



MICHELLE BAASS
DIRECTOR

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency
Department of Health Care Services



GAVIN NEWSOM
GOVERNOR

August 2, 2022

Sent via e-mail to: dewert@co.shasta.ca.us

Donnell Ewert, MHP, Director
Shasta County Health & Human Services Agency
2650 Breslauer Way
Redding, CA 96001

SUBJECT: Annual SABG County Compliance Unit Findings Report

Dear Director Ewert:

The Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) is responsible for monitoring compliance to requirements of the Substance Abuse Block Grant (SABG) and the terms of the Contract operated by Shasta County.

The County Compliance Unit (CCU) within the Audits and Investigations Division (A&I) of DHCS conducted a review of the County's compliance with contract requirements based on responses to the monitoring instrument, discussion with county staff, and supporting documentation provided by the County.

Enclosed are the results of Shasta County's Fiscal Year 2021-22 SABG compliance review. The report identifies deficiencies, required corrective actions, advisory recommendations, and referrals for technical assistance.

Shasta County is required to submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) addressing each deficiency noted to the Community Services Division (CSD), Community Support Branch (CSB), Policy, Monitoring and Financing Section (PMFS) Analyst by 10/3/2022. Please use enclosed CAP form and submit the completed CAP and supporting documentation by email to the PMFS analyst at SABGcompliance@dhcs.ca.gov.

If you have any questions, please contact me at susan.volmer@dhcs.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Susan Volmer
(916) 713-8677

Audits and Investigations Division
Medical Review Branch
Behavioral Health Compliance Section
County Compliance Unit
1500 Capitol Ave., MS 2305
Sacramento, CA 95814
<http://www.dhcs.ca.gov>

Distribution:

To: Director Ewert,

CC: Mateo Hernandez, Audits and Investigations, Medical Review Branch Acting Chief
Lanette Castleman, Audits and Investigations, Behavioral Health Compliance Section Chief
Ayesha Smith, Audits and Investigations, Behavioral Health Compliance Unit Chief
Michael Bivians, Audits and Investigations, County Compliance Monitoring II Chief
Cindy Berger, Audits and Investigations, Provider Compliance Unit Chief
Tracie Walker, Community Services Division, Community Support Branch Chief
Denise Galvez, Community Services Division, Operations Branch Chief
Donna Ures, Community Services Division, Policy, Monitoring and Financing Section Chief
Jessica Fielding, Community Services Division, Family Services Section Chief
Angelina Azevedo, Community Services Division, Prevention Services Unit Chief
Ashley Love, Community Services Division, Family Services Unit Chief
SABGcompliance@dhcs.ca.gov, Policy, Monitoring and Financing Section
MCBHDMonitoring@dhcs.ca.gov, County/Provider Operations and Monitoring Branch
Katie Cassidy, Shasta County HHSA Program Manager
Wendy Millis, Partnership HealthPlan of California, PHC Wellness and Recovery Program,
Program Manager I

COUNTY REVIEW INFORMATION

County:

Shasta

County Contact Name/Title:

Katie Cassidy, HHSA Program Manager

County Address:

2650 Breslauer Way
Redding, CA 96001

County Phone Number/Email:

(530) 225-5997
kcassidy@co.shasta.ca.us

Date of Review:

6/20/2022

Lead CCU Analyst:

Susan Volmer

Assisting CCU Analyst:

Michael Bivians

Report Prepared by:

Susan Volmer

Report Approved by:

Ayesha Smith

REVIEW SCOPE

- I. Regulations:
 - a. California Code of Regulations, Title 22, section 51341.1 – Drug Medi-Cal Substance Use Disorder Services
 - b. Code of Federal Regulations; Title 45, Part 96; Subpart L; section 96.121 through 96.137: Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant
 - c. United States Code, Title 42, Section 300x-21 through 300x-66: Block Grants regarding Mental Health and Substance Use
 - d. Health and Safety Code, Division 10.5, Section 11750 – 11970: Alcohol and Drug Programs

- II. Program Requirements:
 - a. Fiscal Year (FY) 2020-21 Substance Abuse Block Grant (SABG) Application, herein referred to as the SABG Application
 - b. Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 Substance Abuse Block Grant (SABG) Application, herein referred to as the SABG Application
 - c. State of California *Youth Treatment Guidelines Revised August 2002*
 - d. DHCS *Perinatal Practice Guidelines FY 2018-19*
 - e. National Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS)
 - f. Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders Services (MHSUDS) Information Notices
 - g. Behavioral Health Information Notices (BHIN)

ENTRANCE AND EXIT CONFERENCE SUMMARIES

Entrance Conference:

An Entrance Conference was conducted via WebEx on 6/20/2022. The following individuals were present:

- Representing DHCS:
Susan Volmer, Associate Governmental Program Analyst (AGPA)
Michael Bivians, Michael Bivians, County Compliance Monitoring II (CCM II) Chief
- Representing Shasta County:
Laura Stapp, Clinical Division Chief
Shellie Costa, HHSa Program Manager
Chari Koller, Accountant Auditor
Cory Brown, Supervising Community Education Specialist
Amy Pendergast, HHSa Program Manager
Clemencia Heberlein, Supervising Accountant
Cela Chao, Supervising Accountant
Michael Flynn, Staff Services Analyst
Katie Cassidy, HHSa Program Manager
Wendy Millis, Partnership HealthPlan of California, PHC Wellness and Recovery Program, Program Manager I
Nicole Talley, Partnership HealthPlan of California, Behavioral Health Senior Program Manager

During the Entrance Conference, the following topics were discussed:

- Introductions
- Shasta County overview of services
- Overview of monitoring process

Exit Conference:

An Exit Conference was conducted via WebEx on 6/20/2022. The following individuals were present:

- Representing DHCS:
Susan Volmer, AGPA
Michael Bivians, CCM II Chief

- Representing Shasta County:
Laura Stapp, Clinical Division Chief
Shellie Costa, HHSa Program Manager
Chari Koller, Accountant Auditor
Cory Brown, Supervising Community Education Specialist
Amy Pendergast, HHSa Program Manager
Clemencia Heberlein, Supervising Accountant
Cela Chao, Supervising Accountant
Michael Flynn, Staff Services Analyst
Katie Cassidy, HHSa Program Manager
Wendy Millis, Partnership HealthPlan of California, PHC Wellness and Recovery Program,
Program Manager I
Nicole Talley, Partnership HealthPlan of California, Behavioral Health Senior Program
Manager

During the Exit Conference, the following topics were discussed:

- Submitting follow-up evidence
- Due date for evidence submission

SUMMARY OF FY 2021-22 COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES (CD)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Number of CD's</u>
1.0 Administration	5
2.0 Prevention	0
3.0 Perinatal	0
4.0 Adolescent/Youth Treatment	0
5.0 Data/CalOMS	3
6.0 Program Integrity	2
7.0 Fiscal	1

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (CAP)

Pursuant to the SABG County Application, Enclosure 2, Part I, Section 3, B, 5-7 each CD identified must be addressed via a CAP. The CAP is due within sixty (60) calendar days of the date of this monitoring report.

Please provide the following within the completed FY 2021-22 CAP:

- a) A statement of the CD.
- b) A list of action steps to be taken to correct the CD.
- c) A date of completion for each CD.
- d) The name of the person who will be responsible for corrections and ongoing compliance.

The PMFS analyst will monitor progress of the CAP completion.

Category 1: ADMINISTRATION

A review of the County's Maintenance of Records, service providers, referrals, services, contracts, and general provisions was conducted to ensure compliance with applicable regulations and standards. The following deficiencies in regulations, standards, or protocol requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD 1.3.1:

SABG Application, Enclosure 2, III, 6

6. Charitable Choice

County shall document the total number of referrals necessitated by religious objection to other alternative SUD providers. The County shall annually submit this information to DHCS by e-mail at CharitableChoice@dhcs.ca.gov by October 1st. The annual submission shall contain all substantive information required by DHCS and be formatted in a manner prescribed by DHCS.

Findings: The County did not provide evidence of the email submission to DHCS indicating the total number of referrals necessitated by a beneficiary's religious objection for FY 2020-21.

CD: 1.5.1:

SABG Application Enclosure 2, II, 17

17. Byrd Anti-Lobbying Amendment (31 USC 1352)

County certifies that it will not and has not used Federal appropriated funds to pay any person or organization for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a member of Congress, officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a member of Congress in connection with obtaining any Federal contract, grant or any other award covered by 31 USC 1352. County shall also disclose to DHCS any lobbying with non-Federal funds that takes place in connection with obtaining any Federal award.

SABG Application, Enclosure 2, II, 23

23. Subcontract Provisions

County shall include all of the foregoing provisions in all of its subcontracts.

Findings: The County did not provide evidence demonstrating compliance with the Byrd Anti-Lobbying Amendment (31 USC 1352) provision.

The County did not provide evidence demonstrating all of the foregoing SABG Application, Enclosure 2, Section II general provisions are included in all executed subcontracts, including the Byrd Anti-Lobbying Amendment (31 USC 1352) provision.

CD: 1.5.2:

SABG Application Enclosure 2, II, 22, A

22. Information Access for Individuals with Limited English Proficiency

A. County shall comply with all applicable provisions of the Dymally-Alatorre Bilingual Services Act (Government Code sections 7290-7299.8) regarding access to materials that explain services available to the public as well as providing language interpretation services.

SABG Application, Enclosure 2, II, 23

23. Subcontract Provisions

County shall include all of the foregoing provisions in all of its subcontracts.

Findings: The County did not provide evidence demonstrating compliance with the Dymally-Alatorre Bilingual Services Act (Government Code sections 7290-7299.8) provision.

The County did not provide evidence demonstrating all of the foregoing SABG Application, Enclosure 2, Section II general provisions are included in all executed subcontracts, specifically the Dymally-Alatorre Bilingual Services Act (Government Code sections 7290-7299.8) provision.

CD: 1.5.3:

SABG Application Enclosure 2, II, 8

8. Nondiscrimination and Institutional Safeguards for Religious Providers

County shall establish such processes and procedures as necessary to comply with the provisions of USC, Title 42, Section 300x-65 and CFR, Title 42, Part 54.

SABG Application, Enclosure 2, II, 23

23. Subcontract Provisions

County shall include all of the foregoing provisions in all of its subcontracts.

Findings: The County did not provide evidence demonstrating compliance with the Nondiscrimination and Institutional Safeguards for Religious Providers (USC, Title 42, Section 300x-65 and CFR, Title 42, Part 54) provision.

The County did not provide evidence demonstrating all of the foregoing SABG Application, Enclosure 2, Section II general provisions are included in all executed subcontracts, specifically Nondiscrimination and Institutional Safeguards for Religious Providers (USC, Title 42, Section 300x-65 and CFR, Title 42, Part 54) provision.

CD: 1.5.4:

SABG Application, Enclosure 2, II, 13

13. Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000

County and its subcontractors that provide services covered by this Contract shall comply with the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (USC, Title 22, Chapter 78, Section 7104) as amended by section 1702 of Pub. L. 112-239.

SABG Application, Enclosure 2, II, 23

23. Subcontract Provisions

County shall include all of the foregoing provisions in all of its subcontracts.

Findings: The County did not make available evidence demonstrating all of the foregoing SABG Application, Enclosure 2, Section II general provisions are included in all executed subcontracts, specifically the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (USC, Title 22, Chapter 78, Section 7104) as amended by section 1702 of Pub. L. 112-239 provision.

Category 5: DATA/CALOMS

A review of data submissions via CalOMS was conducted to ensure compliance with applicable regulations and standards. The following deficiencies in regulations, standards, or protocol requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD: 5.1.1:

SABG Application, Enclosure 2, III, 2, C-F

2. California Outcomes Measurement System for Treatment (CalOMS-Tx)

The CalOMS-Tx business rules and requirements are:

- C. Electronic submission of CalOMS-Tx data shall be submitted by County within 45 days from the end of the last day of the report month.
- D. County shall comply with data collection and reporting requirements established by the DHCS CalOMS-Tx Data Collection Guide (<https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/Pages/CalOMS-Treatment.aspx>) and all former Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs Bulletins and DHCS Information Notices relevant to CalOMS Tx data collection.
- E. County shall submit CalOMS-Tx admission, discharge, annual update, resubmissions of records containing errors or in need of correction, and “provider no activity” report records in an electronic format approved by DHCS.
- F. County shall comply with the CalOMS-Tx Data Compliance Standards established by DHCS for reporting data content, data quality, data completeness, reporting frequency, reporting deadlines, and reporting method, as identified online at:
<https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/Pages/CalOMS-Treatment.aspx>

Findings: The County’s Open Admissions report is not in compliance.

CD: 5.1.2:

SABG Application, Enclosure 2, III, 2, C-F

2. California Outcomes Measurement System for Treatment (CalOMS-Tx)

The CalOMS-Tx business rules and requirements are:

- C. Electronic submission of CalOMS-Tx data shall be submitted by County within 45 days from the end of the last day of the report month.
- D. County shall comply with data collection and reporting requirements established by the DHCS CalOMS-Tx Data Collection Guide (<https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/Pages/CalOMS-Treatment.aspx>) and all former Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs Bulletins and DHCS Information Notices relevant to CalOMS Tx data collection.
- E. County shall submit CalOMS-Tx admission, discharge, annual update, resubmissions of records containing errors or in need of correction, and “provider no activity” report records in an electronic format approved by DHCS.
- F. County shall comply with the CalOMS-Tx Data Compliance Standards established by DHCS for reporting data content, data quality, data completeness, reporting frequency, reporting deadlines, and reporting method, as identified online at:
<https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/Pages/CalOMS-Treatment.aspx>

Findings: The County’s Open Provider report is not in compliance.

CD: 5.1.3:

SABG Application, Enclosure 2, III, 5, A-D

5. Drug and Alcohol Treatment Access Report (DATAR)

The DATAR business rules and requirements are:

- A. The County shall be responsible for ensuring that the County-operated treatment services and all treatment providers, with whom County makes a contract or otherwise pays for the services, submit a monthly DATAR report in an electronic copy format as provided by DHCS.
- B. The County shall ensure that treatment providers who reach or exceed 90 percent of their dedicated capacity, report this information to DHCSPerinatal@dhcs.ca.gov within seven days of reaching capacity.
- C. The County shall ensure that all DATAR reports are submitted by either County-operated treatment services and by each subcontracted treatment provider to DHCS by the 10th of the month following the report activity month.
- D. The County shall ensure that all applicable providers are enrolled in DHCS' web-based DATARWeb program for submission of data, accessible on the DHCS website when executing the subcontract.

Findings: The County's DATAR report is not in compliance.

Category 6: PROGRAM INTEGRITY

A review of the SABG monitoring, privacy, and program integrity was conducted to ensure compliance with applicable regulations and standards. The following deficiencies in regulations, standards, or protocol requirements were identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES:

CD: 6.1.1:

SABG Application, Enclosure 2, I, 1, A, 1, a-b

1. County's performance under the Performance Contract and the SABG County Application, shall be monitored by DHCS during the term of the Performance Contract. Monitoring criteria shall include, but not be limited to:
 - a. Whether the quantity of work or services being performed conforms to Enclosures 2, 3, 4, and 5.
 - b. Whether the County has established and is monitoring appropriate quality standards.

Findings: The County did provide current blank monitoring tools used to monitor County and subcontracted providers for compliance with the SABG program requirements. However, the monitoring tools provided did not include the following elements:

- Charitable Choice
- IVDU Services
- Interim Services
- CalOMS/DATAR
- CLAS Standards

CD 6.1.2:

SABG Application Enclosure 2, I, 3, A, 1, e

1. County's performance under the County Performance Contract and the SABG County Application shall be monitored by DHCS during the term of the County Performance Contract. Monitoring criteria shall include, but not be limited to:
 - e. Whether the County conducted annual onsite monitoring reviews of services and subcontracted services for programmatic and fiscal requirements. County shall submit copy of its monitoring and audit reports to DHCS within two weeks of issuance. Reports shall be sent via a Secure Managed File Transfer system specified by DHCS.

Findings: The County did not provide evidence demonstrating it conducted onsite monitoring reviews of each County managed and/or subcontracted program providing SABG funded services.

- The County monitored one (1) of 12 SABG funded programs.
- The County did not submit the annual monitoring report secure and encrypted to DHCS and within two weeks of completion.

Category 7: FISCAL

A review of the SABG fiscal services was conducted to ensure compliance with applicable regulations and standards. The following deficiency in regulations, standards, or protocol requirements was identified:

COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCY:

CD 7.1.1:

SABG Application, Enclosure 2, I, 2, A

A. Restrictions on Salaries

County agrees that no part of any federal funds provided under this Contract shall be used by the County or its subcontractors to pay the salary and wages of an individual at a rate in excess of Level II of the Executive Schedule, as found online at: http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/salcap_summary.htm

Findings: The County did not provide evidence demonstrating verification that SABG funds are not used to pay an individual's salary in excess of Level II of the Executive Schedule.

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

Shasta County did not request Technical Assistance (TA) during this review.