

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - 12 Month Rolling

Report run on 8/14/2015

Overview

The federal court's jurisdiction over the Katie A. lawsuit formally ended on December 1, 2014. The Katie A. v Bonta lawsuit Settlement Agreement outlined a series of actions that are intended to transform the way children and youth who are in foster care or who are at imminent risk of foster care placement receive access to mental health services consistent with a Core Practice Model (CPM) that creates a coherent and all-inclusive approach to service planning and delivery. The Settlement Agreement also specifies that children and youth who meet subclass criteria (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) are eligible to receive Intensive Care Coordination (ICC), Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS), and Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) (once approved as a Medi-Cal service). County MHPs are required to provide ICC and IHBS services to subclass members. MHPs provide ICC and IHBS and claim federal reimbursement through the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) claiming system.

The Department of Health Care Services' (DHCS) Mental Health Services Division (MHSD) Information Notice 13-11 instructed counties of the Short-Doyle/Medi-Cal (SDMC) system changes required to support the implementation of ICC and IHBS which included submitting claims with a Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) of "KTA" and procedure codes (T1017, HK) for Intensive Care Coordination and (H2015, HK) for Intensive Home Based Services.

Purpose of Report

This report displays metrics associated with approved claims for services provided to the Katie A. subclass members. It will be updated monthly and posted during the third week of every month beginning in March 2014.

Some important objectives of the Katie A. Settlement Agreement are to collect existing data specific to the subclass in order to evaluate utilization and timely access to appropriate care and to post data that is useful to counties, stakeholders, and State departments in addressing the needs of subclass members. This report is one of many activities the State has undergone in order to achieve these objectives. Subject to some important limitations, this report provides information regarding the number of subclass members and their service utilization. It also includes service utilization by county and this assists in gauging counties' progress implementing ICC and IHBS.

While this report provides valuable information, it is important to note that there are factors, such as claim lag of up to 12 months, which must be considered. In addition, while this report provides information on a county by county basis, it does not provide information regarding the factors that lead to possible differences among counties in their implementation of ICC and IHBS and provision of other Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) to subclass members.

Report Highlights

- ▶ The number of subclass members for this reporting period is 12,907 (statewide) compared to 14,027 for the last reporting period. This is a -8% decrease of -1,120 subclass members.
- ▶ Total approved amount to date is \$104,493,422 (statewide) compared to \$121,604,965 for the last reporting period. This is a -14% decrease of -\$17,111,543.
- ▶ The total amount of ICC minutes provided to subclass members to date is 12,954,499 (statewide) compared to 14,980,536 for the last reporting period. This is a -14% decrease of -2,026,037 minutes.
- ▶ The total amount of IHBS minutes provided to subclass members to date is 15,023,445 (statewide) compared to 16,709,827 for the last reporting period. This is a -10% decrease of -1,686,382 minutes.

Katie A. Specialty Mental Health Services Report - 12 Month Rolling

Report run on 8/14/2015

- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received ICC to date is 7,676 (statewide) compared to 8,839 for the last reporting period. This is a -13% decrease of -1,163 subclass members.
- ▶ The number of subclass members that have received IHBS to date is 5,843 (statewide) compared to 6,684 for the last reporting period. This is a -13% decrease of -841 subclass members.
- ▶ The total number of counties with approved claims for ICC and/or IHBS is 48.
- ▶ The total number of counties using the KTA Demonstration Project Identifier is 48.

Definitions

- **Approved Service Claims:** The total number of approved service lines adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system regardless of minutes or duplicate subclass member counts.
- **Total Amount of Approved Katie A Services:** The sum of all total approved amounts by the SDMC claiming system for claims with a DPI of "KTA" or claims billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.
- **Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes*:** The total number of approved Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services minutes adjudicated through the SDMC claiming system.
- **Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Members:** The total number of unique Katie A subclass members linked to claims adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system in a particular month (bar graph charts) or for previous 12 months (county table).
- **SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members:** Any Specialty Mental Health Services adjudicated and approved through the SDMC claiming system with the "KTA" DPI or billed with either Intensive Care Coordination or Intensive Home Based Services.

* Please see Page 72 of the [MHSD Medi-Cal Billing Manual](#) for more information on SMHS procedures.





Notes Updated: August 18, 2014

- 1) Claims were being denied due to use of a secondary modifier with ICC and IHBS claims. These service modifiers indicated Telephone or Community. The claiming policy has been updated as follows: ICC and IHBS should be provided in the community and may be provided via telehealth and telephone in instances consistent with TCM and Mental Health Services. Activities unique to ICC and IHBS, such as the Children and Family Team, should be performed face to face as often as possible to address the needs of the child and achieve the level of intensity that these services require. A SDMC system change was implemented on 5/30/14 to correct this and Counties are in the process of submitting replacement claims.
- 2) There is typically claim lag between claim approval and the month of service, so the more recent month totals are typically less than older service month totals.
- 3) Not all counties have implemented the "KTA" claim indicator so their claims may be under reported for services other than ICC and IHBS.
- 4) County Table (pages 9 – 11) data elements have been suppressed or combined in county regions to protect client privacy. The OOC County Tables (formerly pages 12 – 14) have been removed to protect client privacy.

Please contact Medi-Cal County Claims Customer Service (MedCCC) at MedCCC@dhcs.ca.gov or 916-650-6525 for any questions regarding this report.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 8/14/2015




¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.


SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 8/14/2015

ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members By Service Month²



Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member By Service Month²



¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And

Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"

Report Run on 8/14/2015

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15
710	8,952	33,280	38,465	60,455	65,431	35,834	94,945	75,729	72,560	104,207	75,140

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15
7,341	7,293	7,212	6,928	7,010	6,983	6,919	7,066	6,953	5,497	1,935	433

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15
3,333,344	3,468,317	3,549,374	2,672,090	3,001,986	3,307,025	3,221,001	3,525,952	3,396,835	1,747,501	471,694	29,010

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services¹ Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15
\$ 12,150,372	\$ 13,072,016	\$ 13,978,653	\$ 10,967,927	\$ 11,660,237	\$ 12,398,094	\$ 11,853,775	\$ 13,170,443	\$ 12,175,506	\$ 7,687,021	\$ 3,237,622	\$ 391,924

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month²

	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15
ICC	3,674	3,852	3,949	3,760	3,863	3,976	3,925	3,938	3,910	2,716	862	92
IHBS	2,981	3,054	3,035	2,920	3,052	3,069	3,067	3,049	3,066	2,217	514	45

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month²



	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15
ICC	372	402	427	352	356	395	394	426	394	300	271	190
IHBS	645	615	596	446	508	540	521	580	582	391	432	244

¹ All services are defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

² Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 8/14/2015



¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.


³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.


SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
 Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
 Report Run on 8/14/2015

ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members By Service Month³



Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member By Service Month³



¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members Treated Out of County (OOC)¹ by Month

Procedure Codes H2015 (IHBS)/T1017 (ICC), Modifier HK; And
Claims Submitted with DPI Element "KTA"
Report Run on 8/14/2015

Supplemental Accessibility Tables

Table Name: Approved Service Claims for OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, Count of service lines by Month of Submission

Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15
21	281	901	762	1,382	1,341	1,023	2,113	2,092	1,989	2,411	2,740

Table Name: Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15
203	201	207	197	206	201	197	199	186	152	65	17

Table Name: Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15
44,268	41,897	55,676	45,231	78,212	79,216	77,011	80,895	71,993	64,836	15,998	528

Table Name: Total Approved Amount for All Services² Provided to OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15
\$ 350,920	\$ 365,241	\$ 373,756	\$ 322,152	\$ 369,800	\$ 418,565	\$ 367,377	\$ 390,424	\$ 346,404	\$ 213,395	\$ 115,350	\$ 12,542

Table Name: ICC & IHBS Unduplicated Count of OOC Katie A. Subclass Members, By Service Month³

	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15
ICC	57	66	70	76	81	91	83	86	76	57	26	^
IHBS	46	39	41	59	59	54	60	52	43	55	18	^

Table Name: Average Approved ICC & IHBS Minutes per Unduplicated OOC Katie A. Subclass Member, By Service Month³

	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15
ICC	274	238	335	256	392	341	391	420	425	420	233	90
IHBS	622	671	786	436	787	892	743	861	923	743	552	174

¹ Out of County (OOC) is defined as a claim submitted for a Katie A. Subclass Member where the Medi-Cal county of responsibility differs from the county of service.

² All Services is defined as any services billed on a claim with a "KTA" Demonstration Project Identifier or ICC, IHBS services.

³ Recent service months are affected by claim lag and, in general, do not represent the entire amount of services performed at the time this report is run. Please see the "Notes Updated" section on page 2 of this report for more information on claim lag or systemic issues that may be currently affecting claiming.

^ Data has been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

Total Units of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months August 2014 - July 2015

Report Run on 8/14/2015

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS (Minutes)	ICC (Minutes)	Case Management/ Brokerage (Minutes)	Crisis Intervention (Minutes)	Medication Support Services (Minutes)	Mental Health Services (Minutes)	Crisis Stabilization (Hours)	Day Rehabilitation (1/2 Day Increments)	Day Treatment Intensive (1/2 Day Increments)	Adult Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Crisis Residential Treatment Services (Days)	Hospital Inpatient (Days)	Hospital Inpatient Admin (Days)	Psychiatric Health Facility (Days)
1	Alameda*	375	\$ 3,536,639	91,430	95,030	71,886	5,589	26,512	1,107,245	213	^	12,450	-	^	^	-	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	16	\$ 55,097	-	2,705	^	^	^	10,125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	245	\$ 1,643,556	138,210	65,283	9,958	6,795	35,969	447,423	342	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
5	Calaveras*	14	\$ 61,640	^	^	6,763	^	^	9,168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	25	\$ 88,455	^	2,320	^	-	^	30,693	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	312	\$ 6,415,179	278,154	262,737	183,909	9,412	62,825	1,395,602	1,141	^	5,172	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	15	\$ 44,879	-	21,700	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	364	\$ 3,094,817	537,337	216,823	67,551	5,645	36,329	393,449	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
11	Glenn*	20	\$ 213,757	31,047	19,371	^	^	^	40,609	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	27	\$ 56,717	-	11,249	^	-	^	9,066	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Imperial^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	234	\$ 1,434,230	9,389	33,624	3,696	2,764	23,089	354,381	49	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	36	\$ 129,413	21,399	^	5,103	^	^	25,166	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	34	\$ 76,062	^	18,459	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	3,642	\$ 30,634,961	7,870,827	8,509,019	11,447	^	40,637	632,170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	142	\$ 308,252	-	^	45,870	^	2,730	95,719	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	40	\$ 377,061	^	33,706	5,988	-	^	37,898	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	91	\$ 373,157	81,457	85,564	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	80	\$ 282,919	-	15,041	13,978	^	-	36,647	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	523	\$ 1,633,525	1,168,864	421,058	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	37	\$ 95,573	-	-	4,361	^	^	23,863	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	47	\$ 596,824	38,677	23,027	40,822	^	3,249	133,638	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	919	\$ 6,313,327	162,067	309,616	289,211	49,482	94,786	1,397,186	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	Placer*	119	\$ 376,779	27,894	19,526	2,275	^	^	92,858	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,659	\$ 5,152,300	262,253	194,131	418,479	5,756	119,162	2,171,481	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	519	\$ 4,258,955	460,515	340,600	756,641	^	123,550	1,842,752	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*	12	\$ 40,575	-	7,039	^	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	529	\$ 5,402,137	813,407	454,432	91,655	7,813	47,613	2,284,450	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
37	San Diego*	841	\$ 9,212,329	197,418	383,038	14,009	2,319	144,914	1,111,976	619	-	164,520	6,396	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	300	\$ 8,309,203	1,376,961	618,825	80,186	^	23,707	762,340	-	^	5,892	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	346	\$ 1,381,459	88,677	102,443	60,561	4,604	18,818	238,746	^	-	^	-	^	-	-	^
40	San Luis Obispo*	134	\$ 2,110,963	396,031	95,669	28,648	^	15,276	284,887	-	-	^	^	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	112	\$ 1,895,689	64,814	38,554	39,768	^	17,540	275,105	^	-	^	^	-	^	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	151	\$ 1,558,396	18,462	102,983	107,334	7,056	34,558	619,271	-	^	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	109	\$ 724,743	140,101	120,914	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	75	\$ 1,253,779	205,638	71,155	26,384	^	^	229,338	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	101	\$ 946,948	12,722	68,580	44,712	^	28,336	187,735	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	60	\$ 219,583	10,802	16,856	5,461	-	^	34,603	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano	50	\$ 232,745	53,774	43,268	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	123	\$ 1,050,013	-	-	40,454	4,043	11,679	462,875	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama^	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	55	\$ 224,906	-	-	1,205	^	^	90,487	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	154	\$ 1,282,884	183,250	62,424	73,281	^	25,479	174,168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	111	\$ 684,891	159,957	44,440	32,295	^	15,053	216,154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	38	\$ 355,613	^	^	33,672	^	9,602	48,759	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
	Statewide^^	12,907	\$ 104,493,422	15,023,445	12,954,499	2,632,525	130,930	983,193	17,350,583	2,885	177,297	55,017	^	^	115	-	343

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Total Approved Amounts of SMHS Provided to Katie A. Subclass Members by County of Service

For Service Months August 2014 - July 2015

Report Run on 8/14/2015

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS	ICC	Case Management/ Brokerage	Crisis Intervention	Medication Support Services	Mental Health Services	Crisis Stabilization	Day Rehabilitation	Day Treatment Intensive	Adult Residential Treatment Services	Crisis Residential Treatment Services	Hospital Inpatient	Hospital Inpatient Admin	Psychiatric Health Facility
1	Alameda*	375	\$ 3,536,639	\$ 241,594	\$ 193,676	\$ 124,978	\$ 18,689	\$ 98,703	\$ 2,326,115	\$ 12,163	^	\$ 272,710	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	Amador*	16	\$ 55,097	\$ -	\$ 5,409	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,496	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Butte*	245	\$ 1,643,556	\$ 246,551	\$ 112,159	\$ 17,226	\$ 20,910	\$ 159,881	\$ 943,520	\$ 34,836	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
5	Calaveras*	14	\$ 61,640	^	^	\$ 12,377	^	^	\$ 21,638	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Colusa*	25	\$ 88,455	^	\$ 4,687	^	^	^	\$ 74,578	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	Contra Costa*	312	\$ 6,415,179	\$ 871,131	\$ 535,589	\$ 371,263	\$ 43,336	\$ 344,881	\$ 3,831,618	\$ 122,054	^	\$ 211,772	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Del Norte**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	El Dorado*	15	\$ 44,879	\$ -	\$ 44,879	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Fresno*	364	\$ 3,094,817	\$ 1,348,974	\$ 421,988	\$ 135,152	\$ 26,384	\$ 165,717	\$ 966,314	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
11	Glenn*	20	\$ 213,757	\$ 82,037	\$ 39,542	^	^	^	\$ 81,738	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Humboldt*	27	\$ 56,717	\$ -	\$ 23,181	^	^	^	\$ 24,070	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
13	Imperial^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Inyo**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
15	Kern*	234	\$ 1,434,230	\$ 31,335	\$ 77,916	\$ 8,716	\$ 14,268	\$ 127,668	\$ 1,058,073	\$ 6,964	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
16	Kings*	36	\$ 129,413	\$ 50,570	^	\$ 9,287	^	^	\$ 57,882	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Lake	34	\$ 76,062	^	\$ 35,139	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18	Lassen**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
19	Los Angeles*	3,642	\$ 30,634,961	\$ 15,975,751	\$ 13,263,812	\$ 15,976	^	\$ 134,082	\$ 1,238,694	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20	Madera*	142	\$ 308,252	\$ -	^	\$ 89,125	^	\$ 11,452	\$ 206,616	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21	Marin*	40	\$ 377,061	^	\$ 94,814	\$ 17,682	^	^	\$ 166,851	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	Mariposa**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23	Mendocino	91	\$ 373,157	\$ 200,544	\$ 172,613	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24	Merced*	80	\$ 282,919	\$ -	\$ 57,294	\$ 55,263	^	\$ -	\$ 167,666	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25	Modoc**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
26	Mono**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
27	Monterey	523	\$ 1,633,525	\$ 730,966	\$ 902,559	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28	Napa*	37	\$ 95,573	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 21,139	^	^	\$ 69,319	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
29	Nevada*	47	\$ 596,824	\$ 98,857	\$ 45,427	\$ 80,808	^	\$ 15,662	\$ 345,844	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Orange*	919	\$ 6,313,327	\$ 353,603	\$ 696,788	\$ 690,899	\$ 269,062	\$ 405,516	\$ 3,884,130	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31	Placer*	119	\$ 376,779	\$ 63,141	\$ 46,535	\$ 9,906	^	^	\$ 253,056	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
32	Plumas**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
33	Riverside*	1,659	\$ 5,152,300	\$ 383,044	\$ 254,572	\$ 730,449	\$ 13,134	\$ 483,519	\$ 3,212,009	^	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
34	Sacramento*	519	\$ 4,258,955	\$ 579,526	\$ 378,608	\$ 836,209	^	\$ 272,338	\$ 2,191,412	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	San Benito*	12	\$ 40,575	\$ 16,749	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
36	San Bernardino*	529	\$ 5,402,137	\$ 1,090,666	\$ 486,915	\$ 120,437	\$ 35,568	\$ 155,549	\$ 3,484,889	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
37	San Diego*	841	\$ 9,212,329	\$ 538,952	\$ 803,108	\$ 28,768	\$ 9,323	\$ 716,406	\$ 2,950,196	\$ 32,446	^	\$ 3,761,398	\$ 225,821	^	^	\$ -	^
38	San Francisco*	300	\$ 8,309,203	\$ 3,906,036	\$ 1,351,473	\$ 186,584	^	\$ 139,259	\$ 2,354,837	\$ -	^	\$ 323,250	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
39	San Joaquin*	346	\$ 1,381,459	\$ 233,613	\$ 197,338	\$ 137,203	\$ 16,844	\$ 97,646	\$ 638,098	^	\$ -	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	^
40	San Luis Obispo*	134	\$ 2,110,963	\$ 775,189	\$ 262,504	\$ 86,488	^	\$ 61,285	\$ 781,021	\$ -	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	^
41	San Mateo*	112	\$ 1,895,689	\$ 159,579	\$ 113,360	\$ 97,309	^	\$ 137,179	\$ 885,039	^	^	^	^	^	^	\$ -	\$ -
42	Santa Barbara*	151	\$ 1,558,396	\$ 30,212	\$ 179,069	\$ 138,528	\$ 18,013	\$ 138,130	\$ 1,024,297	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
43	Santa Clara*	109	\$ 724,743	\$ 436,441	\$ 288,302	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
44	Santa Cruz*	75	\$ 1,253,779	\$ 512,159	\$ 162,499	\$ 57,511	^	^	\$ 492,450	\$ -	\$ -	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
45	Shasta*	101	\$ 946,948	\$ 36,881	\$ 169,776	\$ 106,912	^	\$ 83,933	\$ 451,451	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
47	Siskiyou*	60	\$ 219,583	\$ 38,139	\$ 49,033	\$ 16,199	\$ -	^	\$ 114,178	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
48	Solano	50	\$ 232,745	\$ 107,425	\$ 125,320	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
50	Stanislaus*	123	\$ 1,050,013	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 76,125	\$ 14,040	\$ 47,150	\$ 829,084	\$ -	^	^	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52	Tehama^	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53	Trinity*	55	\$ 224,906	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,419	^	^	\$ 206,539	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54	Tulare*	154	\$ 1,282,884	\$ 469,497	\$ 119,579	\$ 136,408	^	\$ 100,117	\$ 451,812	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55	Tuolumne**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56	Ventura*	111	\$ 684,891	\$ 168,645	\$ 58,555	\$ 32,699	^	\$ 38,109	\$ 385,117	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57	Yolo**	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58	Sutter/Yuba*	38	\$ 355,613	^	^	\$ 94,388	^	^	\$ 63,401	\$ 178,922	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	^
	Statewide^^	12,907	\$ 104,493,422	\$ 30,066,750	\$ 21,843,344	\$ 4,579,347	\$ 567,314	\$ 4,099,764	\$ 36,500,123	\$ 263,440	\$ 4,043,024	\$ 2,025,363	^	^	\$ 153,761	-	\$ 258,927

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Unique Katie A. Subclass Member Count by Type of SMHS Provided by County of Service

For Service Months August 2014 - July 2015

Report Run on 8/14/2015

#	County Name	Unique Katie A. Subclass Members	Total Approved Amount	IHBS Subclass Member Count	ICC Subclass Member Count	Case Management/ Brokerage Subclass Member Count	Crisis Intervention Subclass Member Count	Medication Support Services Subclass Member Count	Mental Health Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Stabilization Subclass Member Count	Day Rehabilitation Subclass Member Count	Day Treatment Intensive Subclass Member Count	Adult Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Crisis Residential Treatment Services Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Subclass Member Count	Hospital Inpatient Admin Subclass Member Count	Psychiatric Health Facility Subclass Member Count
1	Alameda*	375	\$ 3,536,639	49	49	140	21	112	344	18	^	34	-	^	^	-	^
2	Alpine	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Amador*	16	\$ 55,097	-	15	^	^	^	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Butte*	245	\$ 1,643,556	60	99	52	19	59	231	14	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
5	Calaveras*	14	\$ 61,640	^	^	12	^	^	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Colusa*	25	\$ 88,455	^	12	^	-	^	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Contra Costa*	312	\$ 6,415,179	80	238	182	27	107	230	33	^	13	-	-	-	-	-
8	Del Norte*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	El Dorado*	15	\$ 44,879	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Fresno*	364	\$ 3,094,817	155	155	236	37	170	296	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	^
11	Glenn*	20	\$ 213,757	16	17	^	^	^	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Humboldt*	27	\$ 56,717	-	19	^	-	^	22	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Imperial^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Inyo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Kern*	234	\$ 1,434,230	20	125	30	18	114	222	12	^	^	-	-	-	-	^
16	Kings*	36	\$ 129,413	14	^	32	^	^	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Lake	34	\$ 76,062	^	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lassen*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Los Angeles*	3,642	\$ 30,634,961	3,204	3,307	54	^	88	173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Madera*	142	\$ 308,252	-	^	99	^	19	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Marin*	40	\$ 377,061	^	21	30	-	^	35	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Mariposa*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Mendocino	91	\$ 373,157	65	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Merced*	80	\$ 282,919	-	21	49	^	-	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Modoc*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Mono*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Monterey	523	\$ 1,633,525	354	383	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Napa*	37	\$ 95,573	-	-	21	^	^	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Nevada*	47	\$ 596,824	24	44	43	^	14	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Orange*	919	\$ 6,313,327	104	292	470	118	247	887	^	-	247	-	-	-	-	-
31	Placer*	119	\$ 376,779	24	39	20	^	^	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Plumas*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Riverside*	1,659	\$ 5,152,300	165	327	548	28	555	1,445	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sacramento*	519	\$ 4,258,955	187	297	439	^	232	479	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	San Benito*	12	\$ 40,575	12	^	^	^	-	^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	San Bernardino*	529	\$ 5,402,137	298	377	90	32	200	482	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
37	San Diego*	841	\$ 9,212,329	180	476	90	23	424	634	39	296	15	-	^	^	-	^
38	San Francisco*	300	\$ 8,309,203	199	202	87	^	48	161	-	^	13	-	-	-	-	-
39	San Joaquin*	346	\$ 1,381,459	109	155	259	19	81	279	^	-	^	-	^	-	-	^
40	San Luis Obispo*	134	\$ 2,110,963	72	98	94	^	43	116	-	^	^	^	-	-	-	^
41	San Mateo*	112	\$ 1,895,689	31	69	74	^	60	96	^	^	^	^	-	^	-	-
42	Santa Barbara*	151	\$ 1,558,396	27	113	101	25	54	127	-	^	-	-	^	-	-	-
43	Santa Clara*	109	\$ 724,743	81	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	Santa Cruz*	75	\$ 1,253,779	57	62	37	^	^	54	-	-	^	-	-	-	-	-
45	Shasta*	101	\$ 946,948	28	81	86	^	53	88	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
46	Sierra**	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	Siskiyou*	60	\$ 219,583	23	45	29	-	^	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	Solano	50	\$ 232,745	23	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	Sonoma	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50	Stanislaus*	123	\$ 1,050,013	-	-	51	18	59	111	-	^	^	-	-	-	-	-
51	Sutter***	-	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52	Tehama^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	Trinity*	55	\$ 224,906	-	-	22	^	^	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54	Tulare*	154	\$ 1,282,884	74	115	128	^	66	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	Tuolumne*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56	Ventura*	111	\$ 684,891	60	60	54	^	25	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	Yolo*^	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58	Sutter/Yuba*	38	\$ 355,613	^	^	32	^	21	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	^
	Statewide^^	12,907	\$ 104,493,422	5,843	7,676	12,907	3,738	487	2,940	7,429	145	326	123	^	^	27	17

* Counties currently submitting claims with the 'KTA' Demonstration Project Identifier.

** Sierra - There is no data listed for Sierra County (Code 46) because Placer County (Code 31) acts as the MHP for both counties and submits service claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties.

*** Sutter - There is no data listed for Sutter County (Code 51) because Sutter/Yuba is a combined MHP pursuant to the joint powers agreement between those two counties. All Medi-Cal specialty mental health services claims for Medi-Cal beneficiaries in both counties are submitted under Yuba County's code (Code 58).

^ Data in the cells have been suppressed to protect patient privacy.

^^ The Statewide totals shown reflect the actual Statewide totals and incorporates any County data that may have been suppressed.

Katie A. Services Report Technical Definitions

Query Data Used:

- **Data Source:** Data is pulled from the **SDMCP2_ODS** database, **Claim_DPI** & **mv_eob** tables.
- **Data Extracted:**
 1. **Service Month** = The first day of the month when the date of service start date occurred. For example - if the date of service start date was "9/21/13", this would be changed to "9/1/13". This is done to track the Month & Year as well as facilitate exporting data into Excel and converting to a "Sep 2013" Date format.
 2. **Submission Month** = The first day of the month when the claim was accepted into the SDMC system (**Date Created** field). This data follows the same Date format methodology used in item #1 above.
 3. **Submitting County Code** = The county of service that billed the claim.
 4. **County_Of_Responsibility** = The county that established Medi-Cal eligibility for the Katie A subclass member.
 5. **Client Index Number** = The beneficiary's Client Index Number billed on the claim.
 6. **Service ID** = The procedure code billed on the claim.
 7. **Procedure Modifier1** = The modifier used in conjunction with the procedure code billed on the claim.
 8. **SEL Quantity** = The number of units billed on the claim.
 9. **Service Line Count** = Number of unique services paid for in a warrant.
 10. **CWunits of Time** = The time frequency associated with the procedure & modifier billed (i.e. minutes, hours, days).
 11. **FFP Approved Amount** = The sum of the Claim FFP Approved Amounts in a warrant.
 12. **Demonstration Project Identifier** = The field that is used to flag the claim for services provided to Katie A subclass beneficiary ("KTA").

Query Methodology:

The SDMC II database is queried for service lines from approved claims that are claimed with the following Electronic Data Interchange Health Care Claim Transaction Set (837) data elements:

- A Demonstration Project Identifier (DPI) set to "KTA", or
- Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes for Intensive Care Coordination (ICC) or Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS).

The query filters out voided, replaced, and denied claims. This data is then grouped and totaled by the Submission Month or Service Month for the bar graphs on the "Graphs" worksheet. It is also grouped by county and totaled year-to-date in the "County Table" worksheet.