
DMPH QIP DIRECTED PAYMENTS (July 1, 2020 – December 31, 2020)
Section 438.6(c) Preprint

Section 438.6(c) provides States with the flexibility to implement delivery system and provider payment initiatives under MCO, PIHP, or PAHP Medicaid managed care contracts. Section 438.6(c)(1) describes types of payment arrangements that States may use to direct expenditures under the managed care contract – paragraph (c)(1)(i) provides that States may specify in the contract that managed care plans adopt value-based purchasing models for provider reimbursement; paragraph (c)(1)(ii) provides that States have the flexibility to require managed care plan participation in broad-ranging delivery system reform or performance improvement initiatives; and paragraph (c)(1)(iii) provides that States may require certain payment levels for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs to support State practices critical to ensuring timely access to high-quality care.

Under section 438.6(c)(2), contract arrangements that direct the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's expenditures under paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (iii) must have written approval from CMS prior to implementation and before approval of the corresponding managed care contract(s) and rate certification(s). This preprint implements the prior approval process and must be completed, submitted, and approved by CMS before implementing any of the specific payment arrangements described in section 438.6(c)(1)(i) through (iii).

Standard Questions for All Payment Arrangements

In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i), the following questions must be completed.

DATE AND TIMING INFORMATION:

1. Identify the State's managed care contract rating period for which this payment arrangement will apply (for example, July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018):

Rating Period (RP) 2019-20: July 1, 2019 through December 31, 2020

2. Identify the State's requested start date for this payment arrangement (for example, January 1, 2018):

July 1, 2020

3. Identify the State's expected duration for this payment arrangement (for example, 1 year, 3 years, or 5 years):

July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020

STATE DIRECTED VALUE-BASED PURCHASING:

4. In accordance with §438.6(c)(1)(i) and (ii), the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to implement value-based purchasing models for provider reimbursement, such as alternative payment models (APMs), pay for performance arrangements, bundled payments, or other service payment models intended to recognize value or outcomes over volume of services; or the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to participate in a multi-payer or Medicaid-specific delivery system reform or performance improvement initiative. *Check all that apply; if none are checked, proceed to Question 6.*

- Quality Payments / Pay for Performance (Category 2 APM, or similar)
- Bundled Payments / Episode-Based Payments (Category 3 APM, or similar)
- Population-Based Payments / Accountable Care Organization (ACO) (Category 4 APM, or similar)
- Multi-Payer Delivery System Reform
- Medicaid-Specific Delivery System Reform
- Performance Improvement Initiative
- Other Value-Based Purchasing Model

5. Provide a brief summary or description of the required payment arrangement selected above and describe how the payment arrangement intends to recognize value or outcomes over volume of services (the State may also provide an attachment). If “other” was checked above, identify the payment model. If this payment arrangement is designed to be a multi-year effort, describe how this application’s payment arrangement fits into the larger multi-year effort. If this is a multi-year effort, identify which year of the effort is addressed in this application.

California will create a District and Municipal Public Hospital (DMPH) Quality Incentive Pool (QIP) during the six months from July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020 to move from a State Fiscal Year to a Calendar Year rating period.

During this time, the State will direct Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans (MCPs) to make DMPH QIP payments tied to performance on designated performance measures from the Public Hospital Redesign and Incentives in Medi-Cal (PRIME) Program that were established as part of the California Medi-Cal 2020 Demonstration (11-W-00193/9). California seeks to maintain and continue the momentum achieved with DMPHs on improvements in the quality of care delivered to Medi-Cal beneficiaries. Otherwise, the continuous quality improvement and delivery system reforms related to the PRIME Program would terminate on June 30, 2020 with the Medi-Cal 2020 Demonstration.

This program will support the State’s quality strategy by promoting access and value-based payment, increasing the amount of funding tied to quality outcomes, while at the same time further aligning state, MCP, and hospital system goals. This payment arrangement moves California towards value-based alternative payment models. It integrates historical supplemental payments to come into compliance with the managed care rule by linking payments to the utilization and delivery of services under the MCP contracts.

STATE DIRECTED FEE SCHEDULES:

6. In accordance with §438.6(c)(1)(iii), the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to adopt a minimum or maximum fee schedule for network providers that provide a particular service under the contract; or the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to provide a uniform dollar or percentage increase for network providers that provide a particular service under the contract. *Check all that apply; if none are checked, proceed to Question 10.*

Not applicable

- Minimum Fee Schedule
- Maximum Fee Schedule
- Uniform Dollar or Percentage Increase

7. Use the checkboxes below to identify whether the State is proposing to use §438.6(c)(1)(iii) to establish any of the following fee schedules:

Not applicable

- The State is proposing to use an approved State plan fee schedule
- The State is proposing to use a Medicare fee schedule
- The State is proposing to use an alternative fee schedule established by the State

8. If the State is proposing to use an alternative fee schedule established by the State, provide a brief summary or description of the required fee schedule and describe how the fee schedule was developed, including why the fee schedule is appropriate for network providers that provide a particular service under the contract (the State may also provide an attachment).

Not applicable

9. If using a maximum fee schedule, use the checkbox below to make the following assurance:

Not applicable

- In accordance with §438.6(c)(1)(iii)(C), the State has determined that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP has retained the ability to reasonably manage risk and has discretion in accomplishing the goals of the contract.

APPROVAL CRITERIA FOR ALL PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS:

10. In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(A), describe in detail how the payment arrangement is based on the utilization and delivery of services for enrollees covered under the contract (the State may also provide an attachment).

Payments under the DMPH QIP will be made to DMPH systems for meeting designated performance measures that are linked to the utilization and delivery of services under the MCP contracts. Performance standards will be applied equally within a single class. Hospitals will be rewarded for meeting the performance goals, measured for all Medi-Cal beneficiaries utilizing services at the DMPH. California will specify the maximum allowable payment amount under the DMPH QIP, which will be included in the supporting documentation in the rate submission process. See Attachment 1 for further detail.

11. In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(B), identify the class or classes of providers that will participate in this payment arrangement.

Class of DMPH Systems

- 1) District and Municipal Public Hospital systems identified in Attachment D to the Medi-Cal 2020 Demonstration, except those which have had their participation in PRIME terminated.

12. In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(B), describe how the payment arrangement directs expenditures equally, using the same terms of performance, for the class or classes of providers (identified above) providing the service under the contract (the State may also provide an attachment).

All participating hospital systems will report on any PRIME projects and measures that they have undertaken as part of PRIME for July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020 (Demonstration Year 15). These projects and measures are referred to as PRIME Transition measures.

For all measures, the measurement year will be March 1, 2019 through February 29, 2020. See Attachment 1 for further detail.

QUALITY CRITERIA AND FRAMEWORK FOR ALL PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS:

13. Use the checkbox below to make the following assurance (and complete the following additional questions):

In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(C), the State expects this payment arrangement to advance at least one of the goals and objectives in the quality strategy required per §438.340.

a. Hyperlink to State’s quality strategy (consistent with §438.340(d), States must post the final quality strategy online beginning July 1, 2018; if a hyperlink is not available, please attach the State’s quality strategy):

<http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/formsandpubs/Documents/ManagedCareQSR062918.pdf>

b. Date of quality strategy (month, year):

June 2018

c. In the table below, identify the goal(s) and objective(s) (including page number references) this payment arrangement is expected to advance:

Table 13(c): Payment Arrangement Quality Strategy Goals and Objectives		
Goal(s)	Objective(s)	Quality strategy page
Enhance quality, including the patient care experience, in all DHCS programs	Deliver effective, efficient, affordable care	Medi-Cal Managed Care Quality Strategy Report, Page 6

d. Describe how this payment arrangement is expected to advance the goal(s) and objective(s) identified in Question 13(c). If this is part of a multi-year effort, describe this both in terms of this year’s payment arrangement and that of the multi-year payment arrangement.

The DMPH QIP will advance the state’s Quality Strategy through the use of targeted performance measures to drive DMPH improvement on a specified set of measures, and to continue the PRIME improvements in Outpatient Delivery System Transformation, Targeted High-Risk or High-Cost Populations, and Resource Utilization Efficiency. In order to receive QIP payments, DMPHs must achieve specified improvement targets. The DMPH QIP is anticipated to continue the substantial year-over-year improvement in PRIME since its inception and to continue to promote access, value-based payment, and tie funding to quality outcomes, while at the same time further aligning State, MCP, and hospital system goals.

The QIP creates a robust data monitoring and reporting mechanism with strong incentives for quality data. This information will enable dependable data-driven analysis, issue spotting and solution design. The QIP also creates incentives to build data and quality infrastructure and ties provider funding directly to these goals, allowing California to pay for quality and build capacity. Finally, implementing QIP will also drive changes to policy and legal frameworks to facilitate future data-driven quality improvement programs.

14. Use the checkbox below to make the following assurance (and complete the following additional questions):

In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(D), the State has an evaluation plan which measures the degree to which the payment arrangement advances at least one of the goal(s) and objective(s) in the quality strategy required per §438.340.

a. Describe how and when the State will review progress on the advancement of the State’s goal(s) and objective(s) in the quality strategy identified in Question 13(c). If this is any year other than year 1 of a multi-year effort, describe prior year(s) evaluation findings and the payment arrangement’s impact on the goal(s) and objective(s) in the State’s quality strategy. If the State has an evaluation plan or design for this payment arrangement, or evaluation findings or reports, please attach.

Please see Attachment 2.

b. Indicate if the payment arrangement targets all enrollees or a specific subset of enrollees. If the payment arrangement targets a specific population, provide a brief description of the payment arrangement’s target population (for example, demographic information such as age and gender; clinical information such as most prevalent health conditions; enrollment size in each of the managed care plans; attribution to each provider; etc.).

The payment arrangement targets all Medi-Cal managed care enrollees receiving care from participating DMPHs. The QIP is not intended to drive quality improvement for a specific subgroup of Medi-Cal enrollees. Certain subsets of enrollees or populations may be excluded from the QIP arrangement as necessary for actuarial or other reasons.

c. Describe any planned data or measure stratifications (for example, age, race, or ethnicity) that will be used to evaluate the payment arrangement.

DHCS will require stratification for a subset of measures by age, gender, and race/ethnicity.

d. Provide additional criteria (if any) that will be used to measure the success of the payment arrangement.

Not applicable

REQUIRED ASSURANCES FOR ALL PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS:

15. Use the checkboxes below to make the following assurances:

In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(E), the payment arrangement does not condition network provider participation on the network provider entering into or adhering to intergovernmental transfer agreements.

In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(F), the payment arrangement is not renewed automatically.

In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i), the State assures that all expenditures for this payment arrangement under this section are developed in accordance with §438.4, the standards specified in §438.5, and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

Additional Questions for Value-Based Payment Arrangements

In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(ii), if a checkbox has been marked for Question 4, the following questions must also be completed.

APPROVAL CRITERIA FOR VALUE-BASED PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS:

16. In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(ii)(A), describe how the payment arrangement makes participation in the value-based purchasing initiative, delivery system reform, or performance improvement initiative available, using the same terms of performance, to the class or classes of providers (identified above) providing services under the contract related to the reform or improvement initiative (the State may also provide an attachment).

See Attachment 1.

QUALITY CRITERIA AND FRAMEWORK FOR VALUE-BASED PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS:

17. Use the checkbox below to make the following assurance (and complete the following additional questions):

In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(ii)(B), the payment arrangement makes use of a common set of performance measures across all of the payers and providers.

a. In the table below, identify the measure(s) that the State will tie to provider performance under this payment arrangement (provider performance measures). To the extent practicable, CMS encourages States to utilize existing validated performance measures to evaluate the payment arrangement.

TABLE 17(a): Payment Arrangement Provider Performance Measures					
Provider Performance Measure Number	Measure Name and NQF # (if applicable)	Measure Steward/ Developer (if State-developed measure, list State name)	State Baseline (if available)	VBP Reporting Years*	Notes**
1.	See Attachment 1, Part A.				
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					

If additional rows are required, please attach.

*If this is planned to be a multi-year payment arrangement, indicate which year(s) of the payment arrangement the measure will be collected in.

**If the State will deviate from the measure specification, please describe here. Additionally, if a State-specific measure will be used, please define the numerator and denominator here.

- b. Describe the methodology used by the State to set performance targets for each of the provider performance measures identified in Question 17(a).

See Attachment 1, B. Target Setting and Performance Measurement.

REQUIRED ASSURANCES FOR VALUE-BASED PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS:

18. Use the checkboxes below to make the following assurances:

In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(ii)(C), the payment arrangement does not set the amount or frequency of the expenditures.

In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(ii)(D), the payment arrangement does not allow the State to recoup any unspent funds allocated for these arrangements from the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP.

ATTACHMENT 1

438.6(c) Proposal K – Value-Based Payment for DMPH Services Performance Standards and Payment Specifications Program Year: July 1, 2020 – December 31, 2020

Payments under the DMPH QIP will be made to DMPH systems for meeting designated performance measures that are linked to the utilization and delivery of services under the MCP contracts. Performance standards will be applied equally within the class. Hospitals will be rewarded for meeting the performance goals specified below. California will specify the maximum allowable payment amount under the DMPH QIP annually, which will be included in the supporting documentation through the rate submission process.

As part of maintaining continuous improvement projects currently authorized under PRIME, payments from the DMPH QIP may be earned by DMPHs for meeting targets for designated PRIME Transition performance measures, listed in Table 1.

Additionally, due to the COVID-19 public health emergency, this preprint establishes the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency Immunization sub-pool for July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020. This second sub-pool will be funded by and allows for reinvestment of all otherwise unearned funds from the above DMPH QIP sub-pool to incentivize provision of recommended immunizations, as specified by the measures listed in Table 2, during calendar year 2020.

A. Performance Measures

The State will direct MCPs to make performance-based quality incentive payments to DMPHs based on achievement of targets for quality of care using those PRIME Transition measures listed in Table 1 that they reported on in the final year of PRIME for the Medi-Cal 2020 Demonstration. The PRIME Transition measures will be measured in accordance with the protocols established under the Medi-Cal 2020 PRIME Program. All such measures will be based on utilization and delivery of services.

Measures selected will not duplicate any measures for which federal funds are already available to DMPH systems, unless approved by DHCS. Prior to the start of the Program Year, the State may work with the DMPH systems and MCPs to update and revise the measures, measure sets, and target setting methodology as needed to reflect current clinical practices and changes to national measures.

Revisions to the PRIME Transition performance measures listed in Table 1 will be made prior to the targeted Program Year, must include known benchmarks applicable to the Medicaid population, and must meet one or more of the following criteria:

- is an NQF-endorsed measure,
- is considered a national Medicaid performance measure, or
- has been used with financial performance accountability in a CMS approved performance program and is not duplicative of a current CMS approved Medicaid program.

Any changes to the performance measures will be uniformly treated for all DMPHs within the single class, and subject to DHCS approval.

Table 1: PRIME Transition Measures

Domain 1: Outpatient Delivery System Transformation and Prevention

Project 1.1 Integration of Physical and Behavioral Health
Alcohol and Drug Misuse (SBIRT)
Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control (>9.0%)
Screening for Depression & Follow-Up Plan
Preventative Care and Screening: Tobacco Use – Screening and Cessation Intervention
Depression Remission or Response for Adolescents and Adults (DRR)
Project 1.2 Ambulatory Care Redesign: Primary Care (includes reduction in disparities in health and health outcomes)
Alcohol and Drug Misuse (SBIRT)
CG-CAHPS: Provider Rating
Colorectal Cancer Screening
Comprehensive Diabetes Care: HbA1c Poor Control (>9.0%)
Controlling Blood Pressure
Ischemic Vascular Disease (IVD): Use of Aspirin or Another Antiplatelet measure (NQF 0068)
Prevention Quality Overall Composite #90
REAL and/or SO/GI disparity reduction
REAL data completeness
Screening for Depression and follow-up
SO/GI data completeness
Preventative Care and Screening: Tobacco Use – Screening and Cessation Intervention
Project 1.3 Ambulatory Care Redesign: Specialty Care
Closing the referral loop: receipt of specialist report (CMS504)
Plan All-Cause Readmissions (PCR-AD)
Influenza Immunization
Request for Specialty Care Expertise Turnaround Time
Specialty Care Touches: Specialty expertise requests managed solely via non-in-person specialty encounters
Preventative Care and Screening: Tobacco Use – Screening and Cessation Intervention
Project 1.4 Patient Safety in the Ambulatory Setting
Abnormal Results Follow-up
Annual Monitoring for Patients on Persistent Medications
INR Monitoring for Individuals on Warfarin
Project 1.5 Million Hearts Initiative
Controlling Blood Pressure
Ischemic Vascular Disease (IVD): Use of Aspirin or Another Antiplatelet measure (QPP 204, eCQM 164, NQF 0068)
PQRS # 317 Preventative Care and Screening: Screening for High Blood Pressure and Follow-Up Documented
Preventative Care and Screening: Tobacco Use – Screening and Cessation Intervention
Project 1.6 Cancer Screening and Follow-up
BIRADS to Biopsy
Breast Cancer Screening

Cervical Cancer Screening
Colorectal Cancer Screening
Receipt of appropriate follow-up for abnormal CRC screening
Project 1.7 Obesity Prevention and Healthier Foods Initiative
BMI Screening and Follow-up
Partnership for a Healthier America's Hospital Health Food Initiative external food service verification
Weight Assessment & Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children & Adolescents – BMI

Domain 2: Targeted High Risk or High Cost Populations

Project 2.1 Improved Perinatal Care
Baby Friendly Hospital designation
Exclusive Breast Milk Feeding (PC-05)
OB Hemorrhage: Massive Transfusion**
PC-02 Cesarean Birth
Prenatal and Postpartum Care
Severe Maternal Morbidity (SMM) per 100 women with obstetric hemorrhage**
Unexpected Newborn Complications**
OB Hemorrhage Safety Bundle
Project 2.2 Care Transitions: Integration of Post-Acute Care
Plan All-Cause Readmissions (PCR-AD)
H-CAHPS: Care Transition Metrics
Medication Reconciliation - Post-Discharge
Reconciled Medication List Received by Discharged Patients
Timely Transmission of Transition Record
Project 2.3 Complex Care Management for High Risk Medical Populations
Medication Reconciliation – 30 Post-discharge
Timely Transmission of Transition Record
Project 2.4 Integrated Health Home for Foster Children
Adolescent Well-Care Visit
Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life
Documentation of Current Medications in the Medical Record (0-18 yo)
Screening for Depression and follow-up
Preventative Care and Screening: Tobacco Use – Screening and Cessation Intervention(13 yo and older)
Well Child Visits - Third, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Years of life
Comprehensive Medical Evaluation Following Foster Youth Placement in Foster Care
Project 2.5 Transition to Integrated Care: Post Incarceration
Alcohol and Drug Misuse (SBIRT)
Controlling Blood Pressure
Screening for Depression and follow-up
Preventative Care and Screening: Tobacco Use – Screening and Cessation Intervention
Project 2.6 Chronic Non-Malignant Pain Management
Alcohol and Drug Misuse (SBIRT)
Assessment and management of chronic pain: patients diagnosed with chronic pain who are prescribed an

opioid who have an opioid agreement form and an annual urine toxicology screen
Patients with chronic pain on long term opioid therapy checked in PDMPs
Screening for Depression and follow-up
Treatment of Chronic Non-Malignant Pain with Multi-Modal Therapy
Project 2.7 Comprehensive Advanced Illness Planning and Care
Advance Care Plan
MWM#8 - Treatment Preferences (Inpatient)
MWM#8 - Treatment Preferences (Outpatient)
Palliative care service provided to patients with serious illness
Proportion admitted to hospice for less than 3 days

Domain 3: Resource Utilization Efficiency

Project 3.1 Antibiotic Stewardship
Avoidance of antibiotic treatment in adults with acute bronchitis
National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Antimicrobial Use Measure
Peri-operative Prophylactic Antibiotics Administered after Surgical Closure
Reduction in Hospital Acquired Clostridium Difficile Infections
Project 3.2 Resource Stewardship: High Cost Imaging
Appropriate Emergency Department Utilization of CT for Pulmonary Embolism
Use of Imaging Studies for Low Back Pain
Appropriate Use of Imaging Studies for Low Back Pain (red flags, no time limit)
Project 3.3 Resource Stewardship: Therapies Involving High Cost Pharmaceuticals
Adherence to Medications
High-cost Pharmaceutical Ordering Protocols
Documentation of Medication Reconciliation in the Medical Record for Patients on High Cost Pharmaceuticals
Project 3.4 Resource Stewardship: Blood Products
ePBM-01 Pre-op Anemia Screening, Selected Elective Surgical Patients
ePBM-03 Pre-op Type and Crossmatch, Type and Screen, Selected elective Surgical Patients
ePBM-04 Initial Transfusion Threshold

** Pay-for-reporting measures to balance other measures within the project and ensure safe quality improvement. These measures account for events that generally have low incident rates.

Table 2: COVID-19 Public Health Emergency Immunization Measures

Immunization for Adolescents (IMA) Combination 2* (NQF 1407, Quality ID 394)
Childhood Immunizations (CIS) Combination 10*(NQF 0038, Quality ID 240)
Influenza Immunization*

*Pediatric measures or includes the pediatric population

B. Target Setting and Performance Measurement

Targets and performance will be determined based on the availability of national Medicaid benchmarks as follows:

1. Target Setting for Measures due to the COVID-19 public health emergency

To exclude distortions in the months impacted by COVID-19 while maintaining a 12-month measurement period as required by the measures, the measurement period will be March 1, 2019 through February 29, 2020. To achieve the performance target, DMPHs must perform at or above the minimum performance benchmark established by DHCS. This section supersedes any conflicting sections regarding DMPH QIP target setting. All other QIP requirements will continue to apply.

- a. For measures that have a national Medicaid benchmark, DMPHs will be required to perform at or above the Medicaid 25th percentile benchmark.
- b. For measures which have no Medicaid benchmark, DHCS will establish appropriate minimum performance benchmarks by using processes and criteria approved for identifying benchmarks for non-Medicaid benchmarked measures in the PRIME program. This process takes into account all available performance data on a given measure, be it national, state, or public hospital-specific data, as well as known variances between the populations measured by the available performance data and the Managed Care Medi-Cal populations measured by QIP. DHCS may update these benchmarks annually, as appropriate based on the most recently available data. DMPHs will be required to perform at or above the established minimum performance benchmark.

C. Achievement Values

Pay-for-Performance: The achievement value of a measure will be based on the amount of progress made toward achieving the measure's performance target.

Based on the progress reported, and using the target setting methodologies described in B.1 above, due to the COVID-19 public health emergency, the achievement value (AV) will be determined as follows:

- Performance < minimum performance benchmark, AV = 0
- Performance ≥ minimum performance benchmark, AV = 1

Final QIP Payments:

For the DMPH QIP, DMPH systems will be allocated a specified maximum amount of incentive funding per measure using the allocation methodology set forth in the Medi-Cal 2020 PRIME program. DMPHs will receive final payment per measure based on Achievement Values as specified in Section C above.

For the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency Immunization sub-pool, the 9 highest performing DMPHs will be rewarded for their performance on each of the immunization measures listed in Table 2 during January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020. To be eligible to receive payments from this sub-pool, DMPHs must submit additional performance data for calendar year 2020. The 9 highest performing DMPHs for each of the identified Immunization Sub-Pool metrics will be awarded their full per metric dollar values for each applicable immunization metric (the methodology to calculate this allocation was previously set forth in the Medi-Cal 2020 PRIME program). If there are not enough funds to fund all eligible DMPHs for their full metric values, DHCS will distribute funds on a pro rata basis, similar to the Medi-Cal 2020 PRIME High Performance Pool.

The State will require MCPs, via its contracts, All Plan Letters, or similar instruction to make final QIP payments to contracted DMPH systems. The State will identify the amount of final QIP payments each MCP must make to each contracted DMPH system, with the sum of these amounts not to exceed the amount of total funds available in the applicable QIP PY.

If there is more than one MCP in the specific DMPH system's service area, the final QIP payment to the DMPH system will be allocated proportionally among the MCPs.

ATTACHMENT 2

CA 438.6(c) Proposal K - District and Municipal Public Hospital Quality Incentive Pool (DMPH-QIP) Program Year 3.5 Evaluation Plan July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020

Evaluation Purpose

The purpose of this evaluation is to determine if the proposed directed payments made, through California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) contracts with Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans (MCP) to network provider District and Municipal Public Hospitals (DMPHs), improve the quality of inpatient and outpatient services for Medi-Cal members assigned to DMPHs.

Stakeholders

- DMPHs
- District Hospital Leadership Forum (DHLF)
- California Association of Health Plans (CAHP)
- Local Health Plans of California (LHPC)
- MCPs

Evaluation Questions

This evaluation is designed to answer the following question:

- 1) Do performance-based quality incentive payments to DMPHs improve the quality of inpatient and outpatient services for Medi-Cal members?

Evaluation Design

For the March 1, 2020 to February 29, 2020 measurement period, as part of this evaluation, all participating hospital systems will report on any PRIME projects and measures that they have undertaken as part of PRIME for Demonstration Year 15 (July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020). These projects and measures are referred to as PRIME Transition measures. Targets and performance calculations for each measure, as discussed in Attachment 1 of the DMPH QIP Directed Payments Preprint, uniformly apply to all participating hospital systems.

The detailed list of measures is included in Attachment 1.

DHCS will use aggregated data, submitted by DMPHs to DHCS, to determine:

- For each measure, of DMPHs reporting on that measure, what percentage met their quality performance targets.
- For each DMPH, the percentage of measures for which they meet their quality performance targets.

Data Collection Methods

DHCS will collect all data necessary for quality measurement from DMPHs, which will be required to report aggregated data on each measure. Depending on the specific measure and DMPH capabilities, DMPHs will collect aggregated data utilizing Electronic Health Records and/or claims and registry databases. DMPHs will submit to DHCS encrypted aggregated data collected, in the form and manner required by DHCS. DMPHs will submit encrypted data using the PRIME platform and DHCS will conduct its analysis on 100% of the data received.

Timeline

- Program Year: 3.5
- July 1, 2019 through December 31, 2020: Rating Period
- March 1, 2019 through February 29, 2020: Measurement Period
- March 31, 2021: Deadline for DMPHs to submit PRIME Transition data to DHCS
- April 1, 2021 through July 30, 2021: DHCS review of DMPH reports
- August 2021: Data finalized and approved
- September through November 2021: DHCS will develop the evaluation report
- December 2021: Draft evaluation report reviewed by stakeholders
- January-February 2022: Stakeholder comments incorporated into evaluation report, draft to go through internal review and finalized by program.
- March-April 2022: Evaluation report posted on public DHCS website and sent to CMS

Communication and Reporting

The results will be shared with the stakeholders listed above and a report will be shared with CMS. The report will also be posted on the State's [QIP website](#).