Finding # or Suggested Improvement #	Finding or Suggested Improvement		Recommendation # ction Step / Identify Timeline / and Evidence of Mechanisms for Monitoring Effectiveness)	DHCS Comments
Improvement # Finding #1: Local Mental Health Services Fund	<ul> <li>a) San Bernardino County did not have a copy of an investment plan or policy to support funds were consistently invested for all County funds.</li> <li>b) Detailed documentation on investment accounts, transfers of gain or losses, or interest income allocation method could not be verified due to lack of documentation.</li> </ul>	Corrections / M Recommendation #1 San Bernardino County's Response:	<ul> <li>Mechanisms for Monitoring Effectiveness)</li> <li>DHCS recommends San Bernardino County</li> <li>Department of Behavioral Health should develop and implement a Local Mental Health Funds investment policy in order to be in compliance with the regulation that funds are invested consistently.</li> <li>W&amp;I Code Section 5892(f) requires Local Mental Health Service Fund balance to be invested consistently with other County funds. Earnings on investment of these funds shall be available for distribution from the fund in future fiscal years.</li> <li>On December 15, 2020, San Bernardino County provided a copy of the Treasurer Investment Policy (attachment #1). The latest version of the Investment Policy can also be accessed at 210622 BAI-22- Treasurer Statement of Investment Policy (002) 02419711621.pdf (sbcounty.gov), demonstrating compliance with statute.</li> <li>Statute does not require a Local Mental Health Services Fund Investment policy. The requirement is for funds to be invested consistently with other County Funds, with</li> </ul>	The submitted plan is accepted.
			<ul> <li>earnings available for distribution in future fiscal years.</li> <li>The Investment Policy meets the requirements as outlined in statute.</li> </ul>	

Finding # or Suggested Improvement #	Finding or Suggested Improvement	Recommendation # (State Corrective Action Step / Identify Timeline / and Evidence of Corrections / Mechanisms for Monitoring Effectiveness)		DHCS Comments
			<ul> <li>Page 7 of 15 of the Investment Policy sets the policy for distribution of investment earnings.</li> </ul>	
			San Bernardino County meets the requirements as outlined in statute and respectfully disagrees with the finding by the Department of Healthcare Services.	
		Recommendation #2	Maintain documentation related to gains, losses, transfers of funds, and other investment activity specific to Local Mental Health Funds.	The submitted plan is accepted.
		San Bernardino County's Response:	For future audits, DBH will pull the info directly from Auditor/Controller/Tax-Collector (ATC) and provide to auditor. DBH will also provide the forecast (attachment #3) and year-end back up (attachment #4), if required.	
			<ul> <li>DBH needs to: (Submit Policy by 06/30/22)</li> <li>Create our own policy that matches ATC's investment policy.</li> <li>Prepare a procedure with ATC to gather documents and verify the application of the</li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>Monthly summary of portfolio performance (attachment #5)</li> <li>Quarterly reports prepared by ATC for the interest allocation:</li> </ul>	

Finding # or Suggested Improvement #	Finding or Suggested Improvement	Recommendation # (State Corrective Action Step / Identify Timeline / and Evidence of Corrections / Mechanisms for Monitoring Effectiveness)		DHCS Comments
			<ul> <li>As well as copies of their policy or procedure in how this allocation is completed.</li> <li>(Attachment #6) is an example of the 21/22 Q1 interest allocation, which is an interface between Treasury system and SAP (Enterprise system). Chief Controller from ATC signs the certification (attachment #7) and the system accountant III processes the interface batch entry.</li> </ul>	
Finding #2: Prudent Reserve Fund	a) San Bernardino County did not have a copy of an investment plan or policy to support funds were consistently invested for all County funds.	Recommendation #1 San Bernardino County's response:	San Bernardino County Behavioral Health should develop and implement an MHSA funds investment policy in order to be in compliance with the regulation that MHSA funds need to be invested. San Bernardino County disagrees with the finding and is requesting that MHSA review the County's investment policy (attachment #1).	The submitted plan is accepted.
	<ul> <li>b) Detailed documentation on investment accounts, transfers of</li> </ul>	Recommendation #2	Maintain documentation related to gains, losses, transfers of funds, and other investment activity specific to Prudent Reserves.	The submitted plan is accepted.

Finding # or Suggested Improvement #	Finding or Suggested Improvement		Recommendation # ction Step / Identify Timeline / and Evidence of lechanisms for Monitoring Effectiveness)	DHCS Comments
	gain or losses, or interest income allocation method could not be verified due to lack of documentation.	San Bernardino County's Response	<ul> <li>For future audits will pull the available info from Auditor/Controller/Tax-Collector (ATC) and provide to auditor. DBH will also provide the forecast (attachment #3) and year-end back up (attachment #4), if required.</li> <li>DBH needs to: (Submit Policy by 06/30/22) <ul> <li>Create our own policy that matches ATC's investment policy.</li> <li>Prepare a procedure with ATC to gather documents and verify the application of the interest allocation, which would include: <ul> <li>Monthly summary of portfolio performance (attachment #5)</li> <li>Quarterly reports prepared by ATC for the interest allocation: <ul> <li>As well as copies of their policy or procedure in how this allocation is completed.</li> <li>(Attachment #6) is an example of the 21/22 Q1 interest allocation, which is an interface between Treasury system and SAP (Enterprise system). Chief Controller from ATC signs the certification (attachment #7) and the system accountant III processes the interface batch entry.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul></li></ul>	

Finding # or Suggested Improvement #	Finding or Suggested Improvement	Recommendation # (State Corrective Action Step / Identify Timeline / and Evidence of Corrections / Mechanisms for Monitoring Effectiveness)		DHCS Comments
Finding #3:Non- Supplant Policy	<ul> <li>a) At time of audit, County did not have a formal written nonsupplant policy.</li> <li>b) According to DMH Letter No. 05-08, Enclosure 1, the aggregate amount of the specified funding sources San Bernardino County was required to spend on mental health services in order to comply with the nonsupplant policy was \$75,991,278 (FY 04/05 base year amount). However, San Bernardino's</li> </ul>	Recommendation #1 San Bernardino County's Response	<ul> <li>The County needs to spend an aggregate of at least \$75,991,278 on mental health services by the following funding sources in order to comply with the nonsupplant policy: <ul> <li>Realignment</li> <li>SGF Community Services</li> <li>SGF Managed Care</li> <li>PATH</li> <li>SAMHSA</li> <li>EPSDT SGF</li> </ul> </li> <li>California Code of Regulations Section 3410 specifies that MHSA funds cannot be used to supplant funds required to be used for services and/or supports that were in existence in FY 2004- 05. DMH Letter 05-08 identifies the aggregate funding amount for each county that must be spent in order to comply with the non-supplant policy. However, there has been some changes to those funding sources since FY 2004-05 and Counties should not be held accountable to fund from other sources when the State eliminates the specific funding source. Examples include: <ul> <li>SGF Community Services was eliminated by the State in FY 2007-08.</li> <li>SGF Managed Care and EPSDT have been realigned through 2011 Realignment.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The submitted plan is accepted.

Finding # or Suggested Improvement #	Finding or Suggested Improvement	Recommendation # (State Corrective Action Step / Identify Timeline / and Evidence of Corrections / Mechanisms for Monitoring Effectiveness)	DHCS Comments
	FY 11/12 expenditures on mental health services by the specified funding sources were \$65,339,814, per MH 1992, or \$10,651,464 less than the required aggregate amount. Therefore, the County was not in compliance with the non- supplant requirement. MHSA funds may have supplant \$10,651,464 worth of services historically funded by other funding sources as	\$75,991,278 in required mental health services once all other revenues outside of MHSA are included. San Bernardino County used a total of \$78,446,489 (see attachment #2) of non-MHSA funds for mental health services in FY 2011-12 as indicated and reported in the FY 2011-12 Mental Health Cost Report, Schedule MH 1992. San Bernardino County will maintain a schedule (workpapers) that will more clearly demonstrate compliance with our non-supplantation policy; by identifying funding for purposes of supplanting.	

Finding # or Suggested Improvement #	Finding or Suggested Improvement	Recommendation # (State Corrective Action Step / Identify Timeline / and Evidence of Corrections / Mechanisms for Monitoring Effectiveness)	DHCS Comments
•	defined by		
	DMH Letter 05-		
	08. County		
	submitted a		
	summary list of		
	services and		
	expenditures		
	for variance.		
	These services		
	were not		
	included in MH		
	1992 due to		
	non-allowable		
	expenditures or		
	amounts		
	already		
	included in		
	MHSA		
	component		
	costs. The non-		
	allowable		
	expenditures		
	included		
	Indigent		
	Hospital		
	contractor		
	costs, jail		
	services costs,		
	and accrual		
	account entries		

Improvement reconciled to	Corrections / Mechanisms for Monitoring Effectiveness)	Comments
actual costs.		
-		
,		
		actual costs.Detaileddocumentationwas requestedto support howsummary totalswere used forMHSA servicesandidentification ofspecific fundingsource.Detailed,requestedinformation notprovided duringpost-exitprocess.Summary listnot acceptedas correctusage of fundsto expandedMHSA relatedservices.c) Verification notmade of fundusage for

Finding # or Suggested Improvement #	Finding or Suggested Improvement	Recommendation # (State Corrective Action Step / Identify Timeline / and Evidence of Corrections / Mechanisms for Monitoring Effectiveness)		DHCS Comments
	services.			
	Nonsupplant schedules			
	should support usage of DMH			
	Letter 05-08			
	required			
	aggregate by fiscal year.			