%	22	792	2.8%	28	768	3.6%
Hispanic	284	21,208	1.3%	270	21,865	1.2%	275	24,414	1.1%	346	25,778	1.3%
White	162	4,820	3.4%	140	4,850	2.9%	145	5,245	2.8%	172	5,536	3.1%
Other	۸	121	^	۸	93	۸	۸	119	^	-	125	0.0%
Unknown	۸	1,553	^	۸	1,796	۸	۸	2,497	^	۸	3,317	
Female	200	14,464	1.4%	190	14,845	1.3%	185	16,639	1.1%	249	17,929	1.4%
Male	305	14,557	2.1%	267	15,063	1.8%	289	17,090	1.7%	350	18,314	1.9%




<sup>\*</sup>Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Children and Youth that have received at least five SMHS that were claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least five (5) or more different days in the Fiscal Year.

<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

### Penetration Rates\* Report: Children and Youth With Five or More SMHS Visits\*\*




<sup>\*</sup>Penetration Rate is defined as the percentage of SMHS eligible beneficiaries that have received a SMHS that was claimed via the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal claiming system. This does not include non-specialty mental health services provided in Medi-Cal Managed Care system.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Children and Youth that have received at least five SMHS that were claimed through the Short-Doyle/ Medi-Cal claiming system on at least five (5) or more different days in the Fiscal Year.

<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

## Utilization Report\*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Children and Youth Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year\*\* Madera County as of July 29, 2015

Fiscal Year	SDM	MC Total Approved	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Therapeutic Behavioral Services (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Full Day Treatment Intensive (Hours)	Full Day Rehabilitation (Hours)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
FY 10-11	\$	3,279.13	0	C	909	963	4567	237	195	0	578	444	0	0	0	0	0
FY 11-12	\$	3,299.54	0	(	892	985	12930	198	185	0	532	630	0	0	0	0	0
FY 12-13	\$	2,430.90	0	(	667	896	0	206	205	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY 13-14	\$	2,280.84	0	(	566	723	5107	232	292	0	246	0	0	0	0	0	0
MEAN	\$	2,822.60	0	C	759	892	7535	218	219	0	452	537	0	0	0	0	0





<sup>\*</sup>The graphs are color coded so that those reported in the same unit of analysis (e.g., minutes) are colored similarly.

Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of children/youth that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.

<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

## Utilization Report\*: Approved Specialty Mental Health Services for Children and Youth Mean Expenditures and Mean Service Quantity per Unique Beneficiary by Fiscal Year\*\* Madera County as of July 29, 2015





By Service Fiscal Year

Please note that (n) values listed at the bottom of each bar graph represent the actual number of children/youth that received the SMHS represented in their respective graph by Fiscal Year.

<sup>\*</sup>The graphs are color coded so that those reported in the same unit of analysis (e.g., minutes) are colored similarly.


<sup>^</sup> Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.


# Snapshot Report: Unique Count of Children and Youth Receiving SMHS Arriving, Exiting, and with Service Continuance by Fiscal Year

Madera County as of August 3, 2015

Category	Description (Please refer to the Measures Catalog for more detailed descriptions on all Performance Outcomes System measures.)
Arrivals	Children/Youth that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months of their first date of service in the Fiscal Year.
Service Continuance	Children/Youth receiving continuous services with no breaks in service greater than 90 days for a period of at least 2 years (>= 2 YR) or a period of 1 to 2 years (< 2 YR).
Exiting	Children/Youth that did not receive any SMHS within 3 months after their last date of service in the Fiscal Year.
Arriving & Exiting	A distinct category in which children/youth met both the criteria for <b>Arrivals</b> and <b>Exiting</b> above for the fiscal year.
Service Continuance &	
Exiting	A distinct category in which Children/Youth had at least 2 years of Service Continuance going into the Fiscal Year and then Exited within the same Fiscal Year.

Service Fiscal Year	Arrivals Count	Arrivals %	Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) Count	Continuance	Service Continuance (<2 YR) Count	Service Continuance (< 2 YR) %	Exiting Count	Exiting %	Arriving & Exiting Count	Exiting %	Service Continuance (>= 2 YR) & Exiting Count	(>= 2 YR) and		Total %
FY 12-13	217	28.3%	63	8.2%	50	6.5%	140	18.3%	267	34.9%	29	3.8%	766	100%
FY 13-14	242	25.7%	52	5.5%	60	6.4%	181	19.2%	363	38.5%	45	4.8%	943	100%





### Time to Step Down Report: Children and Youth Stepping Down in SMHS Services Post Inpatient Discharge Madera County as of July 28, 2015

	Down within 7 Days of Discharge	Step Down within 7 Days of Discharge	Step Down within 30 Days of Discharge	Step Down within 30 Days of Discharge	Step Down > 30  Days from  Discharge	Step Down > 30 Days from Discharge	Discharges with No Step Down*	Discharges with No Step Down*	Discharge and Step Down	between Discharge and Step Down	Inpatient Discharge (Days)	Inpatie Dischar (Days)
0-11	0	0.0%	0		0		0		0	0	0.0	
1-12	0	0.0%	0		0	0.07.2	0		0	0	0.0	
2-13	0	0.0%	0		0		0		0	0	0.0	
-14	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0	
	Median Time		patient Disc vice in Days	_	tep Down		Mean T	ime Betwee	n Inpatient I Service in I	Discharge and Days	d Step Down	l
		TA	DH		$\Lambda$ $\Lambda$ $\Pi$		ΙΙΛ	DT		$\cap$ T		
		ΙA	BL		AIN	DC	ΠА	K I i	$\mathcal{I}$	$\mathbf{O}$		
•					<b>'</b> -	0			$\bigcirc$ $\wedge$ -	ТОГ		
7	ROE			<b>1</b> – (	$\mathbb{R}$	0	$\leq$ 11	$\mathbf{M}$	$\Box \Delta$	( )	$\prec$ $\sqcap$ $\sqcap$	
				0			0.0				0.0	
						0						
	FY 10-11	FY 11-12		FY 12-13	FY 13-14		FY 10-11	FY 1	.1-12	FY 12-13	FY 13	
				FY 12-13	FY 13-14		FY 10-11	FY 1		FY 12-13	FY 13	
		FY 11-12		FY 12-13  Significantly	$\Lambda$ I I	tween Inpa			Zovin Servi	FY 12-13	FY 13	
		FY 11-12		SM	Time Ee	tweet Inpa	i <del>en</del> t Dischar	ge and Step	Sov <del>n S</del> ervi	FY 12-13	FY 13	
		FY 11-12		SM	$\Lambda$ I I	<b>-</b> VL		ge and Step	ov <del>m S</del> ervi	FY 12-13	FY 13	
		FY 11-12		SM	Time Ee	<b>-</b> VL	i <del>en</del> t Dischar	ge and Step	ov <del>m 3</del> ervi	FY 12-13	FY 13	
		FY 11-12		SM	Time Ee	<b>-</b> VL	i <del>en</del> t Dischar	ge and Step	ov <del>m S</del> ervic	FY 12-13	FY 13	
		FY 11-12		SM	Time Ee	<b>-</b> VL	i <del>en</del> t Dischar	ge and Step	ov m 3ervie	FY 12-13	FY 13	
		FY 11-12		SM	Time Ee	<b>-</b> VL	i <del>en</del> t Dischar	ge and Step	ov <del>m S</del> ervi	FY 12-13	FY 13	
		FY 11-12		SM	Time Ee	<b>-</b> VL	i <del>en</del> t Dischar	ge and Step	ov <del>m S</del> ervice	FY 12-13	FY 13	
		FY 11-12		SM	Time Ee	<b>-</b> VL	i <del>en</del> t Dischar	ge and Step	ov m Service	FY 12-13	FY 13	
	FY 10-11	FY 11-12			Within 7 Days Wift	<b>-</b> VL	Days + No Step I	ge and step	Jov m Service	FY 12-13	0.0%	
	FY 10-11	FY 11-12  F  0.0% (10-11		SM	Within 7 Days Wift  0.0%  Y 11-12	<b>-</b> VL	Days + No Step I	0.0% FY 12-13	Jov m Service	<b>)</b> .	0.0% FY 13-14	
	FY 10-11  FY 10-11	FY 11-12		Solves of the control	Within 7 Days Wift	<b>-</b> VL	Days + No Step I	ge and step	Jov π Servi	( Unique	0.0%	

were moved to a community-based program or beneficiaries that were incarcerated.