1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California JENNIFER M. KIM		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ERNEST MARTINEZ, State Bar No. 74752		
4	Deputy Attorney General CARMEN D. SNUGGS, State Bar No. 22193	5	
5	Deputy Attorney General		
6	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2450		
7	Fax: (213) 897-2805		
8	E-mail: Carmen.Snuggs@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for State Defendants		
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	WESTERN DIVISION		
12	11201214	191710101	
13	KATIE A., et al.,	Case No. 2:02-cv-05662 JAK (SHx)	
14	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' AND STATE	
15	v.	DEFENDANTS' JOINT RESPONSE TO THE SPECIAL	
16		MASTER'S JUNE 16, 2014 REPORT	
17	TOBY DOUGLAS, Director of the		
18	California Department of Health Care Services; et al.,	Date: July 18, 2014 Time: 2:00 p.m.	
19	Defendants.	Crtrm: 750 Judge: Honorable John A. Kronstadt	
20	7		
21			
22	Plaintiffs, Katie A. et al, and State Defendants, Toby Douglas, Director of the		
23	California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS), and Will Lightbourne,		
24	Director of the California Department of Social Services (CDSS), (the Parties)		
25	hereby file this joint response to the recommendations contained in the Special		
26	Master's June 16, 2014 Report. ECF No. 899.		
27	The Parties have met and conferred in an effort to draft alternative		
28	recommendations that meet the objectives of the Special Master, yet better		

compliment current implementation efforts. The Parties have reached consensus on alternative language for recommendations one through ten, which they believe will increase the delivery of Intensive Care Coordination (ICC) and Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS) and advance implementation of Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC). The Parties hereby submit this joint response that includes the alternative recommendations for this Court's review and approval.

### <u>Special Master Recommendation 1</u>: Increase services to subclass members in selected under-performing counties.

County- and State-reported data indicate that about one-third of the counties are providing very low levels of services to subclass members, in particular ICC and IHBS as medically necessary. As Special Master, after examining numerous factors drawn largely from the May 2014 County Semi-Annual Progress Reports, I have identified 16 counties—nine large and seven medium size—that appear not to be making sufficient progress in providing ICC and IHBS to subclass members. This recommendation is intended to result in measurable, significant, and rapid increases in ICC and IHBS to subclass members in these under-performing counties.

As Special Master, I recommend that the Court order the State to select by July 9, 2014, in consultation with the Plaintiffs and perhaps other stakeholders, a minimum of ten of these 16 counties for immediate direct assistance, intervention, and/or corrective action to increase their levels of ICC and IHBS to subclass members. If the State does not make its selection by July 9, the Special Master will choose the ten counties.

Under this recommendation, if so ordered by the Court, the State will engage directly and intensively with each of the selected counties, guided by the Katie A. Service Delivery Action Plan parts 1.1-1.6 (with emphasis on 1.6) and Phase 2, Sec. IV, Service Delivery Rollout Action Plan parts 9. a. and 9.d. This direct State action, which will likely require State in-person visits to the selected

counties, will include use of the State's compliance, corrective action, and sanction authority, as necessary, to ensure significant performance improvement in each of the ten selected counties.

The actions taken in each county must be tailored to the specific needs and circumstances of the county and must put into place a substantial and action-focused State-County plan that results in near-term and long-term measurable and sustainable increases in ICC and IHBS to current and potential subclass members as medically necessary. Each State-County plan must achieve sufficient measurable increases in ICC and IHBS by November 1, 2014 to demonstrate that the county is on a self-sustained trajectory toward providing ICC and IHBS to all subclass members as medically necessary in the future. Each State-County plan will prioritize action to increase ICC and IHBS to:

- Potential subclass members identified by the county but who are not currently receiving medically necessary ICC and IHBS.
- Subclass members identified as receiving specialty mental health services but who are not currently receiving medically necessary ICC and IHBS.

The State shall provide the Special Master with copies of each individual State-County plan as described above within a reasonable amount of time that allows for State review and consultation with the Parties and the county. All State-County Plans shall be received—either complete or in progress—by the Special Master no later than September 24, 2014 for discussion at the subsequent Status Conference regarding County progress (current and future) in providing ICC and IHBS to subclass members. The State will bear primary responsibility for demonstrating to the Court and other Parties that its efforts to increase ICC and IHBS services in the selected counties have been strenuous, practical, effective, and sufficiently strong to create immediate and lasting increases and improvements in ICC and IHBS to subclass members as medically necessary.

# <u>Parties' Recommendation 1</u>: Increase Services To Subclass Members In Selected Under-Performing Counties.

The Special Master stated that his intent in submitting Recommendation 1 is to attain "measurable, significant, and rapid increases in ICC and IHBS to subclass members in these under-performing counties." The State agencies are committed to accomplishing that goal through implementation of the Service Delivery Rollout Plan (ECF No. 899, Exhibit 1.4 to the Special Master's June 2014 Progress Report).

To that end, DHCS and CDSS are taking action to address measurable and timely increase in the availability and utilization of ICC and IHBS to subclass members in lower-performing counties, consistent with the Service Delivery Action Plan. The State will inform the Plaintiffs and Special Master by August 31, 2014 of the actions the State is taking to achieve measureable and timely increases in ICC and IHBS to subclass members in lower-performing counties. The actions include the following:

- Sending a letter regarding expectations to the child welfare and mental health agencies reminding them of their legal obligations and informing them that the state will be taking actions to monitor roll out and provision of ICC and IHBS services.
- Activities to address utilization of ICC and IHBS in the 12 counties with the largest child welfare caseload, as well as the counties not yet providing services (regardless of their size).
- Discussions, to be completed by August 15, 2014, with Plaintiffs regarding specific action items identified by the parties that may need, or benefit from, further development or greater specificity in order to achieve the goal of increased availability and use of services in lower-performing counties.
- The State will provide updates to the Plaintiffs and Special Master on progress.

///

-5

### <u>Special Master Recommendation 2</u>: Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) Implementation.

As Special Master, I recommend that the Court order State DHCS and DSS to implement Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC) by August 1, 2014 as indicated in the TFC Work Plan (Exhibit 1.5) and that DHCS and DSS update the Court, Plaintiffs, and Special Master weekly on the steps the State is taking to meet that date.

### Parties' Recommendation 2: TFC Implementation.

State DHCS and CDSS will begin implementation of TFC by August 1, 2014, subject to the approval of TFC by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services as a Medi-Cal covered service, as indicated in the TFC Work Plan. ECF No. 899-3, Ex. 1.5. If federal approval has not been granted by August 1, 2014, DHCS will begin implementation as soon as possible, once such federal approval is granted. DHCS and CDSS will update the Plaintiffs and Special Master monthly, or more frequently when significant developments occur.

# <u>Special Master Recommendation 3</u>: A Shared Management Structure and Accountability, Communication, and Oversight System.

As Special Master, I recommend that the Court order the State to develop, by November 1, 2014, a written agreement or memorandum of understanding or proposed legislation between the State DSS and DHCS establishing a Shared Management Structure and an Accountability, Communication, and Oversight System.

# <u>Special Master Recommendation 4</u>: Statewide Coordinated System Improvement Improvement/ Performance Improvement Plan (SIP/PIP).

As Special Master, I recommend that the Court order the State DHCS and DSS to develop a plan by November 1, 2014, and implement a coordinated SIP/PIP effort that incorporates practice improvement, Core Practice Model implementation, timely access to Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home

Based Services, and Katie A. class and subclass member referrals, access, and service delivery.

<u>Parties' Recommendations 3 and 4</u>: A Shared Management Structure and Accountability, Communication, and Oversight System; Statewide Coordinated System Improvement Plan/Performance Improvement Plan (SIP/PIP).

The Joint Management Taskforce (JMT) met on July 8, 2014, to review a near-final draft of recommendations regarding (1) Shared Management Structure; (2) Accountability, Communications and Oversight; and (3) Core Practice Model fiscal strategies, as required under the Settlement Agreement and implementation plans. The JMT plans to release its recommendations on or about July 25, 2014. The recommendations included in the report will address the formal requirements for establishing a Shared Management Structure that are the subject of the Special Master's Recommendation 3. Additionally, the recommendations will provide a comprehensive Accountability, Communications and Oversight framework that, among other things, calls for an evaluation of the viability of implementing a formally coordinated SIP/PIP effort, and encourages institutionalizing quality assurance processes relating to practice improvement, Core Practice Model implementation, and timely access to Intensive Care Coordination and Intensive Home Based Services. These accountability and oversight recommendations are the subject of the Special Master's Recommendation 4.

Within 90 days of receiving these recommendations, DHCS and CDSS will provide to the Plaintiffs and Special Master their formal written response to these recommendations, by accepting, declining to accept, or accepting with stated amendments, each recommendation. The parties expect that by thus completing the JMT process, the State will achieve the intents and purposes of the Special Master's Recommendations three and four.

///

<u>Special Master Recommendation 5</u>: County and State Confidentiality Barriers.

Confidentiality continues to be a significant problem for a large number of counties, particularly with regard to sharing data and information between child welfare and mental health agencies for case planning, service delivery, cross system utilization management, and quality assurance. As Special Master, I recommend that the Court order State DHCS and DSS to pursue a solution to county-reported confidentiality barriers, perhaps using the experience of Los Angeles County as a model. The Federal Court was involved in assisting and approving a Los Angeles County legal agreement and framework for sharing information between the Departments of Mental Health and Children and Family Services, which removed significant institutional data sharing and information exchange barriers between the two departments.

It also has recently been determined that State DHCS cannot disclose county-level mental health service data due to its interpretation of HIPAA restrictions on the publication of mental health data. Consequently, the Court, the Parties, children, youth, parents, counties, service providers, and other interested stakeholders have no access to county-level mental health data and statistical reports regarding Katie A. implementation or ongoing service delivery. This creates enormous barriers to local and statewide planning, accountability, performance improvement, service planning and delivery efforts, and overall transparency for Katie A. which is essential and central to statewide implementation and overall success of the Settlement Agreement. The significance of this barrier to mental health information cannot be overstated. As Special Master, I recommend that the Court order State DHCS to develop, by or before November 1, 2014, a solution to information sharing that allows publication of county-level Katie A. mental health data.

#### Parties' Recommendation 5: County and State Confidentiality Barriers.

The number of counties currently reporting confidentially as a barrier to sharing information between child welfare and mental health agencies has decreased to only six counties. In addition, the State has again made publicly available the Katie A. service utilization reports which also include most of the county-level data. DHCS and CDSS agree to provide the Plaintiffs and Special Master, on or before November 1, 2014, a solution to information sharing that allows publication of county-level Katie A. mental health data and any solution to the county reported confidentially barriers.

#### Special Master Recommendation 6: Proposition 30 and EPSDT Updates.

As Special Master, I recommend that the Court order State DHCS and DSS to update the Court, Plaintiffs, and Special Master monthly, beginning August 1, 2014, on steps the State is taking to address county concerns regarding Proposition 30 and EPSDT, including any Proposition 30/EPSDT issues and resolutions that have any bearing on the implementation of Katie A.

### <u>Parties' Recommendation 6</u>: Proposition 30 and Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) Updates.

CDSS, DHCS, and state leadership have been, and will continue to be, actively engaged in dialogue with California Mental Health Directors' Association (CMHDA) and other county representatives and stakeholders on a wide variety of state/county funding issues, including those related to Proposition 30, both within and beyond the EPSDT context. These types of funding discussions are a standard feature of constructing annual state and county budgets, and the arguments made and positions taken as part of this negotiation process should be read and understood in this broad context. In regard to the narrower purpose of implementing the Settlement Agreement, DHCS has received two separate unequivocal written commitments from CMHDA, confirming that the counties will continue with implementation of ICC and IHBS, notwithstanding any ongoing dialogue that the

counties may have with the State about funding. Further, to the extent that counties may continue to raise funding concerns, the State will seek more specificity from such counties on the nature of their funding concerns, which may include the amount of funding needed, the services requiring additional funding, and the population(s) needing to be served. Thus, with the foregoing in mind, DHCS and CDSS will provide updates to the Special Master and Plaintiffs on any significant developments when they occur, in addition to a general update on this issue, by no later than September 15, 2014.

### <u>Special Master Recommendation 7</u>: Affordable Care Act—California's Implementation Updates.

As Special Master, I recommend that the Court order State DHCS to update the Court, Plaintiffs, and Special Master monthly, beginning August 1, 2014, on steps the State is taking to address concerns regarding how the State is going to distinguish the responsibilities of the Managed Care Plan (MCP) from the responsibilities of the MHPs in terms of screening for and providing medically necessary specialty mental health services to class members and subclass members, coordinating Care (CPM), and how the State will collect and analyze the data between the MCP and MHPs to determine what services are being provided to class/subclass members.

# <u>Parties' Recommendation 7:</u> Affordable Care Act – California's Implementation Update.

Effective January 1, 2014, new Medi-Cal mental health services are available through Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans and through the Medi-Cal fee-for-service system. One of DHCS' goals for successful implementation of these services is that beneficiaries (children and adults) receive medically necessary mental health services based on their diagnoses and level of impairment. DHCS continues to monitor the implementation of the new mental health benefits and the coordination of Managed Care Plans and Mental Health Plans.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

State DHCS will update the Plaintiffs and Special Master by August 1, 2014, on the steps the State is taking to ensure that Medi-Cal beneficiaries (which includes the class members) receive timely, medically necessary mental health services including the process in place for delineating responsibilities of both the Managed Care Plans and the Mental Health Plans. Special Master Recommendation 8: Updating the Special Master and Plaintiffs. As Special Master, I recommend that the Court order State DHCS and DSS to update the Special Master and Plaintiffs, beginning August 1, 2014, on all actions ordered by the Court during or following the July 2, 2014 Katie A. Status Conference. Parties' Recommendation 8: Updating the Special Master and Plaintiffs. State DHCS and DSS will update the Special Master and Plaintiffs, beginning August 1, 2014, on all actions ordered by the Court during or following the July 18, 2014 Katie A. Status Conference. Special Master Recommendation 9: The Special Master's Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Budget. As Special Master, I recommend that the Court approve the Special Master's Fiscal Year 2014-2015 budget (Exhibit 9). The budget is for six months, pending the Court's jurisdiction ending in December 2014. Parties' Recommendation 9: The Special Master's Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Budget. State DHCS and DSS will approve the Special Master's Fiscal Year 2014-2015 budget, as provided in Exhibit 9 to the Special Master's Report. The budget is for six months, pending the Court's jurisdiction ending in December 2014. ///

- 1 Special Master Recommendation 10: November, 2014 Katie A. Status 2 Conference. 3 As Special Master, I recommend that the Court schedule a Katie A. Status Conference in mid November 2014. 4 Parties' Recommendation 10: November, 2014 Katie A. Status 5 Conference. 6 The parties agree and request that the Court schedule a Katie A. Status 7 8 Conference with a proposed date of October 10, 2014, with the following consultation and submission deadlines: 9 10 Special Master's completed draft report with any proposed Recommendations (not filed) provided to the parties by no later than September 15, 11 2014; 12 13 Special Master's Final report filed with the Court by no later than 2. September 26, 2014; to allow sufficient time for the parties and Special Master to 14 15 jointly discuss and, if necessary, propose revisions to any Recommendations; 16 Parties to file their responses to the Special Master's September 26, 2014 3. 17 report, by no later than October 3, 2014; and Status conference on October 10, 2014. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case	2:02-cv-05662-JAK-SHX	Document 906 Filed #:7618	07/14/14 Page 12 of 13 Page ID
1		100	
2	Dated: July 11, 2014		Respectfully submitted,
. 3			KIMBERLY LEWIS
4			NATIONAL HEALTH LAW PROGRAM
5	- ±		
6			/s/ Kimberly Lewis
7			Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8	¥	1.1.0	12 de m
9	4		
			9
10	Dated: July 11, 2014		KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
11			Attorney General of California JENNIFER M. KIM Supervising Deputy Attorney General
12	**		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
13	1=		7.
14	0		/s/ Carmen D. Snuggs
15	,		ERNEST MARTINEZ
16	×		CARMEN D. SNIIGGS
17	. "		Deputy Attorneys General Attorneys for State Defendants
18	LA2002CV1625 51495560		
19	- 2 500		-
20			
21			· ·
22			
23		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
	·	4	
24			
25	,		
26		4	
27			-
28			
		12	

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name: KATIE A., et al. v. BONTA, et al. No. 2:02-cv-05662 JAK (SHx)

I hereby certify that on <u>July 14, 2014</u>, I electronically filed the following document with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

### PLAINTIFFS' AND STATE DEFENDANTS' JOINT RESPONSE TO THE SPECIAL MASTER'S JUNE 16, 2014 REPORT

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the CM/ECF system.

I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. On <u>July 14, 2014</u>, I have mailed the foregoing document by First-Class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, for delivery within three (3) calendar days to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

John F. Toole, Esq. National Center for Youth Law 405 14th Street, 15th Floor Oakland, CA 94612-2701 Kathleen R. Wolfe Travis W. England U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave NWNYA Washington, DC 20530

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct.

This declaration was executed on July 14, 2014, at Los Angeles, California.

M. Chacon	/s/M. Chacon
Declarant	Signature

A2002CV1625 61212337.doc