



JENNIFER KENT
DIRECTOR

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency
Department of Health Care Services



EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
GOVERNOR

March 30, 2017

Sent via e-mail to: Rebecca.slade@co.nevada.ca.us

Rebecca Slade, LMFT, Director
Nevada County Behavior Health
500 Crown Point Circle, Ste. 120
Grass Valley, CA 95945

SUBJECT: State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2016-17 - County Monitoring Unit Report

Dear Director Slade:

Enclosed are the results of Nevada County's 2016-17 Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) Block Grant (BG)¹, and the State County Contract compliance review. The Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) is responsible for monitoring requirements of the SAPT BG and the terms of the State County Contract operated by Nevada County.

The County Monitoring Unit (CMU) within the Performance Management Branch (PMB) of DHCS performed a comprehensive review based on responses to the monitoring instrument, discussion with county staff, supporting documentation and requirements. The enclosed report addresses and/or identifies compliance deficiencies, required corrective actions, advisory recommendations, and referral for technical assistance.

Nevada County is required to submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) addressing each deficiency noted to the CMU Analyst by April 28, 2017. The CAP must follow the guidelines detailed within the attached report. Please reference the enclosed CAP instructions and e-mail the CAP to the CMU Analyst. Supporting CAP documentation may be e-mailed or mailed to the address listed below. If you have any questions regarding this report or need assistance, please contact the CMU analyst.

Sincerely,

Trang Huynh
CMU Analyst
(916) 650-0529
Trang.huynh@dhcs.ca.gov

¹ Title 45 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 96, Subpart L – Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant requirements



County Monitoring Unit Report
Nevada County

Distribution:

To: Rebecca Slade, LMFT, Director
Nevada County Behavioral Health

CC: Victoria King-Watson, Substance Use Disorder Program, Policy and Fiscal Division, Assistant Division Chief
Tracie Walker, Performance Management Branch, Section Chief
Patrick Daglia, Fiscal Management and Accountability Branch, Section Chief
Denise Galvez, Policy and Prevention Branch, Section Chief
Sandy Yien, Program Support and Grants Management Branch, Section Chief
Susan Jones, County Monitoring Unit, Supervisor
Cynthia Hudgins, Drug Medi-Cal Monitoring Unit I, Supervisor
Stephanie Quok, Drug Medi-Cal Monitoring Unit II, Supervisor
Danielle Stumpf, Office of Women, Perinatal and Youth Services, Supervisor
Tiffany Stover, Postservice Postpayment Unit I, Supervisor
Janet Rudnick, Postservice Postpayment Unit II, Supervisor
Trudi Romero, Policy and Prevention Branch, Office Technician
Nicole Ebrahimi-Nuyken, Nevada County Behavioral Health, AOD Program Manager

Date: March 30, 2017

Report Prepared by: *Trang Huynh, County Monitoring Analyst*
Manager Approval: *Susan Jones, County Monitoring Supervisor*

Lead CMU Analyst:
Trang Huynh

Review Date: February 2017

Assisting CMU Analyst(s):
Eric Painter

Review Period: SFY 2016-17

County: Nevada

County Address:
500 Crown Point Circle, Ste. 120
Grass Valley, CA 95945

The Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) is required to provide programmatic, administrative, and fiscal oversight of the statewide Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant (SAPT BG), the State County Contract, and Drug Medi-Cal (DMC) Substance Use Disorder (SUD) services through annual compliance reviews.

This report summarizes the findings of the compliance review conducted by the County Monitoring Unit (CMU).

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

Pursuant to the State County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part V, Section 4, 7, (a-b) each deficiency identified must be addressed via a Corrective Action Plan (CAP). The CAP is due within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of this monitoring report. Advisory recommendations are not required to be addressed in the CAP.

The Corrective Action Plan shall:

1. Address each programmatic deficiency;
2. Provide a specific description of how the deficiency will be corrected;
3. Identify the staff person responsible for correcting the deficiency and ensuring future compliance;
4. Specify the target date for complete implementation of each deficiency; and
5. As deficiencies are corrected, include training documentation, revised policies/procedures, and other materials which demonstrate the correction of a deficiency, if applicable.

The CMU analyst will monitor progress of CAP implementation until complete.

REVIEW SCOPE

- I. Regulations:
 - a. 22 CCR § 51341.1 – Drug Medi-Cal Substance Use Disorder Services
 - b. 9 CCR, Division 4, Chapter 4, Subchapter 1 – 6: Narcotic Treatment Programs
 - c. 45 CFR; Part 96; Subpart L; §96.121 through 96.137: Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant
 - d. 42 USC, Section 300x-21 through 300x-66: Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block
 - e. HSC, Division 10.5, Section 11750 – 11970: State Department of Health Care

- II. Program Requirements:
 - a. State Fiscal Year (SFY) 14/15 - 16/17 State County Contract, herein referred to as State County Contract
 - b. State of California *Youth Treatment Guidelines Revised August 2002*
 - c. DHCS *Perinatal Services Network Guidelines FY 2016-17*
 - d. National Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS)
 - e. Alcohol and Drug Program (ADP) Bulletins
 - f. Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders Services (MHSUDS) Information Notices

1.0 ADMINISTRATION

After review of the program's protocols, policies and procedures, no deficiencies were cited.

2.0 SAPT BG MONITORING

The following deficiencies in the SAPT BG monitoring requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 2.18

State County Contract Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part IV, Section 3, A, 1, (a-e)

Contractor's performance under this Exhibit A, Attachment I A2, Part IV, shall be monitored by the State during the term of this Contract. Monitoring criteria shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) Whether the quantity of work or services being performed conforms to Exhibit B A2;*
- (b) Whether the Contractor has established and is monitoring appropriate quality standards;*
- (c) Whether the Contractor is abiding by all the terms and requirements of this Contract;*
- (d) Whether the Contractor is abiding by the terms of the Perinatal Services Network Guidelines (Document 1G); and*
- (e) Contractor shall conduct annual onsite monitoring reviews of services and subcontracted services for programmatic and fiscal requirements.*

Contractor shall submit copy of their monitoring and audit reports to DHCS within two weeks of issuance. Reports should be sent by secure, encrypted e-mail to:

sudcountyreports@dhcs.ca.gov; or

*Substance Use Disorder - Prevention, Treatment and Recovery Services
Division, Performance Management Branch, Department of Health Care Services,
PO Box 997413, MS-2627
Sacramento, CA 95899-7413*

Finding: The County did not have all the SAPT BG program requirements within their monitoring tool. The following criteria are missing:

- Charitable Choice
- Interim Services

3.0 DRUG MEDI-CAL

The following DMC deficiencies in regulations, standards, or protocol requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 3.25.d:

State County Contract Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part V, Section 4, B, 1 (b)

Contractor shall conduct, at least annually, a utilization review of DMC providers to assure covered services are being appropriately rendered. The annual review must include an on-site visit of the service provider. Reports of the annual review shall be provided to the Department's Performance Management Branch at:

Substance Use Disorder - Prevention, Treatment and Recovery Services Division, Performance Management Branch

Department of Health Care Services

PO Box 997413, MS-2621

Sacramento, CA 95899-7413;

Or by secure, encrypted email to: SUDCountyReports@dhcs.ca.gov

Review reports shall be provided to the State within 2 weeks of completion by the Contractor.

Finding: The County did not submit DMC monitoring reports securely to DHCS within two weeks of report issuance.

CD 3.27:

State County Contract Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part V, Section 2 B-1 (a)

... Contractor shall have a documented system for monitoring and evaluating accessibility of care, including a system for addressing problems that develop regarding waiting times and appointments.

Finding: The County did not provide an adequate copy of policies and procedures in place for monitoring and evaluating the system of care, including a system for addressing problems that develop regarding waiting times and appointments. In addition, all policies must be signed and dated.

4.0 PERINATAL

After review of the program's protocols, policies and procedures, no deficiencies were cited.

5.0 ADOLESCENT/YOUTH TREATMENT (AYT)

After review of the program's protocols, policies and procedures, no deficiencies were cited.

6.0 FISCAL AUDITING

After review of the program’s protocols, policies and procedures, no deficiencies were cited.

ADVISORY RECCOMENDATIONS:

AR 6.47:

State County Contract, Exhibit B, A3, Part I, Section 1, H, 3

Pursuant to 45 CFR 96.124 C 1-3 the Contractor shall expend a specified percentage of SAPT Block Grant funds for perinatal services, pregnant women, and women with dependent children each state fiscal year (SFY). The Contractor shall expend that percentage of SAPT Block Grant funds by, either establishing new programs or expanding the capacity of existing programs. In accordance with 45 CFR 96.124 (c)(1-3), the Contractor shall calculate the percentage of funds to be expended for perinatal services, pregnant women, and women with dependent children in the manner described in Exhibit G: County Share of SAPT Block Grant Women Services Expenditure Requirement.

Recommendation: The State recommends having a written procedure for the expenditures of Perinatal funds.

7.0 PRIMARY PREVENTION

After review of the program's protocols, policies and procedures, no deficiencies were cited.

8.0 CULTURAL COMPETENCE

After review of the program's protocols, policies and procedures, no deficiencies were cited.

9.0 ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS (EHR)

After review of the program's protocols, policies and procedures, no deficiencies were cited.

10.0 CALIFORNIA OUTCOMES MEASUREMENT SYSTEM TREATMENT (CalOMS Tx) AND DRUG AND ALCOHOL TREATMENT ACCESS REPORT (DATAR)

The following deficiencies in CalOMS and DATAR regulations, standards, or protocol requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 10.57.d:

State County Contract, Exhibit A, Attachment I, Part III, F, (3)

Contractor shall comply with the treatment and prevention data quality standards established by the State. Failure to meet these standards on an ongoing basis may result in withholding non-DMC funds.

Finding: The County-subcontracted providers did not report monthly CalOMS Tx submission data on beneficiary discharges or annual updates as needed.

11.0 PRIVACY AND INFORMATION SECURITY

The following deficiencies in Privacy and Information Security regulations, standards, or protocol requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 11.62:

State County Contract, Exhibit G-3, Attachment A, 1, C.

Confidentiality Statement. *All persons that will be working with Department PHI or PI must sign a confidentiality statement that includes, at a minimum, General Use, Security and Privacy Safeguards, Unacceptable Use, and Enforcement Policies. The statement must be signed by the workforce member prior to access to Department PHI or PI. The statement must be renewed annually. The Contractor shall retain each person's written confidentiality statement for Department inspection for a period of six (6) years following termination of this Agreement.*

Finding: The County does not renew employees' confidentiality statements annually.

CD 11.64.a:

State County Contract, Exhibit G-3, Attachment A, 1, D.

Background Check. *Before a member of the workforce may access Department PHI or PI, a background screening of that worker must be conducted. The screening should be commensurate with the risk and magnitude of harm the employee could cause, with more thorough screening being done for those employees who are authorized to bypass significant technical and operational security controls. The Contractor shall retain each workforce member's background check documentation for a period of three (3) years.*

Finding: The County does not retain background checks for a minimum of three (3) years.

CD 11.69:

State County Contract, Exhibit G-3, Attachment A, 3, A.

System Security Review. *Contractor must ensure audit control mechanisms that record and examine system activity are in place. All systems processing and/or storing Department PHI or PI must have at least an annual system risk assessment/security review which provides assurance that administrative, physical, and technical controls are functioning effectively and providing adequate levels of protection. Reviews should include vulnerability scanning tools.*

Finding: The County does not conduct an annual system risk assessment/security review.

CD 11.78:

State County Contract, Exhibit G-1,13 (a-c)

Exhibit G-2, 9 (a-c)

Breaches and Security Incidents. During the term of this Agreement, Contractor agrees to implement reasonable systems for the discovery and prompt reporting of any breach or security incident, and to take the following steps:

- a. **Initial Notice to the Department.** (1) To notify the Department immediately by telephone call or email or fax upon the discovery of a breach of unsecured PHI in electronic media or in any other media if the PHI was, or is reasonably believed to have been, accessed or acquired by an unauthorized person. (2) To notify the Department within 24 hours (one hour if SSA data) by email or fax of the discovery of any suspected security incident, intrusion or unauthorized access, use or disclosure of PHI in violation of this Agreement or this ExhibitG-1, or potential loss of confidential data affecting this Agreement. A breach shall be treated as discovered by Contractor as of the first day on which the breach is known, or by exercising reasonable diligence would have been known, to any person (other than the person committing the breach) who is an employee, officer or other agent of Contractor. Notice shall be provided to the Information Protection Unit, Office of HIPAA Compliance. If the incident occurs after business hours or on a weekend or holiday and involves electronic PHI, notice shall be provided by calling the Information Protection Unit (916.445.4646, 866-866-0602) or by emailing (privacyofficer@dhcs.ca.gov). Notice shall be made using the DHCS "Privacy Incident Report" form, including all information known at the time. Contractor shall use the most current version of this form, which is posted on the DHCS information Security Officer website (www.dhca.ca.gov, then select "Privacy" in the left column and then "Business Partner" near the middle of the page) or use this link [Upon discovery of a breach or suspected security incident, intrusion or unauthorized access, use or disclosure of Department PHI, Contractor shall take:](#)
- i) Prompt corrective action to mitigate any risks or damages involved with the breach and to protect the operating environment; and
 - ii) Any action pertaining to such unauthorized disclosure required by applicable Federal and State laws and regulations.
- a. **Investigation and Investigation Report.** To immediately investigate such suspected security incident, security incident, breach, or unauthorized access, use or disclosure of PHI. Within 72 hours of the discovery, Contractor shall submit an updated "Privacy Incident Report" containing the information marked with an asterisk and all other applicable information listed on the form, to the extent known at that time, to the Information Protection Unit.
- b. **Complete Report.** To provide a complete report of the investigation to the Department Program Contract Manager and the Information Protection Unit within ten (10) working days of the discovery of the breach or unauthorized use or disclosure. The report shall be submitted on the "Privacy Incident Report" form and shall include an assessment of all known factors relevant to a determination of whether a breach occurred under applicable provisions of HIPAA, the HITECH Act, and the HIPAA regulations. The report shall also include a full, detailed corrective action plan, including information on measures that were taken to halt and/or contain the improper use or disclosure. If the Department requests information in addition to that listed on the "Privacy Incident Report" form, Contractor shall make reasonable efforts to provide the Department with such information. If, because of the circumstances of the incident, Contractor needs more than ten (10) working days from the

discovery to submit a complete report, the Department may grant a reasonable extension of time, in which case Contractor shall submit periodic updates until the complete report is submitted. If necessary, a Supplemental Report may be used to submit revised or additional information after the completed report is submitted, by submitting the revised or additional information on an updated "Privacy Incident Report" form. The Department will review and approve the determination of whether a breach occurred and whether individual notifications and a corrective action plan are required.

Finding: The County did not demonstrate a process in place for reporting breaches or security incidents that include:

- Notifying DHCS immediately by telephone, e-mail, or fax
- Notifying DHCS within 24 hours of a suspected security incident
- Submitting "Privacy Incident Report" form within 72 hours
- Providing a complete report within 10 working days of breach or disclosure

12.0 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

The County did not make any requests for technical assistance.