

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2013/2014

Report run on 8/14/2015

Overview

The federal court's jurisdiction over the Katie A. lawsuit formally ended on December 1, 2014. The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement outlined a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the third week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 11,791 (statewide) compared to 11,788 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 3 subclass members.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$102,575,998 (statewide) compared to \$102,600,142 for the last reporting period. This is a decrease of -\$24,144.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 12,983,889 (statewide) compared to 12,971,997 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 11,892 minutes.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 14,486,559 (statewide) compared to 14,478,772 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 7,787 minutes.

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - Fiscal Year 2013/2014

Report run on 8/14/2015

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 6,798 (statewide) compared to 6,798 for the last reporting period.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 5,391 (statewide) compared to 5,390 for the last reporting period. This is an increase of 1 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 44.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 48.

Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.




Notes Updated: August 18, 2014

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 8/14/2015




¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.


SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 8/14/2015

ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members By Service Month²



Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member By Service Month²



¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 8/14/2015

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14
828	1,879	3,743	5,545	7,781	21,530	25,099	35,847	63,299	73,636	69,600	69,016

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission, By Service Month²

Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14
1,142	1,422	3,133	4,152	4,316	4,672	5,233	5,571	5,874	6,742	6,824	6,951

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14
187,356	263,768	1,150,375	2,160,539	2,137,470	2,200,124	2,834,984	3,050,359	3,527,756	3,744,396	3,189,169	3,024,152

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services¹ Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14
\$ 2,452,363	\$ 3,029,968	\$ 5,621,393	\$ 8,500,970	\$ 7,787,130	\$ 7,853,353	\$ 10,000,906	\$ 9,899,740	\$ 11,068,987	\$ 12,208,566	\$ 12,501,301	\$ 11,651,321

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

	Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14
ICC	257	415	1,272	1,887	1,945	2,315	2,825	2,920	3,047	3,254	3,189	3,297
IHBS	169	247	1,113	1,697	1,752	2,014	2,290	2,359	2,480	2,707	2,803	2,804

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month²



	Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14
ICC	286	273	431	538	517	444	487	494	545	540	447	426
IHBS	672	604	534	664	640	575	626	657	739	721	614	564

¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 8/14/2015



¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.


³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.


SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 8/14/2015

ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members By Service Month³



Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member By Service Month³



¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 8/14/2015

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14
53	44	58	96	114	256	358	412	1,395	1,862	2,182	1,902

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission, By Service Month³

Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14
41	41	68	83	97	106	129	167	158	176	176	197

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14
297	1,425	8,383	19,635	11,479	12,869	24,172	56,405	34,322	34,818	41,795	39,443

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services² Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14
\$ 52,482	\$ 56,025	\$ 113,193	\$ 178,195	\$ 170,271	\$ 163,273	\$ 231,212	\$ 296,105	\$ 285,855	\$ 313,119	\$ 329,312	\$ 311,609

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

	Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14
ICC	^	^	15	22	17	25	35	62	51	47	45	47
IHBS	-	^	^	13	^	16	23	38	28	28	36	33

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month³

	Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14
ICC	99	76	360	485	388	245	339	396	420	385	255	317
IHBS	-	523	372	690	489	422	534	839	461	598	842	743

¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2013 - June 2014

Report Run on 8/14/2015

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation (1/2 Day Increments)	Day Treatment Intensive (1/2 Day Increments)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	443	\$ 10,011,203	99,503	121,731	229,236	11,845	65,028	3,005,268	755	^	37,818	^	^	^	-	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	15	\$ 43,802	-	^	^	^	^	9,876	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	235	\$ 1,556,270	128,113	65,611	8,347	5,407	36,405	433,319	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
5	Calaveras*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	18	\$ 45,648	-	^	^	-	^	19,703	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	262	\$ 5,311,345	85,135	125,998	187,474	17,379	94,559	1,352,120	1,106	^	11,154	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	32	\$ 72,465	^	22,185	2,901	-	-	7,983	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	407	\$ 4,252,126	728,250	271,869	91,102	12,525	40,845	592,449	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
11	Glenn*	14	\$ 83,838	^	4,940	^	-	^	28,343	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	57	\$ 798,680	-	103,784	23,680	^	13,205	200,114	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
13	Imperial	15	\$ 40,247	^	7,770	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	246	\$ 1,203,846	8,646	29,014	5,482	^	22,184	339,400	289	^	^	-	-	^	-	^
16	Kings*	26	\$ 128,946	24,609	^	3,211	^	^	25,147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*	12	\$ 43,287	-	-	^	^	^	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	3,675	\$ 29,386,141	9,363,469	9,491,353	8,601	^	31,825	573,848	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	87	\$ 144,287	-	-	20,178	^	2,630	44,237	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	42	\$ 501,804	^	57,818	15,626	-	^	45,984	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	70	\$ 271,757	52,635	71,192	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	67	\$ 286,575	-	10,520	8,579	^	-	40,422	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	320	\$ 556,317	432,581	119,008	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	18	\$ 67,422	-	-	3,500	^	^	15,283	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	47	\$ 348,241	26,941	25,058	22,939	^	^	69,065	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	958	\$ 7,074,210	161,530	379,892	349,628	43,800	138,858	1,574,501	^	-	-	-	^	-	-	-
31	Placer*	110	\$ 249,938	19,221	13,226	2,528	^	^	60,663	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,211	\$ 2,997,994	250,142	163,398	262,241	3,554	55,633	1,533,917	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	467	\$ 3,988,458	283,724	159,854	781,980	^	113,149	1,924,754	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	320	\$ 2,251,355	414,812	196,440	51,834	^	22,608	1,028,756	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
37	San Diego*	796	\$ 9,790,979	249,920	434,517	17,625	3,744	129,729	1,383,686	775	142,702	10,614	-	-	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	354	\$ 10,864,864	1,540,176	726,659	118,489	2,754	37,487	1,152,488	-	^	22,536	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	395	\$ 1,539,563	92,443	113,224	64,033	3,227	21,333	279,264	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	108	\$ 675,421	125,213	25,870	12,284	^	4,934	92,529	-	-	^	^	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	106	\$ 2,123,268	52,538	21,197	50,878	^	22,526	345,928	^	^	8,730	^	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	87	\$ 459,938	^	18,098	39,672	^	10,614	206,935	-	^	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	68	\$ 878,046	73,285	33,200	23,843	^	^	211,004	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	96	\$ 969,696	9,779	60,487	42,392	^	30,161	202,659	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	54	\$ 145,532	3,278	12,884	5,953	-	^	34,821	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano	35	\$ 150,147	37,229	15,850	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	134	\$ 1,405,665	-	-	36,655	4,777	10,590	573,468	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	58	\$ 238,675	-	-	4,816	^	^	95,214	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	151	\$ 743,496	73,792	32,667	57,390	^	19,390	120,867	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	60	\$ 322,113	24,655	21,996	6,211	^	^	82,295	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	36	\$ 222,980	-	-	26,901	^	7,476	26,857	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
	Statewide^^	11,791	\$ 102,575,998	14,486,559	12,983,889	2,599,256	128,379	952,668	17,787,163	3,926	168,240	110,353	^	^	160	-	586

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months July 2013 - June 2014

Report Run on 8/14/2015

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	443	\$ 10,011,203	\$ 259,797	\$ 246,046	\$ 431,526	\$ 39,487	\$ 279,922	\$ 7,117,158	\$ 129,751	^	\$ 942,004	^	^	^	\$ -	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	15	\$ 43,802	\$ -	^	^	^	^	\$ 25,776	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Butte*	235	\$ 1,556,270	\$ 219,584	\$ 108,806	\$ 13,900	\$ 17,258	\$ 154,440	\$ 856,455	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
5	Calaveras*^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*	18	\$ 45,648	\$ -	^	^	^	^	\$ 41,806	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	Contra Costa*	262	\$ 5,311,345	\$ 220,129	\$ 201,547	\$ 372,449	\$ 54,300	\$ 425,933	\$ 3,357,486	\$ 97,268	^	\$ 445,263	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte*^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	El Dorado*	32	\$ 72,465	^	\$ 45,880	\$ 6,003	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,976	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	407	\$ 4,252,126	\$ 1,823,841	\$ 527,295	\$ 183,045	\$ 52,826	\$ 195,103	\$ 1,395,811	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
11	Glenn*	14	\$ 83,838	^	\$ 9,141	^	^	^	\$ 59,021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	57	\$ 798,680	\$ -	\$ 158,118	\$ 38,474	^	\$ 53,811	\$ 506,210	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
13	Imperial	15	\$ 40,247	^	\$ 19,668	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo*^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
15	Kern*	246	\$ 1,203,846	\$ 21,480	\$ 58,416	\$ 10,217	^	\$ 106,530	\$ 868,274	\$ 27,254	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	^
16	Kings*	26	\$ 128,946	\$ 57,859	^	\$ 5,732	^	^	\$ 55,706	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen*	12	\$ 43,287	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
19	Los Angeles*	3,675	\$ 29,386,141	\$ 15,824,332	\$ 12,608,046	\$ 11,597	^	\$ 87,239	\$ 851,598	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	87	\$ 144,287	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 38,099	^	\$ 11,370	\$ 94,630	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	42	\$ 501,804	^	\$ 131,665	\$ 42,214	^	^	\$ 176,918	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa*^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	70	\$ 271,757	\$ 132,421	\$ 139,336	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	67	\$ 286,575	\$ -	\$ 46,067	\$ 37,400	^	\$ -	\$ 202,514	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc*^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
26	Mono*^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
27	Monterey	320	\$ 556,317	\$ 244,419	\$ 311,898	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	18	\$ 67,422	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,785	^	^	\$ 43,302	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
29	Nevada*	47	\$ 348,241	\$ 67,271	\$ 48,084	\$ 44,286	^	^	\$ 173,791	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	958	\$ 7,074,210	\$ 313,005	\$ 812,517	\$ 812,349	\$ 236,974	\$ 699,126	\$ 4,178,488	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31	Placer*	110	\$ 249,938	\$ 34,109	\$ 27,132	\$ 12,124	^	^	\$ 175,910	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas*^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
33	Riverside*	1,211	\$ 2,997,994	\$ 355,807	\$ 194,198	\$ 307,932	\$ 5,640	\$ 179,266	\$ 1,882,742	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34	Sacramento*	467	\$ 3,988,458	\$ 359,740	\$ 200,893	\$ 899,790	^	\$ 251,064	\$ 2,276,866	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito*^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
36	San Bernardino*	320	\$ 2,251,355	\$ 406,892	\$ 203,198	\$ 73,856	^	\$ 59,642	\$ 1,443,920	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
37	San Diego*	796	\$ 9,790,979	\$ 675,219	\$ 898,410	\$ 36,244	\$ 15,032	\$ 631,779	\$ 3,659,619	\$ 39,329	^	\$ 374,745	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	^
38	San Francisco*	354	\$ 10,864,864	\$ 4,367,179	\$ 1,613,429	\$ 266,121	\$ 14,659	\$ 208,411	\$ 3,483,404	\$ -	^	\$ 832,667	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	395	\$ 1,539,563	\$ 228,816	\$ 201,459	\$ 146,403	\$ 13,274	\$ 111,580	\$ 745,734	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
40	San Luis Obispo*	108	\$ 675,421	\$ 244,874	\$ 73,626	\$ 36,911	^	\$ 18,296	\$ 253,421	\$ -	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
41	San Mateo*	106	\$ 2,123,268	\$ 137,659	\$ 51,468	\$ 124,127	^	\$ 167,487	\$ 985,311	^	^	\$ 624,973	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara*	87	\$ 459,938	^	\$ 24,821	\$ 50,489	^	\$ 37,548	\$ 306,262	\$ -	^	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	68	\$ 878,046	\$ 220,344	\$ 82,521	\$ 54,730	^	^	\$ 475,512	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	96	\$ 969,696	\$ 31,321	\$ 123,844	\$ 109,404	^	\$ 95,113	\$ 463,481	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	54	\$ 145,532	\$ 7,573	\$ 35,420	\$ 15,398	\$ -	^	\$ 84,113	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano	35	\$ 150,147	\$ 93,686	\$ 56,461	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	134	\$ 1,405,665	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 86,350	\$ 16,112	\$ 46,846	\$ 1,233,252	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	58	\$ 238,675	\$ -	\$ 9,694	^	^	^	\$ 216,835	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	151	\$ 743,496	\$ 186,388	\$ 60,122	\$ 106,690	^	\$ 73,106	\$ 314,121	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolumne*^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Ventura*	60	\$ 322,113	\$ 58,709	\$ 40,066	\$ 11,291	^	^	\$ 207,954	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo*^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	36	\$ 222,980	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 72,633	^	\$ 47,154	\$ 93,085	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
	Statewide^^	11,791	\$ 102,575,998	\$ 26,890,101	\$ 19,410,979	\$ 4,511,909	\$ 529,088	\$ 4,048,452	\$ 38,450,911	\$ 402,655	\$ 3,806,188	\$ 3,793,120	^	^	\$ 211,326	\$ -	\$ 452,419

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months July 2013 - June 2014

Report Run on 8/14/2015

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	443	\$ 10,011,203	53	55	242	40	139	417	41	^	42	^	^	^	-	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	15	\$ 43,802	-	^	^	^	^	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	235	\$ 1,556,270	61	104	45	15	54	208	^	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
5	Calaveras*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	18	\$ 45,648	-	^	^	-	^	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	262	\$ 5,311,345	40	190	146	26	92	188	29	^	17	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	32	\$ 72,465	^	20	15	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	407	\$ 4,252,126	162	168	274	42	183	349	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
11	Glenn*	14	\$ 83,838	^	12	^	-	^	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	57	\$ 798,680	-	30	29	^	32	54	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
13	Imperial	15	\$ 40,247	^	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	246	\$ 1,203,846	17	117	37	^	112	230	19	^	^	-	-	^	-	^
16	Kings*	26	\$ 128,946	14	^	19	^	^	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*	12	\$ 43,287	-	-	^	^	^	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	3,675	\$ 29,386,141	3,267	3,296	48	^	80	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	87	\$ 144,287	-	-	63	^	20	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	42	\$ 501,804	^	21	33	-	^	39	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	70	\$ 271,757	51	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	67	\$ 286,575	-	29	39	^	-	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	320	\$ 556,317	203	230	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	18	\$ 67,422	-	-	14	^	^	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	47	\$ 348,241	26	41	40	^	^	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	958	\$ 7,074,210	113	307	502	124	272	930	^	-	-	^	-	-	-	-
31	Placer*	110	\$ 249,938	17	33	22	^	^	109	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,211	\$ 2,997,994	167	220	370	16	412	981	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	467	\$ 3,988,458	151	213	420	^	209	451	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	320	\$ 2,251,355	176	204	53	^	109	281	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
37	San Diego*	796	\$ 9,790,979	211	450	104	28	384	599	38	277	19	-	-	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	354	\$ 10,864,864	224	230	113	12	68	210	-	^	20	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	395	\$ 1,539,563	107	178	290	17	93	338	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
40	San Luis Obispo*	108	\$ 675,421	47	64	55	^	30	93	-	-	^	^	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	106	\$ 2,123,268	21	51	73	^	61	94	^	^	15	^	-	-	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	87	\$ 459,938	^	39	66	^	28	80	-	^	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	68	\$ 878,046	43	48	36	^	^	46	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	96	\$ 969,696	20	69	77	^	54	85	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	54	\$ 145,532	16	35	23	-	^	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano	35	\$ 150,147	17	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	134	\$ 1,405,665	-	-	59	15	62	119	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	58	\$ 238,675	-	-	42	^	^	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	151	\$ 743,496	60	125	136	^	64	136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	60	\$ 322,113	37	38	29	^	^	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	36	\$ 222,980	-	-	31	^	19	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
	Statewide^^	11,791	\$ 102,575,998	5,391	6,798	1,791	3,589	436	2,667	170	312	156	^	^	25	-	24

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

Query Data Used:

- **Data Source:** Data is pulled from the **SDMCP2_ODS** database, **Claim_DPI** & **mv_eob** tables.
- **Data Extracted:**
 1. **Service Month** = The first day of the month when the date of service start date occurred. For example - if the date of service start date was "9/21/13", this would be changed to "9/1/13". This is done to track the Month & Year as well as facilitate exporting data into Excel and converting to a "Sep 2013" Date format.
 2. **Submission Month** = The first day of the month when the claim was accepted into the SDMC system (**Date Created** field). This data follows the same Date format methodology used in item #1 above.
 3. **Submitting County Code** = The county of service that billed the claim.
 4. **County_Of_Responsibility** = The county that established Medi-Cal eligibility for the Katie A subclass member.
 5. **Client Index Number** = The beneficiary's Client Index Number billed on the claim.
 6. **Service ID** = The procedure code billed on the claim.
 7. **Procedure Modifier1** = The modifier used in conjunction with the procedure code billed on the claim.
 8. **SEL Quantity** = The number of units billed on the claim.
 9. **Service Line Count** = Number of unique services paid for in a warrant.
 10. **CWunits of Time** = The time frequency associated with the procedure & modifier billed (i.e. minutes, hours, days).
 11. **FFP Approved Amount** = The sum of the Claim FFP Approved Amounts in a warrant.
 12. **Demonstration Project Identifier** = The field that is used to flag the claim for services provided to Katie A subclass beneficiary ("KTA").

Query Methodology:

The SDMC II database is queried for service lines from approved claims that are claimed with the following Electronic Data Interchange Health Care Claim Transaction Set (837) data elements:

- A Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) set to "KTA", or
- Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes for Intensive Care Coordination (ICC) or Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS).

The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims. This data is then grouped and totaled by the **Submission Month** or **Service Month** for the bar graphs on the "Graphs" worksheet. It is also grouped by county and totaled year-to-date in the "County Table" worksheet.